

 $SEVIER$ Thermochimica Acta 286 (1996) 233-243

thermochimica acta

Energetics of formation of KF-GdF 3 binary-intermediate compounds

James O. Eckert, Jr.^{a,1}, I-Ching Lin^b, Malgorzata M. Lencka^{a,2}, Paul M. Bridenbaugh^c, Alexandra Navrotsky^b, Robert A. Laudise ~, Richard E. Riman **a..**

^a Department of Ceramics, College of Engineering, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, *Piscataway, NJ 08855-0909, USA b Department of Geoloyical and Geophysical Sciences and Princeton Materials Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA ~A T & T Bell Laboratories, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, NJ 07974-0636, USA*

Received 6 November 1995; accepted 5 March 1996

Abstract

Enthalpies of formation were measured for $KGdF_4$, K_2GdF_5 and KGd_2F_7 using the transposed temperature drop calorimetry method. These compounds were synthesized by conventional solid state reaction methods using mixtures of KF and GdF_3 . The measured enthalpies of formation from fluorides for KGdF₄, K₂GdF₅ and KGd₂F₇ were -22.3 , -16.1 and $-18.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, respectively. From these measurements, enthalpies of formation from the elements were computed to be $-2290.0, -2852.4$ and -3985.2 kJ mol⁻¹ for the respective compounds. These enthalpies were compared with estimated values calculated by two methods previously reported to be effective for multicomponent oxides. Estimated values compare favorably with measured data, with deviations ranging from 0.5 to 2.9%. The errors of 0.5–2.9% correspond to a 16–85 kJ mol⁻¹ uncertainty range for $\Delta_f H^0$ of ternary fluorides from binary fluorides. One of these methods, based on the summation of $\Delta_rH⁰$ values for the constituent binary fluorides, is recommended for the $K-Gd-F$ compounds.

Keywords: Calorimetry; Enthalpy of formation; Estimation; Optical materials; Ternary fluorides

0040-6031/96/\$15.00 \odot 1996 – Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved *Pll:* S0040-6031(96)02963-2

^{*} Corresponding author.

 $¹$ Present Address: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Rutgers, The State University of</sup> New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08855-0909, USA.

² Present Address: OLI Systems, lnc., 108 American Road, Morris Plains, NJ 07950, USA.

1. Introduction

Multicomponent fluorides have tremendous potential for use in passive and active optical materials. As passive materials, the glassy heavy metal fluorides exhibit excellent infrared transparency with a projected loss minimum that exceeds that of intrinsic silica by two orders of magnitude [1]. As active materials, the broad transparency window and longer wavelength phonon spectra facilitate rare earth fluorescence energies that have never been observed in oxides. Both crystals and glassy materials have been studied extensively for such purposes [2,3].

In order to develop useful processes for making fluoride materials as well as to identify compounds that have suitable chemical durability, thermodynamic data are essential. In this paper, attention will be focused on the thermochemical properties of the family of compounds in the KF-GdF₃ system: KGdF₄, K₂GdF₅ and KGd₂F₇.

While phase equilibria of the $KF-GdF_3$ system have been reported for both solid-gas $[4-6]$ and hydrothermal solid-liquid $[3]$ systems, no thermochemical data have been reported for these compounds. Furthermore, estimation methods for such fluoride systems have not been reported either. Thus, the objectives of this paper are to provide reliable thermochemical data and to identify an estimation procedure by which such data can be predicted for the $KF - GdF_3$ system as well as for other multicomponent fluorides.

2. Experimental

The compounds $KGdF_4$, K_2GdF_5 , and KGd_2F_7 were each synthesized by a conventional solid state reaction method. KF (Alfa/Johnson Matthey, Ward Hill, MA, 99.99%, anhydrous) and GdF_3 (Alfa/Johnson Matthey, 99.99%, anhydrous) were mixed in three batches corresponding to the ratios of $1:1, 2:1$ and $1:2$ using a mortar and pestle. The various fluorides were reacted in a platinum boat at 923 K in a muffle furnace for 36-48 h, which was operated in a nitrogen filled glovebox. X-ray diffraction for each sample indicated the formation of phase-pure $KGdF_4$, K_2GdF_5 , and KGd_2F_7 with patterns similar to those of materials synthesized hydrothermally by Bridenbaugh et al. [3].

