Excess molar enthalpies for methanol + acetone and methanol + acetone + benzene mixtures at 298.15 K

Isamu Nagata

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Division of Physical Sciences, Kanazawa University, 40-20, Kodatsuno 2-chome, Kanazawa 920, Japan (Received 30 August 1993; accepted 21 September 1993)

Abstract

Excess molar enthalpies for the methanol + acetone and methanol + acetone + benzene systems were measured using a flow microcalorimeter. The measured values were correlated with polynomial equations and were compared with those calculated from a mole fraction association model having binary parameters alone.

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Greek letters

α_{II} **non-randomness parameter of NRTL equation for I-J pair**

0040-6031/94/\$07.00 0 1994 - Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved

Subscripts

Superscripts

INTRODUCTION

As part of a series of programs to measure excess molar enthalpies H^E for alkanol + polar component + hydrocarbon mixtures, this paper presents experimental H^E values for methanol + acetone and meth a nol + acetone + benzene mixtures. A flow microcalorimeter constructed in this laboratory was used to obtain H^E data for these mixtures at 298.15 K. Experimental H^E values will be reduced using polynomial equations and an association model. H^E data for the two binaries constituting the ternary mixture at 298.15 K are available from the literature and are used in the data reduction for methanol + benzene $[1]$ and acetone $+$ benzene [2].

EXPERIMENTAL

Methanol (first grade) was shaken with calcium oxide and fractionated in a glass column with McMahon packing. Acetone (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd., guaranteed reagent grade) was dried over fresh potassium carbonate followed by fractional distillation in a vacuum. Benzene (first grade) was purified by recrystallization three times. The densities of the chemicals used for experimental work, measured with an Anton Paar densimeter (DMA40) at 298.15 K, agreed satisfactorily with literature values $[3]$. H^E data were measured with the flow microcalorimeter described previously [41.

RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Table 1 gives the experimental values of H^E for methanol(1) + acetone(2) at 298.15 K together with the deviations $\delta H^{\rm E} = (H^{\rm E} - H^{\rm E}_{\mu})$ calculated from the equation

$$
H_{ij}^{\rm E} = x_i x_j \sum_{n=1}^{m} A_{n,ij} (x_i - x_j)^{n-1}
$$
 (1)

Figure 1 compares the present results with published values for methanol $+$ acetone [5-11]. The H^{E} data of methanol(1) + benzene(3) were correlated with the equation

$$
H_{13}^{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{10^4 x_1 x_3}{\sum_{n=1}^{m} A_{n,13} (x_1 - x_3)^{n-1}}
$$
 (2)

The data for acetone(2) + benzene(3) were fitted to eqn. (1). Table 2 shows the coefficients $A_{n,i}$ of eqns. (1) and (2).

The experimental ternary values of H^E for methanol(1) + acetone(2) + benzene (3) are listed in Table 3. Equation (3) was fitted to the ternary *HE* data

$$
H^{\rm E} = H_{12}^{\rm E} + H_{13}^{\rm E} + H_{23}^{\rm E} + x_1 x_2 x_3 \Delta \tag{3}
$$

where

$$
\Delta/RT = C_0 - C_1x_1 - C_2x_2 - C_3x_1^2 - C_4x_2^2 - C_5x_1x_2 - C_6x_1^3 \tag{4}
$$

The coefficients of eqn. (4) were calculated by the method of unweighted least squares: $C_0 = 6.6654$, $C_1 = 21.5188$, $C_2 = 9.2843$, $C_3 = -22.2394$,

TABLE 1

Experimental excess molar enthalpies for methanol(1) + acetone(2) at 298.15 K

x_1	$H^{E}/(J \text{ mol}^{-1})$	$\delta H^{\rm E}/(\text{J} \text{ mol}^{-1})$	x_1	$H^{E}/(J \text{ mol}^{-1})$	$\delta H^{\rm E}/(\text{J} \text{ mol}^{-1})$
0.0500	171.3	1.4	0.5500	674.7	1.1
0.1000	307.0	-2.9	0.6000	645.8	2.4
0.1500	423.1	-0.4	0.6500	602.7	0.9
0.2000	513.5	-0.5	0.7000	547.1	-1.7
0.2500	584.4	0.4	0.7500	483.8	-0.9
0.3000	634.6	-1.2	0.8000	407.3	-2.3
0.3500	671.4	0.3	0.8500	322.6	-0.7
0.4000	692.2	0.6	0.9000	226.2	0.1
0.4500	699.8	1.6	0.9500	118.8	0.5
0.5000	693.6	1.6			

Fig. 1. Excess molar enthalpies for methanol(1) + acetone(2) at 298.15 K. Experimental: \bullet , this work; \blacksquare , ref. 5; \blacktriangle , ref. 6; \blacklozenge , ref. 7; ∇ , ref. 8; \bigcirc , ref. 9; \Box , ref. 10; \triangle , ref. 11; $____\,$ calculated from eqn. (1).

