Note

Thermogravimetric, IR spectroscopic and X-ray diffraction studies of Cu(II) -interacted Deolite

Ajay Kumar Khare

Department of Chemistry, Dr. H.S. Gour University, Sagar, Sagar M.P. 470-003 (India) **(Received 17 December 1990)**

The commercial molecular sieve Deolite has been used as a dehydrating agent, but not for cation exchange or adsorption. The cation exchange studies of Deolite with $K(I)$ and Ca(II) have already been carried out and reported [l]. The present paper describes an attempt to prepare the cation exchange derivative of Deolite with Cu(I1) and to investigate this derivative by thermogravimetric, IR spectroscopic **and** X-ray diffraction methods.

EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental details of the sample preparation using synthetic zeolite (Deolite) have been reported previously [2]). The composition was studied using a Shimadzu simultaneous X-ray fluorescence spectrophotometer. The TG analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer thermobalance, in air at a heating rate of 150 K min⁻¹ upto 1173 K. IR spectra were recorded between 4000 and 400 cm^{-1} in KBr pellets and X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained between 2θ angles of 7 and 60 $^{\circ}$, using a Phillips PW1140 unit with a copper target. Most of the reflections were indexed for their (hkl) values using methods reported in the literature [3,4].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analytical data for Deolite and its exchanged derivative are presented in Table 1.

Similar studies have been carried out for other synthetic zeolites [5-71. The analytical data indicate an 80.71% exchange of sodium, expressed as $Na₂O$, from the original Deolite. The TG plots of the copper(II)-exchanged derivative give a total weight loss of 20.40%, of which the major steps of weight loss occur between 353 and 633 K. These steps can be attributed first to dehydration of physically adsorbed water, up to about 397 K, and then to loss of more closely held coordinated water molecules beyond 393 K; these steps are confirmed in the DTA and DTG plots. The DTA plot of this sample shows a very broad peak between the temperature limits mentioned

TABLE 1 Analytical data for composition (wt.%)

TABLE 2

Thermal data for the copper-exchanged Deolite

Zeolite	Total weight loss	Wt. loss $(\%)$ at different temperatures	Rate of reaction (min^{-1})		Activation	
			$n=1$	$n = 2$	energy $(kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})$	
					$n=1$	$n = 2$
$Copper(II)$ - Deolite	20.40	6.1 up to 393 K	5.1×10^{-1}	1.6×10^{-1}	65.0	39.3
		10.0 up to 573 K	5.5×10^{-2}	3.4×10^{-2}	56.8	37.4
		1.4 up to 633 K	1.4×10^{-2}	1.6×10^{-2}	70.1	46.2
		2.9 after 633 K	2.7×10^{-3}	3.5×10^{-3}	42.3	34.4

Fig. 1. Thermogram.

Fig. 2. IR spectra.

above, with two peaks at around 413 and 573 K, while the DTG plot also gives very well-defined peaks at these two temperatures. The weight loss beyond 573 K is the result of dehydration at a much slower rate; this is found at temperatures above 573 K in the case of most zeolites [8]. The

TABLE 3

Principal infrared frequencies $(cm⁻¹)$ ^a

^a Abbreviations: b, broad; m, medium; s, sharp; ms, medium sharp; w, weak.

TABLE 4

X-ray diffraction data for Cu(II)-DeoIite

Fig. 3. X-ray diffractograms.

thermal data are listed in Table 2. The plots of $-\log_e (1 - \alpha)^{1/n}$ against time are not linear for $n = 1$ or 2, while the plots for $-\log_a [g\alpha/T^2]$ against $1000/T$ do yield straight lines, indicating first-order dehydration processes over a definite range of temperature (Fig. 1).

The IR spectrum of the exchanged derivative is identical to that of Deolite. Showing the common absorption bands for hydroxyl (3600-3500 cm^{-1}), water molecules (1660–1600 cm^{-1}) and the framework vibration of Deolite (below 1300 cm⁻¹) [9], see Fig. 2 and Table 3.

The X-ray diffraction powder patterns of Deolite have been reported earlier $[1]$. In the case of the Cu(II)-exchanged derivatives, the (100) and (110) reflections become completely diffused and indistinct although the crystalline structure is unaltered, see Table 4. The X-ray data show some similarities with the data reported for $Cu(II)$ -exchanged zeolites [10], see Fig. 3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Professor S.P. Banejee for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- **1 A.K. Khare and S.P. Baneeee, J. Mat. Sci., (1990).**
- **2 S.P. Banejee, Thermochim. Acta, 53 (1982) 369.**
- **3 N.F.M. Henery, H. Lipson and W.A. Klovster, Interpretation of Photographs, MacMiIlans London, 1955, p. 123.**
- **4 M.J. Berger, X-Ray Crystallography, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1953, p. 100.**
- **5 R.M. Barrer and L.V.C. Rees, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 31 (1969) 219.**
- **6 L.L. Ames, Jr., Can. Mineral., 8 (1966) 572-81.**
- **7 R.M. Barrer and W.M. Meier, J. Chem. Sot., 299 (1958) 4641.**
- **8 R.A. Schoonheydt and J.B. Uytterhoeven, J. Catal., 19 (1976) 35.**
- **9 R.G. Milkey, Am. Mineral., 45 (1960) 990.**
- **10 R.M. Barrer, P.J. Dehny and E.M. Flanigen, U.S. Pat., 3, 306, 922 (1967).**