The goal of the calorimetric experiments was to determine enthalpies of high temperature reactions, which convert the ternary fluoride and a corresponding stoichiometric mixture of binary fluorides to the same thermodynamic final state. Considering the $KF-GdF_3$ phase diagram [4,5] and the capabilities of our high temperature calorimeters, the liquid state at 1073 K was chosen as this final state. At this temperature, the fluoride compositions chosen melted quickly and completely. Using samples in welded gold capsules (see below) eliminates any problems of volatile loss and reaction with the atmosphere. These direct melting experiments produced heats of fusion, from which heats of formation from the fluorides were directly calculated. Enthalpies of formation from the elements were then calculated using reference values for the binary fluorides taken from the compilation of Barin [7].

Heat-content measurements were accomplished using a high-temperature hybrid calorimeter [8]. This calorimeter combines the advantages of the Calvet twin and Setaram HT-1500 calorimeters. The samples, each ~ 80 mg, were sealed in gold capsules in a dry box at room temperature and dropped into the calorimeter at 1073 K. Data were acquired by a PC equipped with an IEEE-488 interface board (GPIB). Calibration was established by dropping known masses of platinum. Calibration experiments produced standard deviations of $\sim \pm 1\%$. Each sample was dropped three times. Enthalpy measurements on crystalline compounds, i.e., $KGdF₄$ and $KGd₂F₃$, that have higher melting points than the temperature of the experiment (1073) K) were performed by a method similar to that developed to measure the enthalpy of formation of $NaMgF₃$ [8]. This technique converts both reactants and products to the same final molten state by dropping a mixture of the reactant or product plus a known excess of one of the components to produce a near-eutectic bulk composition. For example, KF was added to $KGdF_4$ to bring the overall molar composition to 66.67% KF, which is close to one of the two eutectic points in the $KF-GdF_3$ system (1001 K at 63% KF). This composition ensured rapid mixing and formation of a homogeneous liquid in the calorimeter at 1073 K. Enthalpies measured for the fusion reactions and the heats of formation from the binary fluorides are listed in Table 1.

The drop of the mechanical mixture of KF and $GdF₃$ is represented by the following reaction

$$
2KF(\text{cr}, 298.15) + GdF_3(\text{cr}, 298.15) \xrightarrow{\Delta_r H_1} 2KF \cdot GdF_3(l, 1073)
$$
 (1)

The drop of the second type of sample, a mixture of $KGdF₄$ and KF , is represented by

$$
KGdF4(cr, 298.15) + KF (cr, 298.15) \xrightarrow{\Delta, H_2} 2KF \cdot GdF3(l, 1073)
$$
 (2)

^a Uncertainties on measurements represent twice the standard deviation of the mean [9].

^b Uncertainty calculated as the square root of the sum of the squares of the values for the individual reactions.

The final state is the same in both reactions. The difference between these two reactions gives

$$
KF (cr, 298.15) + GdF3(cr, 298.15) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{r}H_{3}} KGdF4(cr, 298.15)
$$
 (3)

so $\Delta H_3 = \Delta H_1 - \Delta H_2$, where ΔH_3 is the enthalpy of formation of KGdF₄ from the binary end-member fluorides at 298.15 K. The same approach was applied to the enthalpy measurement of KGd_2F_7 .

For K_2GdF_5 , which has a lower melting temperature than the calorimetric temperature, a simple direct-drop method was used. The relevant thermodynamic cycle is

$$
K_2GdF_5(\text{cr}, 298.15) \xrightarrow{\Delta, H_4} 2KF \cdot GdF_3(l, 1073)
$$
\n
$$
\tag{4}
$$

$$
2KF(\text{cr}, 298.15) + GdF_3(\text{cr}, 298.15) \xrightarrow{\Delta_i H_s} 2KF \cdot GdF_3(l, 1073)
$$
 (5)

Again, the final state is the same in both reactions. The difference between these two reactions gives

$$
2\mathbf{K} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{cr}, 298.15) + \mathbf{GdF}_3(\mathbf{cr}, 298.15) \xrightarrow{\Delta, H_a} \mathbf{K}_2 \mathbf{GdF}_5(\mathbf{cr}, 298.15) \tag{6}
$$

Thus, $\Delta H_6 = \Delta H_5 - \Delta H_4$, where ΔH_6 is the enthalpy of formation of K₂GdF₅ from the fluorides at 298 K.