TABLE 2

Binary coefficients of eqns. (1) and (2) and deviation

System $(i + j)$	$A_{1,ii}$	$A_{2,ii}$	$A_{3,ii}$	A_{4ii}	$A_{5,ii}$	$A_{6,ii}$	
$Method + accone$	2768.15	$+496.28$	327.64	$-1.33.33$			1.5
$Method + benzene$	4.0083	2.4777	-0.2986	0.4673	0.0729	0.0560	
$Accept + benzene$	554.95	-46.97	171.91	23.93	23.23	-172.88	0.9

 $C_4 = -4.9667$, $C_5 = -16.0702$ and $C_6 = 7.2229$; and the absolute mean deviation of the experimental values from the calculated results is 5.4 J mol⁻¹ and the standard deviation s is 8.4. Figure 2 plots lines of constant values of the ternary H^E calculated from eqns. (3) and (4).

TABLE 3

Experimental ternary excess molar enthalpies for methanol(1) + $\text{acetone}(2)$ + $\text{benzene}(3)$ mixtures at 298.15 K

x_1	x_2	$H^E/(J \text{ mol}^{-1})$	x_1	x_2	$H^E/(J \text{ mol}^{-1})$	x_1	x_2	$H^E/(J \text{ mol}^{-1})$
$x'_2 = 0.2499$ ^a			$x'_2 = 0.4989$ *			$x'_2 = 0.7502$ ^a		
0.0500	0.2375	411.2	0.0500	0.4740	371.1	0.0500	0.7127	296.2
0.1000	0.2250	549.3	0.1000	0.4490	502.5	0.1000	0.6752	427.5
0.1500	0.2125	641.6	0.1500	0.4241	600.7	0.1500	0.6377	534.6
0.2000	0.2000	698.3	0.2000	0.3991	671.1	0.2000	0.6002	616.3
0.2500	0.1875	724.9	0.2500	0.3742	716.0	0.2500	0.5627	675.7
0.3000	0.1750	739.7	0.3000	0.3492	735.5	0.3000	0.5251	713.7
0.3500	0.1625	736.3	0.3500	0.3243	744.8	0.3500	0.4876	735.1
0.4000	0.1500	721.8	0.4000	0.2993	739.5	0.4000	0.4501	738.7
0.4500	0.1375	693.6	0.4500	0.2744	717.7	0.4500	0.4126	730.2
0.5000	0.1250	657.3	0.5000	0.2495	690.7	0.5000	0.3751	708.2
0.5500	0.1125	614.0	0.5500	0.2245	652.8	0.5500	0.3376	682.7
0.6000	0.1000	569.2	0.6000	0.1996	606.0	0.6000	0.3001	641.6
0.6500	0.0875	515.1	0.6500	0.1745	554.5	0.6500	0.2626	588.8
0.7000	0.0750	456.0	0.7000	0.1497	494.2	0.7000	0.2251	531.0
0.7500	0.0625	387.9	0.7500	0.1247	426.8	0.7500	0.1876	460.6
0.8000	0.0500	319.9	0.8000	0.0998	353.1	0.8000	0.1500	384.9
0.8500	0.0375	245.4	0.8500	0.0748	274.0	0.8500	0.1125	299.9
0.9000	0.0250	168.4	0.9000	0.0499	188.1	0.9000	0.0750	207.1
0.9500	0.0125	85.2	0.9500	0.0250	97.6	0.9500	0.0375	106.8

^a Ternary mixtures were obtained by mixing pure methanol with $[x'_2 \text{ acetone} + (1 - x'_2)$ benzene].

Fig. 2. Curves of constant excess molar enthalpies for methanol(1) + acetone(2) + benzene(3) at 298.15 K; \longrightarrow , calculated from eqn. (3).

