Thermochimica Acta, 9 (1974) 157-169 @ **Elsevier ScicntiEc Publishing Company, Amsterdam - Printed in Belgium**

OXIDATIVE DEGRADATION PRODUCTS OF IRRADIATED POLYETHYLENE

SHIGERU MORISAKI

Research Institute of Industrial Safety, Ministry of Labour, Tokyo (Japan) **(Received 27 November 1973)**

ARSTRACT

Oxidative thermal degradation products of polyethylenes at various tempera**tures crosslinked with electron beams have been analyzed with _eas chromatography and mass spectrometry techniques. Carbon moncxide and carbon dioxide are determined at a temperature range of ZOO-34O"C, and the activation energies of the** unirradiated and the irradiated polyethylene (at 100 Mrad) are 13.5 and 11.4 Kcal/ mole, respectively. C₁ to C₈ hydrocarbons produced in air and in nitrogen are deter**mined at temperatures from 400 to 450°C for the polyethylenes. The irradiated** polyethylene produces less hydrocarbons in air than the unirradiated polyethylene, **contrary to the fact that the crosslinked polymer evolves more hydrocarbons than the unirradiated polymer in a nitrogen atmosphere. Aldehydes and ketones are observed** in the volatile oxidative degradation products, and these carbonyl compounds increase **quantitatively with increase of temperature up to about 460°C. It is concluded that irradiated polyethylene is thermally more unstable in the absence of oxygen and more easily oxidabIe at low degradation temperatures in air than unirradiated polyethyiene. Irradiated polyethyiene, however, is more heat-stable than unirradiated polyethylene from the standpoint of the ignition process.**

INTRODUCTION

In the previous paper', the thermal stability of poiyethylenes irradiated with electron beams was demonstrated by softening point measurement, DTA and TG.

Decomposition products of polyethylene in the absence of oxygen have been determined by many investigators. A number of papers described the oxidative thermal degradation products of polyethylene, but as far as is known, there has been no investigation into the thermal degradation products of polyethyIene crosslinked by irradiation.

Chaigneau and Moan' decomposed polyethylene in the presence of oxygen at 300 and 500 "C, and they found alkanes, alkenes and aromatic compounds besides hydrogen, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide. Spore3 identified fifteen kinds of aiiphatic compounds and nine kinds of oxidized organic compounds at decompcsition temperatures of 75-200°C. In the course of oxygen absorption studies, Matveeva et al.⁴ reported the volatile oxidation products of acids, carbonyl compounds, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, hydrogen and water.

The purpose of this investigation was to determine the oxidative degradation products of the irradiated polyethyIenes using a _eas chromatograph and a gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer combined system to give some relations between the degradation temperature and the quantity of the products.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and irradiation

The polyethylenes used and the irradiation techniques were the same as those mentioned in the previous paper¹. The samples used in this report were cut by a punch to small disks (1.3 mm diameter, 0.2 mm thickness, about 035 mg each) from the microtomed polyethylene sheets.

Degradation system

The apparatus used for the degradation of tbe polymers is a commercial pyrolyzer (Shimadzu Seisakusho, Model PYR-1A) shown in Fig. 1. An aluminium cup (2 mm i.d., 1 mm depth) containing sample was placed in a quartz tube, which

Fig. 1. Degradation system. $A = G$ lass wool; $B =$ sample cell; $C =$ thermocouple; $D =$ furnace; $E =$ quartz tube; $F =$ stopper; $G =$ sample rod.

was connected to a gas chromatograph. The temperature on the quartz tube was contrclled by an additional electronic controller giving a temperature stability of about 1 °C at 400 °C. About 10 sec are required to reach a preselected temperature after the insertion of the sample rod,

The quartz tube has a volume of about *6 ml, The* outlet tube from the furnace is maintained at approximately 140 "C with electrical heating tape to avoid the absorption of oxidative products. Dried air was introduced into :he quartz tube before every run. The degradation was carried out in a state of rest.

The furnace was set from 200 to 340 $^{\circ}$ C for each increase of 20 $^{\circ}$ C in temperature for the determination of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide. The sample was decomposed in air for 20 min. For the determination of hydrocarbons and oxidized organic compounds, the furnace was set from 400 to 500 $^{\circ}$ C for each increase of 10 $^{\circ}$ C in temperature. The sampIe was decomposed in air for 30 sec. The thermal degradation of polyethylenes in nitrogen was also carried out to see the influence of oxygen at the temperature range from 460 to 540°C. The decomposition time was also 30 sec.