3. Estimation methods

Although we have experimentally obtained the enthalpies of formation of $KGdF₄$, K_2GdF_5 and KGd_2F_7 , it is worthwhile to examine independent methods for their prediction in order to check the conformity of the obtained values with generally observed trends. Various methods for the estimation of the Gibbs energy of formation from the elements $(\Delta_f G^0)$ for solid compounds have been reviewed by Nordstrom and Munoz [10] and, later, by Sverjensky [11]. Most methods introduce uncertainties of about \pm (20–40) kJ mol⁻¹ [12] unless they are restricted to isostructural families of crystalline solids. In general, the availability of thermochemical data for multicomponent fluorides is very limited thus making it impossible to create isostructural families. Therefore, in our work, Gibbs energies of formation of multicomponent fluorides are estimated by using a method that was previously developed to predict $\Delta_r G^0$ values for a variety of oxides, i.e., hydroxides, silicates, phosphates, sulfates, nitrates and carbonates $[13-16]$. Then, enthalpies of formation for those compounds are calculated using estimated entropies and are compared to measured $\Delta_f H^0$ values. For comparison, we made an attempt to calculate the enthalpies of formation of ternary fluorides as a sum of those for binary fluorides. This is justified by the fact that the enthalpies of formation of KGdF₄, K₂GdF₅ and KGd₂F₇ from their constituent fluorides are relatively small (see Table 1).

The first method, previously used for multicomponent oxides, is based on the knowledge of $\Delta_tG⁰$ of the constituent oxides and metal cations. The original equation of Tardy and Vieillard [14] for multicomponent oxides is

$$
\Delta \text{compound} = \alpha \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} (\Delta O^2 \text{ }^-(\text{Me}) - \Delta O^2 \text{ }^-(\text{Me}_{\text{ref}})) \tag{7}
$$

where Δ compound is equal to the difference between the Gibbs energy of formation of the solid compound and those for the corresponding oxides

$$
\Delta \text{compound} = \Delta_f G^0(\text{compound}) - \Sigma \Delta_f G^0(\text{oxides}) \tag{7a}
$$

and n_1 and n_2 are the numbers of oxygen atoms required to balance the cation of interest and the reference cation in the formula of the multicomponent oxide, respectively.

Relationship (7) is linear with respect to the product $(n_1n_2/(n_1 + n_2))$ (ΔO^2 ⁻(Me) – $\Delta O^{2-}(Me_{ref})$) and α is a proportionality constant that is characteristic of a family of compounds. A multicomponent oxide consists of two metals: the metal cation of interest (Me) and a reference metal cation (Me_{ref}) that is common in the family of solids. Δ O²⁻(Me) is a parameter for the cation of interest, which is expressed as a difference between $\Delta_f G^0$ of its corresponding crystalline oxide, $\Delta_f G^0$ (MeO_{zi2}, cr), and that for the aqueous cation, $\Delta_f G^0$ (Me^{z +}, aq)

$$
\Delta O^{2-}(Me) = (2/z)(\Delta_f G^0(MeO_{z/2}, cr) - \Delta_f G^0(Me^{z+}, aq))
$$
\n(7b)

where z is the charge number on the cation of interest. The same expression is valid for the parameter ΔO^2 ⁻(Me_{ref}) for the reference cation.