The ternary experimental H^E results were compared with the predictions of an association model based on mole-fraction statistics [121. According to this model the association constants and the enthalpies of hydrogen bond formation for alcohol open chains are: K_2 and h_2 , for $A_1 + A_1 = A_2$; K_3 and $(2h - h_2)$, for $A_2 + A_1 = A_3$; *K* and *h*, for $A_i + A_1 = A_{i+1}$, $(i \ge 3)$; cyclic chains are formed from open chains, $K_{cy} = \theta/i$ and *h*, for A_i (open) = A_i(cyclic) $(i > 4)$, θ being independent of *i*. The solvation constants and the enthalpies of complex formation between open chains (A_i) and one molecule of acetone (B) or benzene (C) are K_{AB} and h_{AB} for A_i (open) + $B_1 = A_i B$ $(i \ge 1)$; and K_{AC} and h_{AC} for A_i (open) $+C_1 = A_i C$ $(i \ge 1)$. The equilibrium constants are defined in terms of the mole fractions of chemical species. The ternary expression of H^E are given as the sum of two contribution terms: chemical and physical.

$$
H^{E} = H_{\text{chem}}^{E} + H_{\text{phys}}^{E}
$$
\n
$$
(5)
$$
\n
$$
H_{\text{chem}}^{E} = \{(1 + K_{\text{AB}}x_{\text{B1}} + K_{\text{AC}}x_{\text{C1}})[h_{2}K_{2}x_{\text{A1}}^{2} + hK_{2}K_{3}x_{\text{A1}}^{3}(2 - z)/(1 - z)^{2}] + hK_{2}K_{3}K^{2}\theta x_{\text{A1}}^{5}/(1 - z)
$$
\n
$$
+ (h_{\text{AB}}K_{\text{AB}}x_{\text{B1}} + h_{\text{AC}}K_{\text{AC}}x_{\text{C1}})[x_{\text{A1}} + K_{2}x_{\text{A1}}^{2} + K_{2}K_{3}x_{\text{A1}}^{3}/(1 - z)]\}/S
$$
\n
$$
- x_{\text{A}}[h_{2}K_{2}x_{\text{A1}}^{*2} + hK_{2}K_{3}x_{\text{A1}}^{*3}(2 - z^{*})/(1 - z^{*})^{2} + hK_{2}K_{3}K^{2}\theta x_{\text{A1}}^{*5}/(1 - z^{*})]/S^{*}
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

where $z = Kx_{A1}$ and S is the stoichiometric sum given by

$$
S = (1 + K_{AB}x_{B1} + K_{AC}x_{C1})[x_{A1} + 2K_2x_{A1}^2 + K_2K_3x_{A1}^3(3 - 2z)/(1 - z)^2]
$$

+ $K_2K_3K^2\theta x_{A1}^5/(1 - z) + (K_{AB}x_{B1} + K_{AC}x_{C1})[x_{A1} + K_2x_{A1}^2$
+ $K_2K_3x_{A1}^3/(1 - z)] + x_{B1} + x_{C1}$ (7)

The sum of the mole fractions of all chemical species is equal to unity

$$
(1 + K_{AB}x_{B1} + K_{AC}x_{C1})[x_{A1} + K_2x_{A1}^2 + K_2K_3x_{A1}^3/(1-z)]
$$

– $(K_2K_3\theta/K^3)[\ln(1-z) + z + z^2/2 + z^3/3 + z^4/4] + x_{B1} + x_{C1} = 1$ (8)

The nominal mole fractions of the components, x_A , x_B and x_C , are related to the monomeric mole fractions of the components, x_{A1} , x_{B1} and x_{C1} , and the equilibrium constants

$$
x_{A} = \{ (1 + K_{AB}x_{B1} + K_{AC}x_{C1})[x_{A1} + 2K_{2}x_{A1}^{2} + K_{2}K_{3}x_{A1}^{3}(3 - 2z)/(1 - z)^{2}] + K_{2}K_{3}K^{2}\theta x_{A1}^{5}/(1 - z) \}/S
$$
\n(9)

$$
x_{\rm B} = \{x_{\rm B1} + K_{\rm AB}x_{\rm B1}[x_{\rm A1} + K_2x_{\rm A1}^2 + K_2K_3x_{\rm A1}^3/(1-z)]\}/S
$$
 (10)

$$
x_{\rm C} = \{x_{\rm C1} + K_{\rm AC}x_{\rm C1}[x_{\rm A1} + K_2x_{\rm A1}^2 + K_2K_3x_{\rm A1}^3/(1-z)]\}/S\tag{11}
$$

Equations (7)–(11) are solved simultaneously to obtain x_{A1} , x_{B1} and x_{C1} .