Chroma fography and mass spectrometry

The gas chromatograph used was equipped as a duai coIumn instrument. Carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide were separated using Molecular Sieve SA and activated charcoal, respectively. They were monitored by thermal conductivity detection_ The organic products were separated using Porapak Q and monitored by flame ionization detection. The operating conditions of the columns are:

- (1) Molecular Sieve 5A and activated charcoal: $2 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ mm}$ i.d. in stainless steel, temperature at 50°C, helium carrier gas at 50 ml/min.
- (2) Porapak Q: $2 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ mm}$ i.d. in stainless steel, temperature programmed from 40 to 240 °C at $9.8 \degree$ C/min, nitrogen carrier gas at 40 ml/min.

Many of the peaks were identified by comparing retention indices with these of pure materials. For quantitative analyses, calibration curves were prepared for carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide, and approximate substance correction factors were employed for the organic compounds⁵ after measurement of peak areas.

Mass spectra were obtained using a Shimadzu-LKB gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer 9000. The degradation system for the polymer was aIso Shimadzu PYR-1A. Mass spectra were recorded *at* 20-70 eV and interpreted using the data compiled by Stenhagen et al.⁶.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Determination of CO and CO,

Preliminary experiment was carried out to determine a heating time in the oxidative degradation system, and the experiment indicated that the quantity of CO formed during the oxidation became almost constant over 15 min at 250° C. A heating time of 20 min was adopted for the degradation in air from 200 to 340°C.

A few experimental results showing the amount of CO and CO, produced at various temperatures are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, for the unirradiated polyethylenes and the polyethylenes irradiated at 100 Mrad. They are reported in microliter per milligram of sample for the degradation time of 20 min, and are based on two or more repeat tests. Total amounts of CO and $CO₂$ for these samples are shown in Fig. 4.

From these results, it can be seen that the crosslinked polyethylenes are more easily oxidized than the unirradiated polyethylenes at temperatures below 300° C. This **may be mostly due to the increase of tertiary carbon atoms resulting from cross**linking. However, the crosslinking effect is not observed as the temperature approaches the ignition region, because oxygen moIecules attack the polymer chains at random in this temperature range.

The regularity in the production of CO and CO₂ might be related to the fact **that a reproducible exothermic peak in DTA is observed for polyethylene at ca. 200- 300°C.**

It can also be seen from the figures that the oxidation of the linear polyethylenes becomes more rapid than that of the branched polyethylenes. In the autoxidation processes, the tertiary carbon atoms in the branched polymer provide active sites and the highly branched part is oxidized initially_ The branched polymer, however, is less oxidized in the oxidative degradation process because the branched polymer is presumably more easily crosslinked by heating to give a stable state than the linear polymer.

Measurement of actiration energies

Arrhenius plots for the CO and CO₂ production for the polyethylenes are shown **in Figs. 5 and 6. In the calculation of the activation energies, it was assumed that the oxidative degradation obeyed first-order kinetics and 1 mg of polyethylene evolved** 1.6 ml of CO₂ after the complete thermal oxidation. Actually, the quantity of CO and **CO, produced at 600°C for 20 min was about 1.45 ml (Fig. 4)**

The activation energies of the unirradiated polyethylenes and the polyethyIenes irradiated at 20 and 100 Mrad were 13.5, 13.1 and 11.4 Kcal/mole, respectively. The activation energies, however, for the oxidative thermal degradation will be expected

Fig_ 2 Variation of the amount of carbon monoxide for polycthyIcncs irradiated at 100 Mrad with degradation temperature in air. (O) Low-density polyethylene; (⁴) high-density polyethylene.

Fig. 3. Variation of the amount of carbon dioxide for unirradiated polyethylenes with degradation **temperature in air. (0) Low-density polyethy!ene; (a) high-density polyethylene.**

to have **a little larger values than the values mentioned** above, when the products water and a small amount of organic compounds are taken into consideration.

Grieveson et al.⁷ found that at temperatures between 140 and 170 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ the activa**tion energy of the reaction rate of oxidation of polyethylene was constant at 31 KcaI/** mole, but above 170°C it decreased progressively until the value was 15 Kcal/mole at 200°C. Kotoyori⁸ obtained 14.7 Kcal/mole for the activation energy of polyethylene using Kissinger's equation at temperatures between ca. 200 and 280°C.