In this work, we adapt this method to multicomponent fluorides. When applied to fluorides of a general formula $x(MeF_a) \cdot y(Me_{ref}F_b)$, Eq. (7) takes the form

$$
\Delta_{f}G^{0}(x(MeF_{a}) \cdot y(Me_{ref}F_{b}), cr) - x\Delta_{f}G^{0}(MeF_{a}, cr) - y\Delta_{f}G^{0}(Me_{ref}F_{b}, cr)
$$

=
$$
\alpha \frac{n_{1}n_{2}}{n_{1} + n_{2}} (\Delta F(Me) - \Delta F(Me_{ref}))
$$
 (8)

where $n_1 = x a$, $n_2 = y b$ and

$$
\Delta F(\text{Me}) = (1/a) [\Delta_f G^0(\text{MeF}_a, \text{cr}) - \Delta_f G^0(\text{Me}^{a+}, \text{aq})]
$$
\n(8a)

The same expression is valid for Me_{ref}

$$
\Delta F(\text{Me}_{\text{ref}}) = (1/b) [\Delta_f G^0(\text{Me}_{\text{ref}} F_b, \text{cr}) - \Delta_f G^0(\text{Me}^{b+}, \text{aq})] \tag{8b}
$$

All of the investigated compounds contain K^+ as a common cation. Therefore, to use this method for $KGdF_4$, K_2GdF_5 and KGd_2F_7 , thermochemical data for multicomponent fluorides containing K^+ as a reference cation (Me_{ref}) are required. These data should contain $\Delta_f G^0$ of the cation K⁺ and all considered metal cations (Me^{a+}), those of KF (Me_{ref}F_b) and all constituent fluorides (MeF_a), and those of multicomponent fluorides $x(MeF_a) \cdot y(KF)$. For our particular case, Eq. (8) becomes

$$
\Delta_f G^0(x(\text{MeF}_a) \cdot y(\text{KF}), cr) - x \Delta_f G^0(\text{MeF}_a, cr) - y \Delta_f G^0(\text{KF}, cr)
$$

=
$$
\alpha \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} (\Delta F(\text{Me}^{a+}) - \Delta F(\text{K}^+))
$$
 (9)

where

$$
\Delta F(\mathbf{M}e^{a+}) = (1/a)(\Delta_f G^0(\mathbf{M}eF_a, cr) - \Delta_f G^0(\mathbf{M}e^{a+}, aq))
$$
\n(9a)

and

$$
\Delta F(\mathbf{K}^+) = (\Delta_f G^0(\mathbf{K} \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{cr}) - \Delta_f G^0(\mathbf{K}^+, \mathbf{aq}))
$$
\n(9b)

To establish the linear relation represented by Eq. (9), we use thermochemical data for four ternary fluorides: K_3AIF_6 , $KCdF_3$, $KZnF_3$ and $KMgF_3$. The data for K_3AlF_3 are known from thermochemical tables [7] and the enthalpies of formation from fluorides for $KCdF_3$, $KZnF_3$ and $KMgF_3$ at high temperature are available from calorimetric measurements [17-19]. To calculate $\Delta_f H^0$ for these fluorides at the reference temperature, 298.15 K, we need to know the $\Delta_f H^0$ values of the constituent fluorides, i.e., CdF, ZnF_2 and MgF₂ at 298.15 K and the heat capacities of $KCdF_3$, $KZnF_3$ and $KMgF_3$ as functions of temperature. All necessary data for binary fluorides are available from thermochemical tables [7] while the C_p^0 values are estimated from the Kubaschewski-Unal [20] group contribution method. According to Kubaschewski and Unal [20], the heat capacities of $KCdF_3$, $KZnF_3$ and $KMgF_3$ are calculated from the Kelley equation

$$
C_n^0 = a + bT + cT^{-2}
$$
 (10)

where the coefficients a, b and c are calculated as

$$
a = (10^{-3}T_m(\Sigma C_{pi}^0 + 4.7n) - 1.25n 10^5 T_m^{-2} - 9.05n)/(10^{-3}T_m - 0.298)
$$
 (10a)

$$
b = (25.6 n + 4.2 n 105 Tm-2 - \Sigma Cpi0)/(10-3 Tm - 0.298)
$$
 (10b)