At pure alcohol state, $z^* = Kx_{A1}^*$, x_{A1}^* and S^* are derived from the equations

$$
x_{A1}^{*} + K_2 x_{A1}^{*2} + K_2 K_3 x_{A1}^{*3}/(1 - z^{*})
$$

\n
$$
- (K_2 K_3 \theta/K^3)[\ln(1 - z^{*}) + z^{*} + z^{*2}/2 + z^{*3}/3 + z^{*4}/4] = 1
$$

\n
$$
S^{*} = x_{A1}^{*} + 2K_2 x_{A1}^{*2} + K_2 K_3 x_{A1}^{*3}(3 - 2z^{*})/(1 - z^{*})^{2}
$$

\n
$$
+ K_2 K_3 K^2 \theta x_{A1}^{*5}/(1 - z^{*})
$$
\n(13)

The physical contribution term is given by applications of the Gibbs-Helmholtz equation to the NRTL equation for the excess Gibbs free energy $[13]$

$$
H_{\text{phys}}^{\text{E}} = R \sum_{\text{I}} x_{\text{I}} \left(\frac{\sum_{j} x_{\text{J}} \frac{\partial (\tau_{\text{JI}} G_{\text{JI}})}{\partial (1/T)} - \frac{\sum_{j} \tau_{\text{JI}} G_{\text{JI}} x_{\text{J}} \sum_{\text{K}} x_{\text{K}} \frac{\partial G_{\text{K1}}}{\partial (1/T)} \right)
$$
(14)

where τ_{JI} and G_{JI} are defined by

$$
\tau_{\rm JI} = a_{\rm JI}/T \tag{15}
$$

$$
G_{\rm JI} = \exp(-\alpha_{\rm JI}\tau_{\rm JI})\tag{16}
$$

and the non-randomness parameter α_{II} is set as 0.3 for each binary mixture. The energy parameters a_{I} are assumed to be linearly temperature-dependent

$$
a_{\rm H} = C_{\rm H} + D_{\rm H} (T - 273.15) \tag{17}
$$

A simplex method [14] was used to obtain the coefficients C_{JI} and D_{JI} .

The association parameters are $K_2 = 70$, $K_3 = 120$, $K = 100$ and $\theta = 90$ at 298.15 K, and $h_2 = -21.2$ and $h = -23.5$ kJ mol⁻¹. The solvation parameters are $K_{AB} = 15$ at 323.15 K, $h_{AB} = -21.0 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, $K_{AC} = 3$ at 328.15 K and $h_{AC} = -8.2 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ [12]. The temperature dependence of the equilibrium constants is fixed via the Van't Hoff equation. Table 4 gives the binary calculated results based on the association model. The ternary *HE* predictions were performed using only binary information: the absolute arithmetic mean deviation between the experimental and calculated values for 57 data points is $9.3 \text{ J} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ and the root-mean-squared deviation is 10.4 J mol⁻¹, indicating that agreement is good.

TABLE 4

Binary calculated results based on the association model

Mixture $(A + B)$		$C_{BA}/(K) = C_{AB}/(K) = D_{BA}$		D_{AB}	Abs. arith. mean dev./ $(J \text{ mol}^{-1})$
$Method + acetone$	1070.58	338.58	-3.8742	0.5540	5.8
Methanol $+$ benzene	-1084.29	843.71	-3.8301	3.5643	4.4
$Accept + benzene$	553.16	513.11	1.714	1.6470	1.0

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author thanks Mr K. Myohen for his help.

REFERENCES

- 1 R.V. Mrazek and H.C. Van Ness, AIChE J., 7 (1961) 190-195.
- 2 Y. Akamatsu, H. Ogawa and S. Murakami, Thermochim. Acta, 113 (1987) 141-150.
- 3 J.A. Riddick, W.B. Bunger and T.K. Sakano, Organic Solvents, 4th edn., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1986.
- 4 I. Nagata, K. Tamura, S. Ozaki and K. Myohen, Thermochim. Acta, 209 (1992) 31-41.
- 5 I. Nagata and K. Tamura, Fluid Phase Equilibria, 15 (1983) 67-79.
- 6 B.A. Coomber and C.J. Wormald, J. Chem. Thermodyn., $8(1976)$ 793–799.
- 7 A.N. Cambell and E.M. Kartzmark, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 5 (1973) 163-172.
- 8 V.P. Belousov, L.M. Kurtynina and A.A. Kozulyaev, Vestn. St. Petersburg Univ., Fiz. Khim., (10) (1970) 163-166.
- 9 W. Drinkard and D. Kivelson, J. Phys. Chem., 62 (1958) 1494-1498.
- 10 A.T. Kister and D.C. Waldman, J. Phys. Chem., 62 (1958) 245-246.
- 11 H. Hirobe, J. Fac. Sci., Imp. Univ. Tokyo, 1 (1926) 155-222.
- 12 I. Nagata and K. Tamura, Thermochim. Acta, 57 (1982) 331-349.
- 13 H. Renon and J.M. Prausnitz, AIChE J., 14 (1968) 135-144.
- 14 J.A. Nelder and R. Mead, Comput. J., 7 (1965) 308-313.