### *Thermochimica* Acta, **172 (1990) 163-169 Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam-Printed in The Netherlands**

THE ETHANOL TOLERANCE OF *Pichia stipitis* Y-7124 STUDIED BY **MICROCALORIMETRY\*** 

L. Núñez Regueira<sup>1</sup>, I. Gómez-Orellana<sup>1</sup>, N. Barros Pena<sup>1</sup>, R. Chamy<sup>2</sup> **and J.M. Lema'. %en?rtamento de Fisica Aplicada. Universidad de Santiago de Compostela. Spain.** 

<sup>z</sup>Departamento de Ingeniería Química. Universidad de Santiago de **Cumpostela. Spain.** 

#### **SUMMARY**

**The inhibitory effects of ethanol on the fermentative activii:, of** *Pichia stipitts* **was stkdied by microcalorimetry. It was**  observed .that the specific growth rate decreases when increases **the level of ethanol in the culture medium.** 

### **INTRODUCTION**

**Hemicellulose forms up to 35 % oi the dry biomass of some lignocelluloslc materials with D-xylose as the major constituent 3\*uq,aI- L1,21. Plant, biomass can be used for the production of ethanol through the fermentation of penLose sugars, and more**  specifically D-xylose. D-xylose is converted to ethanol by **different veasts 13-71. It is typical of xylose fermenting yeasts**  that xylitel accumulates in the fermentation broth. Xylitol **production is 11ot desirable. For this reason P. siipitis was chosen as the fermnntation agent, because this yeast exhlblts a high ethanol yield from xylose and, apparently. prodnczs no xylitol 181.** 

**In the productIon af ethanol by a fermentative process it iz necessary to obtain a high final ethanol concent\_ration. as t he energy consumed for distillation is a "capital" factor, from the economic point of view. So, It ic important to consldet- t11e**  ethanol tolerance of a yeast strain capable of significant ethanol production. The inhibitory action of both the ethanol produced during the fermentation and that externally added is very

**\* Presented at the 7th International Symposium on Microcalorimetric Applications in Biology,**  Egham, U.K., 9-11 April 1990, and Dedicated to Ingemar Wadsö on the Occasion of his 60th **Birthday.** 

0040-6031/90/\$03.50 © 1990 - Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.

*complex. There are* **different and separated effects on the growth rate, the viability and the ethanol production.** *One* **of the main difficulties in studing the ethanol tolerance of yeasts rests on the fact that there is no universally accepted technique to measure or define this tolerance.** 

**Ethanol tolerance of P.** *stipitis was investigated* **using a technique based on measuring. by classical analytical methods, the**  ethanol production rate in batch cultures increasing the initial ethanol concentration in the culture medium [9]. Owing to **the low specific ethanol production obtained un the pentose fermentation by yeasts (and** *even P. stipitis* **shows one of the higher production rates) the results show that this technique is not useful for short lengths of time because both the ethanol produced and the substrate consumed are not large enough to guarantee the accuracy of the analytical measures. For this reason we started a microcalorimetric study.** 

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Microcalorimetry**

**The microcalorimeter used was a 2277 Thermal Activity Monitor (Thermometric AB, Sweden). the performance and details of which have been previously described ClOl. The instrument has the following characteristics: detection limit 0.15 VW, precision \_+ 0.2 % and time constant of 140 s. Baseline stability** *over* **8**  hours was  $\pm$  0.2  $\mu$ W. A microcomputer was connected to the **microcalorimeter for data collection and plot results. Experiments were performed in ampoule mode using 3 cm3 glass vials as reaction vessels. Temperature of the water thermostat bath** *was*   $35.00 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ C in all experiments.