The activation energies of the branched and the linear polymers appear to be similar, assuming that the same type of oxidation mechanisms occurs in **both cases.**

Analysis of the products in nitrogen

The thermal degradation of polyethylene was carried out in nitrogen in order to be able to compare **it** with that in the presence of oxygen. Fig. 7a shows the chromatographic traces of the thermal degradation of the unirradiated low-density polyethy-Iene at 540°C. The numbers shown in the figure represent the number of carbon atoms of normaLchain hydrocarbons of the degradation products. It is assumed that each peak comprises aikanes, alkenes and alkadienes.

The degradation products of unirradiated low-density and irradiated lowdensity polyethyiene (at 100 Mrad) are presented in Fig. 8. They were **calculated** as "mole per cent of the original polymer" (considered as the monomer, ethylene).

Fig_ A Variation of the total amount of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide for polyetbyIeucs with degradation **temperature in tir. Straight line, unirradiatcd poIycthylencs;** dotted Iine. irradiated polyethylene at 100 Mrad. (O) Low-density polyethylene; (@) high-density polyethylene.

Fig. 5. Arrhenius plots for the production of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide for unirradiated polyethylenes. (O) Low-density polyethylene; (@) high-density polyethylene.

Fig. 6. Arrhenius plots for the production of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide for irradiated polyethylenes at 100 Mrad. (O) Low-density polyethylene; (@) high-density polyethylene.

Fig. 7. (a) Chrornatogram of the degradation products of unit-radiated Iow-density polythykne in nitrogen at 54O'C. (b) Chromatogram of the oxidative degradation products of polyethylene imdiated at 100 Mrad in air at 44O'C_

The quantity of ethylene found in the products is largest at the high pyrolysis temperatures. It is assumed that the main-chain scission of polymer mokcuks occurs easiIy at this temperature range and the products decompose to molecuies of low molecular weight at the first stage⁹. The C_3 and C_6 hydrocarbons are assumed to be the most abundant volatile products at the low degradation temperatures. This result is in agreement with that of Tsuchiya and Sumi¹⁰. They explained this phenomenon from the standpoint of intramolecular transfer of radicals.

The thermal degradation mechanism of irradiated polyethylene appears to be **the same as that of unirradiatcd polyethylene judging from the chromatographic traces. It is also shown from the quantities of hydrocarbons produced that irradiated polyethylene is thermally more unstable than unirradiated poIyethylene.**

Analysis of the products in air

In order to investigate the effect of oxygen on the degradation of the poIyethylenes, the oxidative degradation of the polymers was carried out in air at temperatures of the combustion region. Most of the flammable gases evolved from polyethylene will be oxidized when exposed to air for a long time at temperatures over 400°C. The evolution of flammable gases, however, will be observed when exposed for a short period.

Fig. 7b shows the chromatographic traces of the thermal oxidative degradation of the irradiated Iow-density polyethylene (at 100 Mrad) at 460°C. The gas chromatogram of the unirradiated polymer was similar to that of the irradiated polymer. It is clear that certain peaks (from a to n, exept f, j and m) are characterisric of the oxidative thermal degradation of poIyethylene. Twenty-six peaks were found when

Fig. 8. Degradation products of polyethylenes in nitrogen_ Straight line. unirradiated low-density polyethylene; dotted line. irradiated low-density polyethylene at 100 Mrad. (O) 460°C; (.) 480°C; (△) 400[°]C; (▲) 520[°]C.

the volatile products were separated with a Porapak Q column. All the peaks were also analyzed by the gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer, and hydrocarbons, aliphatic aldehydes and ketones were found. These oxidized **organic compounds are** specified in Table 1.

