# The identification of the mechanism function and the kinetic investigation of the energy-storing reaction of ammonium hydrogen sulfate

Jinghua Li, Guien Zhang and Jinyun Wang

Department of Chemistry, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453002 (People's Republic of China)

(Received 11 December 1991)

#### Abstract

The kinetics and the mechanism of the thermal decomposition of  $NH_4HSO_4$  were studied by means of thermogravimetry at constant temperature and with increasing temperature. The mechanism function of the reaction was found and identified by a three-stage identification method. Some kinetic parameters were determined. The results of the two methods showed that the thermal decomposition of  $NH_4HSO_4$  is dominated by an  $R_1$  mechanism, i.e. a one-dimensional phase-boundary reaction. The activation energy E obtained by thermogravimetry at constant temperature is 141.25 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The frequency factor A is  $1.563 \times 10^{10}$  min<sup>-1</sup>. Its kinetic compensation effect is log A = 0.0899E - 2.5143.

#### INTRODUCTION

To select a proper chemical-energy storing system, Wentworth and Chen [1] and others [2-7] have suggested ten standards. Evaluated by these standards, the decomposition and synthesis reactions of  $NH_4HSO_4$  form a fairly ideal system for storing energy:

$$NH_4HSO_4 \rightleftharpoons NH_3(g) + H_2O(g) + SO_3(g)$$

Prengle [2] has also reported some theoretical research on the feasibility of applying the above reaction to the energy-storing system of a solar power station, yet the basic investigation of the reaction is far from complete. In particular, there is little published work on the kinetics and mechanism of the decomposition.

This paper describes the kinetics of the thermal decomposition of  $NH_4HSO_4$  by means of thermogravimetry, both at constant temperature and with linearly increasing temperature. The decomposition mechanism

Correspondence to: Jinghua Li, Department of Chemistry, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan, 453002, People's Republic of China.

was obtained in order to provide basic data for practical use in the energy-storing system.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The  $NH_4HSO_4$  used (Shanghai Reagent Factory, analytical reagent) was dried for 3 h at 50°C, to remove the absorbed water, and was ground in a mortar and sieved with a 190-mesh sifter.

The following experimental procedure was used. About 10 mg of the reagent were put in a 5 mm × 4 mm aluminium crucible which was placed in a WRT-1 microthermal balance (Shanghai Balancing Instruments Factory). Measurements were made at different  $\beta$  values with a nitrogen flux of 30 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. The thermogravimetric curves for the isothermal decomposition of NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub> were recorded with the dynamic run under the same conditions; a relevant computer program was written. The kinetics analysis was performed within the transformation range  $\alpha = 0.1-0.9$ .

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Isothermal method

The TG curves of the isothermal decomposition of  $NH_4HSO_4$  are shown in Fig. 1.

The rate of the decomposition reaction can be expressed as [4]



Fig. 1. TG curves of isothermal decomposition of NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>.

### TABLE 1

Kinetic models for considering the thermal decomposition of NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>

$g(\alpha)$	Symbol	Rate-controlling process
$\alpha^2$	D <sub>1</sub>	One-dimensional diffusion
$\alpha + (1-\alpha)\ln(1-\alpha)$	$D_2$	Two-dimensional diffusion
$(1-(1-\alpha)^{1/3})^2$	$D_3$	Three-dimensional diffusion (Jander function)
$1 - (2/3)\alpha - (1 - \alpha)^{2/3}$	$D_4$	Three-dimensional diffusion (Ginstling-Broushtein function)
$\ln(\alpha/(1-\alpha))$	Au	Autocatalytic reaction (Prout-Tompkins function)
$1-(1-\alpha)^{1/n}$	R <sub>n</sub>	Phase-boundary reaction; $n = 1, 2$ and 3 (one-, two- and three-dimensional diffusion respectively)
$(-\ln(1-\alpha))^{1/m}$	A <sub>m</sub>	Random nucleation, $m = 1$ ; random nucleation and subsequent growth, m = 2, 3 and 4 (Avrami-Erofeev function)

From the Arrhenius equation

$$K = A e^{-E/RT}$$
(2)

eqn. (1) may be written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}t} = A \,\mathrm{e}^{-E/RT} f(\alpha) \tag{3}$$

$$\int_0^\alpha \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{f(\alpha)} = K \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t \tag{4}$$

$$g(\alpha) = Kt \tag{5}$$

where  $f(\alpha)$  and  $g(\alpha)$  are functions related to the reaction mechanism,  $\alpha$  is the fraction decomposed at time t and K is the rate constant.

The correct  $g(\alpha)$  can be preliminarily identified from the linear regression between  $g(\alpha)$  and t. The mechanism functions,  $g(\alpha)$  of the various theoretical models are listed in Table 1 [6].

Taking data at 345°C as an example, Table 2 lists the analytical results for the linear regression between  $g(\alpha)$  and t. In the table, K is the rate constant, r, the correlation coefficient and  $\delta$  the covariance. From the evaluation of r and  $\delta$ , R<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>4</sub> were selected as possible  $g(\alpha)$  models, with R<sub>1</sub> having the better correlation coefficient.