## **Microorqanism and culture**

*Pichia stipitis Y-7124 was* **supplied by the Northern Regional Research Center, United States Department of Agriculture, Peoria, USA. The culture was maintained on an agar slant of malt extract medium. A 250 cm' Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 cm3 of activation medium (composition per litre: xylose 10 g; yeast** 

**164** 

extract 3 g; malt extract 3 g; peptone 5 g; and salt solution 10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was inoculated from the agar culture and incubated for 48 **hours on an orbital shaker at 3S"c. From this culture, 0.5 cm3were transferred to 100 cm3 of culture medium (composition per litre: xylose 50g; yeast extract 3 g: (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 3 g; MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O 1.1 g; KH,PO, 2 g; salt solution 10 cm3. The pH was maintained at 4.5 by iit.rate buffer) in a 250 cm3 Erlenmeyer flask and incubated for 48**  hours (125 r.p.m., 35°C). The suspension was then centrifugated **and the cells were suspended in salt solution (compositon per**  litre: CaO 1.1 g; ZnO 0.4 g; FeCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O 5.4 g; MgO 0.36 g; **CuSO,.SH,O 0.25 g; CoCl, GH,O 0.24 g; H,BO, 0.06 g; HCl (con.1 13 cm3>. This last suspension was kept at 4OC: and used as inocula.** 

**Cultivations were conducted in 250 cm3 cotton-wool stoppered**  Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 cm<sup>3</sup> of culture medium. The initial cell density was 0.89 g<sup>-dm<sup>-3</sup>. Initial ethanol</sup> concentration was 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40 g<sup>-dm<sup>-3</sup>. Ethanol was</sup> **added to the culture medium before inoculing. Flasks were agitated on a rotary shaker (125 r.p.m.) at 35°C for 3 hours. Then 1 cm<sup>3</sup> of this suspension was enclosed in a 3 cm3 glass vial and introduced in the calorimeter. The reference was the culture medium wiLh"ut cells. Vials were loaded in the equilibrium position in the calorimeter for 15 minutes and then lowered at the measuring position.** 

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSION**

Figure 1 shows the power-time curve obtained for the growth **culture without ethanol in the medium. After a lag phase, the microcalorimetric curve assumes an exponential shape. During this**  period, we obtained the specific growth rate constant value,  $\mu$ , **from the slope of the corresponding straight lines drawn bY semilog conversion of the experiment.al power-time curves. Since in the exponential phase of growth the cell number and the culture time correspond to an exponential law,** 

$$
N = N_0 e^{\mu t} \tag{1}
$$

**If p is the power output per cell during this phase,** 

$$
N \cdot p = N_0 \cdot p \cdot e^{\mu t}
$$
 (2)  
if P = N \cdot p and P<sub>0</sub> = N<sub>0</sub> \cdot p we can write,  

$$
P = P_0 \cdot e^{\mu t}
$$
 (3)

**The thermogenesis curve of the exponential phase of growth should obey equation (3).** 

**The values calculated for the specific growth rate constant**  from the power-time curves were 0.112 h<sup>-1</sup> for microaerobic conditions and 0.056 h<sup>-4</sup> for anaerobic conditions. These results were compared with those obtained by classical analytical methods **EQI** in the same operation conditions  $(\mu = 0.19 h^{-1}$  for  $m$ icroaerobic growth and = 0.06  $h^{-1}$  for anaerobic growth). The **differences may be explained in terms of sedimentation problems of the yeast in the calorimetric vessel.** 



**Fig. 1. Power-time curve of a growing** *Pichia stipitis* **culture on xylose medium, without ethanol.** 

**166** 

**Figure 2 shows the power-time curves obtained with cultures**  with initial ethanol concentration varying from 0 to 15 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>. **Values of the specific growth rate constant calculated from the thermogenesis curve are shown in table 1.** 

**TABLE1** 



**These data were correlated using the model proposed by Luong Cl11 to describe ethanol inhibition on the specific rates of growth and ethanol production,** 

$$
\mu_{\rm i}/\mu_{\rm O} = 1 - \left(C_{\rm e}/C_{\rm em}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{4}
$$

were  $C_{\alpha}$  is the initial ethanol concentration;  $\mu_i$  is the specific growth rate at  $C_{\mathbf{e}} > 0$ ;  $\mu_{\mathbf{0}}$  is the specific growth rate at  $C_{\mathbf{e}} = 0$ **C em is the highest ethanol concentration allowing growth; and**  y **is a dimensionless constant.** 