Fig. 9 shows the quantities of hydrocarbons produced by the oxidative degradation of unirradiated and irradiated polyethylene at various degradation temperatures. In Fig. 10, the variation in the amount of C_2 and C_3 hydrocarbons produced **in** air and in nitrogen **is** shown with increasing degradation temperature-

Fig. 9. Hydrocarbons produced by the oxidative degradation of polyethylenes in air. Straight line, unirradiated low-density polyethylene; dotted line, irradiated low-density polyethylene at 100 Mrad. **(0) 410°C; (0) 430°C; (A) 450°C; (A) 470%**

TABLE 1

i \mathbf{k}

 $\mathbf{1}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{n}}$

Methyl vinyl ketone

Chrotonaldehyde

Valeraldehyde

Not identified

Heptaldehyde

ANALYSIS OF OXIDIZED ORGANIC PRODUCTS OF POLYETHYLENE BY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY AND MASS SPECTROMETRY

79-80

104

120

152.8

Fig. 10. C_2 and C_3 hydrocarbons produced by the degradation of polyethylenes in air and in nitrogen. Straight line, in air; dotted line, in nitrogen. (O) C_2 for unirradiated low-density polyethylene; (0) C_2 for irradiated low-density polyethylene at 100 Mrad; (\triangle) C_3 for unirradiated low-density polyethylene; (\triangle) C_3 for irradiated low-density polyethylene at 100 Mrad.

Ethylene is also the most abundant volatiIe degradation product in air. This may be because the chain scission of polymer molecules occurs easily in the presence of oxygen over this temperature range, and a good deal of volatile monomer radicals and poIymer radicals of low molecular weight is produced apart from peroxy radicals.

At low degradation temperatures the quantities of hydrocarbons produced for the irradiated polymer are small compared to those produced for the unirradiated **polymer. This is because CO and CO2 are produced abundantly at the beginning of the oxidative thermal degradation_ From the standpoint of the ignition process, it can be** said that the irradiated polyethylene is less flammable than the unirradiated poly**ethylene.**

Except for carbonyl compounds no oxidized organic compounds were observed in the oxidative degradation. These carbonyl compounds are produced from the **decomposition of hydroperoxides. AicohoIs may not be isolated because alcoholic** groups react rapidly with oxygen to yield carbonyl-containing compounds. Acid is **one of the important products in the autoxidation degradation products. Acids, however, are scarcely evolved in the short degradation period at temperatures of the combustion region.**

Fig. 11. Aldehydes produced by the oxidative degradation of polyethylenes in air. Straight line, unirradiated polyethylene; dotted line, irradiated polyethylene at 100 Mrad. (O) AcetaIdehyde; **(0) acrolein and propionaldehyde.**

The amount of the degradation products acetaldehyde, acrolein and propionaldehyde is shown in Fig. 11 with varying degradation temperatures. As can be seen **the production of these aldehydes does not increase over 460 "C. The ignition temperatures of aidehydes are relativeIy Iow, so that the presence of aldehydes in the evolved** degradation products may lead to the ignition of polyethylene.

In this investigation, the isothermal degradation method was applied to the oxidative degradation of the polyethylenes. The disadvantage of this procedure is the time required to heat the sample to operating temperature. This disadvantage, however, can be largely overcome by using a small sample cell containing small samples. It might be necessary to consider the catalytic influence of an aluminum cell on the oxidative degradation reaction.

ACKXOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank Mr. K. Kitsuwa (Shimadzu Seisakusho) for his help with the mass spectrometer.

REFERENCES

- **I S. Morisaki,** *Thermochim. Acra. 4 (1972) 57.*
- *2 M.* **Chaigneau and G. L. Moan,** *Ann. Pharm. Fr.. 28 (1970) 29.*
- *3* **R. L. Spore,** *Prod. Res. Decefop.. 11 (1) (1972) 36.*
- *4* **E. N. Matveeva. S. S. Khinkis, A. I. Tsvetkova and V. A. Balandina,** *PlasrmassF Mashimosrr. Mask. Dom Nauch- Tekh. Propagan& 1963.* **p_ 2_**
- **5 A. J. Andreatch and R_ Feinland,** *Anai. Chem., 32 (1960) 1021.*
- *6* **E. Stenhagen. S. Abrahamson and F- W. McLaffrty,** *Arias of Mass Specwal Darn,* **Interscience Publishers. New York, 1969.**
- **7 B. M- Grieveson, R- L. Haward and E- Wright.** *Thermal Degradation of Pol~rnt-rs, SC1* **Monograph 13, Society of Chemical Industry. London, 1961. p_** *420..*
- *8* **T. Kotoyori,** *Thermochim. Acra, 5 (1972) 51_*
- *9* **T. Abo and T. Watanabe, Kog_vo** *Kagaka Zasshi. 74 (1971) 885.*
- *10 Y-* **Tsuchiya and K. Sumi,** *J_ PO&m_ Sri., 6 (1968)* **415**