The related kinetic parameters of the isothermal decomposition of  $NH_4HSO_4$  can be obtained by taking logarithms in eqn. (2) and obtaining the linear regression to ln(K-1/T), based on the experimental data at 345, 358, 367 and 377°C. The related *E*, *A* and *r* values are listed in Table 3.

Model	K (min <sup>-1</sup> )	r	δ	
 D <sub>1</sub>	0.01843	0.9758	4.2239	
$\mathbf{D}_2$	0.01840	0.9472	3.9707	
$D_3$	0.00604	0.8929	1.3838	
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}$	0.00401	0.9306	0.9192	
Au	0.09103	0.9936	20.8619	
R <sub>1</sub>	0.01803	1.0000	4.1324	
R <sub>2</sub>	0.01405	0.9885	3.2191	
$R_3$	0.01103	0.9787	2.5287	
A <sub>1</sub>	0.04725	0.9489	10.8286	
$A_2$	0.02566	0.9912	5.8806	
A <sub>3</sub>	0.01806	0.9962	4.1391	
A <sub>4</sub>	0.01399	0.9968	3.2070	

TABLE	2
-------	---

Data for the isothermal decomposition of NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub> at 345°C

# Non-isothermal method

The dynamic TG curves of the thermal decomposition of  $NH_4HSO_4$  at different heating rates are shown in Fig. 2. From eqn. (3) and at a constant heating rate  $\beta = dT/dt$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{A}{\beta} \,\,\mathrm{e}^{-E/RT} f(\alpha) \tag{6}$$

$$\int_0^\alpha \frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{f(\alpha)} = \frac{A}{\beta} \int_{T_0}^T \mathrm{e}^{-E/RT} \,\mathrm{d}T \tag{7}$$

$$g(\alpha) = \frac{AE}{\beta R} P(x) \left( x = E/RT \right)$$
(8)

# TABLE 3Kinetic parameters of isothermal decomposition of NH4HSO4

	E (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$A (\min^{-1})$	$\log A (\min^{-1})$	r
$\overline{D_1}$	135.29	5.1121×10 <sup>9</sup>	9.7086	0.9955
$D_2$	134.17	$3.3443 \times 10^{9}$	9.5243	0.9958
$\overline{D_3}$	133.14	$1.1397 \times 10^{9}$	9.0568	0.9889
D₄	133.81	$8.5173 \times 10^{8}$	8.9303	0.9946
Au	144.68	$1.4980 \times 10^{11}$	11.1755	0.9976
R <sub>1</sub>	141.25	$1.5630 \times 10^{10}$	10.1864	0.9930
$\mathbf{R}_{2}^{\mathbf{i}}$	138.43	$7.0843 \times 10^{9}$	9.8503	0.9973
$R_3$	137.63	$4.8029 \times 10^{9}$	9.6815	0.9979
A <sub>1</sub>	136.01	$1.5255 \times 10^{10}$	10.1834	0.9964
$\mathbf{A}_{2}^{.}$	140.77	$2.0198 \times 10^{10}$	10.3053	0.9984
A,	143.05	$2.1807 \times 10^{10}$	10.3386	0.9970
A₄	144.37	$2.1632 \times 10^{10}$	10.3351	0.9958



Fig. 2. Dynamic TG curves of the thermal decomposition of NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>.

Solving the right-hand side of eqn. (8) by the Coats-Redfern index integral method, and taking the first two approximate values

$$g(\alpha) = \frac{ART^2}{\beta E} \left( 1 - \frac{2RT}{E} \right) e^{-E/RT}$$
(9)

Taking logarithms of both sides

$$\log \frac{g(\alpha)}{T^2} = \log \frac{AR}{\beta E} \left( 1 - \frac{2RT}{E} \right) - \frac{E}{2.303R} \frac{1}{T}$$
(10)

From eqn. (10), it is clear that a straight line of slope -E/2.303R should result when plotting log  $g(\alpha)/T^2$  against 1/T; the frequency factor A can be obtained from the intercept.

Table 4 shows the result of the linear regression for the related data when extrapolating  $\beta$  to 0°C min<sup>-1</sup>. The table also lists the *E* and *A* 

TABLE 4

Kinetic parameters of the NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub> thermal decomposition when  $\beta \rightarrow 0^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>

Model	$E (kJ mol^{-1})$	$\log A (\min^{-1})$	
$\overline{D_1}$	273.64	21.38	
$\dot{D_2}$	304.21	23.89	
$D_{1}$	344.39	26.86	
$D_4$	317.33	24.43	
R <sub>1</sub>	131.83	9.33	
$\mathbf{R}_{2}$	157.32	11.38	
R <sub>3</sub>	167.23	12.10	
A <sub>1</sub>	189.43	14.60	
$A_2$	89.70	5.87	
A <sub>3</sub>	56.49	4.31	
$A_4^{\circ}$	39.87	4.40	



Fig. 3. Kinetic compensation effect on the  $R_1$  mechanism.

values obtained with the different mechanism functions. Comparing the process at constant temperature with the kinetic parameters obtained when  $\beta = 0$ , and considering the proper *E* and *A* values, it is obvious that the  $R_1$  mechanism probably dominates the thermal decomposition process of NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub> and A<sub>4</sub> mechanism should not be considered.