**Fig. 2. Effect of the initial ethanol concentration in the culture medium on the thermogenesis curves for growth metabolism of** *P. stipitis.* Ethanol concentration was: a)0, b)5, c)10, d)15  $q \cdot dm$ 

**We obtained the following equation (correlation coeficient 0.996).** 

 $\mu_i/\mu_o = 1 - (C_a/23.11)^{0.699}$ 

**The model indicat.es that the maximum ethanol concentration**  above which cells do not grow is  $23.11$  g $\cdot$ dm<sup>-3</sup>. The  $\gamma$  value is **below 1, showing that ethanol inhibition was involved, with an increase of initial ethanol concentration a rapid initial drop in the growth, followed by a slow decrease to zero.** 

Power-time curves of cultures with initial ethanol **concentration exceeding 20 g.dm-' do not show an exponential increased heat production rate; rather they show a steady state which indicates a growth inhibition. The thermngenesis curve corresponds to a maintenance metabolism. Figure 3 shows that the heat flux corresponding to the steady state decreases at higher initial ethanol concentrations; the power-time curve corresponding to the maintenance metabolism of the yeast without ethanol was also recorded.** 



**Fig. 3. Power-time curves of** *P. stipitis* **cultures at different initial ethanol concentration in the culture medium. c)40 g.dmd3 a)20. b)30,**  *of* **ethanol; d)maintenance metabolism** *without* **ethanol.** 

**Assuming that the power value at steady state is proportional to the specific ethanol production without cellular growth, it was intended to correlate these values according the Luong model; the equation obtained (correlation coeficient 0.997) was,** 

 $P' / P_0 = 1 - (C_4 / 44.4)^{0.600}$ 

where P'and P<sub>o</sub> are the power values corresponding to the steady **state of the thermogenesis curve at different initial ethanol level and without initial ethanol in the culture medium.** 

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1 **A.J. Powell and J.D. Bu'Lock, Cellulosic substrates, Octagon Papers. 3 (1976). The University of Manchester.**
- **2 D.O. Hall, Solar energy and biology for fuel, food and fibre, TTBS, 5 (1977). 99-101.**
- **3 F. Gong. C. Chen, S. Flickinger and G.T. Tsao. Production of**  ethanol from D-xylose isomerase and yeast, Appl. Environ. **Microbial., 43 (1981). 430-436.**
- **4 C.S. Gong,** T. **A. Claypool, L.D. McCracken, C.M. Mauri.. P.P. Ueng and G.T. Tsao. Conversion of pentoses by yeasts, Biotechnol. Bioeng., 25 (1983). 85-102.**
- **5 A. Toivola, D. Yarrow, E. Van den Bosch, J.P. Van Dijken and W.A. Scheffers. Alcoholic fermentation of D-xylose by yeasts, APP~. Environ. Microbial.** I) **46 (1984), 1221-1223.**
- **6 P.J. Slininger, R.H. Bothast, M.R. Okos and M.R. Ladish, Comparative evaluation of ethanol production by xylose-fermenting yeasts presented high xylose concentrations, Biotechnol. Lett.. 7 (1985). 431-436.**
- **7 w. Scheffers, Xylose fermentation by yeast, Appl. Microbial. Biotechnol., 19 C1987), 256-260.**
- **8 J.C. Du Preez. B.A. Prior, A quantitative screening of some xylose-fermenting yeast isolates, Biotechnol. Lett., 7 (1985). 241-246.**
- **9 R. Chamy. Fermentaci6n de D-xilosa por** *Pichia stipitis en*  **reactores de alta densidad celular. Thesis, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela (1990).**
- **10 J. Suurkuusk and I. Wadsij, A multichannel microcalorimetry system. Chemica Scripta. 20 (1982). 165-163.**
- **11. J.M. Luong. Kinetics of ethanol inhibition in alcohol fermentation, Biotechnol. Bioeng., 27 (1980), 280-285.**