Kinetic compensation effect

In the non-isothermal thermogravimetric experiments, the E and A values will decrease with increasing heating rate. This tendency can be shown by the kinetic compensation effect [3,5]. When the linear heating rates,  $\beta$  were 0.6, 1.2, 2.4 and 4.706°C min<sup>-1</sup> over several runs, a related kinetic compensation law can be formulated for the dynamic thermal decomposition process of NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>. Figure 3 shows the kinetic compensation effect for the R<sub>1</sub> mechanism.

Table 5 shows the compensation constants a and b in the compensation formula log A = a + bE, and also the correlation coefficient of the linear regression. For the dynamic thermal decomposition of NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>, the kinetic compensation formula is

$$\log A = 0.0899E - 2.5143 \tag{11}$$

It is interesting that the law of compensation can also be used as another criterion in the evaluation of the process mechanism function. For example, Table 5 lists the Arrhenius parameters, the kinetic compensation constants for the eleven  $g(\alpha)$  models and the K values obtained at constant temperature according to the compensation law. It is clear that only the two K values from the  $R_1$  mechanism are equal. This confirms

TΑ	BL	E	5

Model	TG at constant temperature		Compensation constants			Rate constant K <sup>a</sup>		
	E	log A	r	a	b	r	Isothermal	Compensation law
$\overline{\mathbf{D}_1}$	135.29	9.7086	0.9955	- 5.5327	0.09837	0.9999	0.01843	$4.0965 \times 10^{-4}$
$\dot{D_2}$	134.19	9.5243	0.9958	-6.3160	0.09929	0.9997	0.01840	$1.0953 \times 10^{-4}$
$\tilde{D_3}$	133.14	9.0563	0.9889	-7.3587	0.09924	0.9992	0.006038	$1.1894 \times 10^{-5}$
$D_4$	133.81	8.9303	0.9946	- 7.1066	0.09931	0.9994	0.004011	$1.9095 \times 10^{-5}$
R <sub>1</sub>	141.25	10.1864	0.9930	-2.5143	0.08991	1.0000	0.01803	0.01763
$R_2$	138.43	9.8503	0.9773	- 3.1141	0.09211	1.0000	0.01405	0.008984
$R_3$	137.63	9.6815	0.9979	- 3.3867	0.09261	1.0000	0.01103	0.005678
A <sub>1</sub>	136.01	10.1834	0.9964	- 3.1209	0.09346	0.9998	0.04725	0.01416
A <sub>2</sub>	140.77	10.3053	0.9984	- 1.6134	0.08322	0.9980	0.02566	0.01584
A <sub>3</sub>	143.05	10.3386	0.9970	- 3.3899	0.13140	0.7551	0.01806	32.1756
A <sub>4</sub>	144.37	10.3351	0.9958	- 5.1867	0.22480	0.5681	0.01399	31541.1457

Comparison of the two rate constants

<sup>a</sup> Rate constants of the  $NH_4HSO_4$  thermal decomposition at 345°C.

that the  $NH_4HSO_4$  thermal decomposition process is dominated by an  $R_1$  mechanism, i.e. the reaction is one-dimensional phase-boundary controlled.

#### CONCLUSION

The identification of the procedural mechanism function is one of the most difficult subjects in the study of solid thermal decompositions. The results of this study, using thermogravimetry both at constant temperature and with programmed increasing temperature show that the thermal decomposition mechanism of  $NH_4HSO_4$  is dominated by a one-dimensional phase-boundary reaction. The activation energy obtained at constant temperature is E = 141.25 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The activation energy obtained by using the increasing temperature program is E = 131.83 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The kinetic compensation formula is log A = 0.0899E - 2.5143.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 W.E. Wentworth and E. Chen, Sol. Energy, 18 (1976) 205.
- 2 H.W. Prengle, Sol. Energy, 18 (1976) 561.
- 3 J. Pysiak and B. Sabalski, J. Therm. Anal., 17 (1979) 287.
- 4 J. Zsako, E. Kekedy and Cs. Varhely, J. Therm. Anal., 6 (1974) 651.
- 5 J. Pysiak and B. Pacewska, J. Therm. Anal., 29 (1984) 879.
- 6 J.M. Criado, M. Gonzalez, A. Ortega and C. Real, J. Therm. Anal., 29 (1984) 243.
- 7 M.S. Murthy, P. Raghavendrachar and S.V. Sviram, Sol. Energy, 36 (1986) 53.