$$
c = -4.2 n \tag{10c}
$$

 T_m is the absolute melting temperature of the compound and *n* is the corresponding number of ionic entities in the formula. ΣC_{pi}^{0} is calculated from cationic and anionic contributions to the molar heat capacity of the solid compound at 298.15 K. Having obtained $\Delta_f H^0$ for KCdF₃, KZnF₃ and KMgF₃, we can estimate their Gibbs energy of formation if the entropy is known. Entropies of complex solid compounds can be estimated by various methods $[10, 21-24]$. Since little information exists concerning the entropies (S^0) of multicomponent fluorides, some of these methods [22, 23] cannot be applied for our purposes. At the same time, the Latimer ionic contributions method [21] is not recommended for Mg-containing species [22] or for lanthanide compounds [25]. Therefore, in our work we calculated the entropy of multicomponent fluorides as a sum of those for the constituent fluorides. For comparison, we also applied the method developed by Fyfe [22] and modified by Helgeson [23] and, later, by Holland [24]. In this method, the difference between the entropy and molar volume for the compound of interest is equal to the sum of those for the constituent oxides or, in our

case, fluorides. Both methods give very similar results with a maximum difference of 2 J K^{-1} mol^{-1}. Finally, we can calculate the unknown $\Delta_{\bf r}G^0$ for the fluorides of interest, i.e., $KGdF_4$, K_2GdF_5 and KGd_2F_7 , using the obtained linear relationship (see (Eq. (9)) because the thermochemical data for GdF_3 and Gd^{3+} are available in the literature [7, 26].

4. Results and discussion

Table 2

Enthalpies of fusion have been calorimetrically measured for all reactions that are necessary to compute the enthalpy of formation from flourides for $KGdF_4$, K_2GdF_5 and KGd_2F_7 . All fusion reactions and the corresponding enthalpies are collected in Table 1. The enthalpies of formation from the fluorides at 298.15 K are -22.3 ± 3.5 kJ mol⁻¹ for KGdF₄, -16.1 \pm 2.8 kJ mol⁻¹ for K₂GdF₅ and -18.3 \pm 5.3 kJ mol⁻¹ for $KGd₂F₃$. The enthalpies of formation from the elements have been calculated using the available enthalpies of formation for solid KF and $GdF₃$ (see Table 2). The obtained enthalpies of formation for KGdF₄, K₂GdF₅ and KGd₂F₇ are equal to -2290.0, -2852.4 and -3958.2 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. The uncertainties in these values arise from both the standard deviations of our calorimetric measurements and from the uncertainties in the enthalpies of formation of the binary fluorides from the elements which can be estimated at ± 0.4 kJ mol⁻¹ for KF and ± 2.3 KJ mol⁻¹ for GdF₃ according to Chase et al. $\sqrt{27}$ and Flotow and O'Hare $\sqrt{28}$, respectively. The total magnitude of the uncertainty can be estimated at \pm 5 - \pm 8 kJ mol⁻¹.

In the estimation procedure outlined above, the necessary thermochemical data, i.e., $\Delta_f G^0$. $\Delta_f H^0$ and S^0 , for the solid compounds were taken from the compilation of Barin [7] and are shown in Table 2. The data compilation of Barin [7] has been chosen because it contains all solid species of our interest. The values of the thermochemical

Species	$\Delta_{\rm f} G^0/$ kJ mol ⁻¹	$\Delta_{\rm f} H^0/$ kJ mol ⁻¹	$S^0/$ J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	Ref.	Species	$S^0/$ $J \text{ mol}^{-1} K^{-1}$	Ref.
KF (cr)	-538.934	-568.606	66.546	$\lceil 7 \rceil$	K (cr)	64.670	$[7]$
CdF_2 _(cr)	-649.444	-700.402	83.680	[7]	Cd (cr)	51.789	[7]
ZnF_2 (cr)	-713.509	-764.417	73.680	$\lceil 7 \rceil$	Zn (cr)	41.631	[7]
MgF ₂ (cr)	-1071.106	-1124.241	57.255	F71	Mg (cr)	32.677	$\lceil 7 \rceil$
AlF ₃ (cr)	-1431.096	-1510.400	66.480	$\lceil 7 \rceil$	Al(c r)	28.275	[7]
GdF_1 (cr)	-1622.387	-1699.122	114.771	[7]	Gd(c r)	67.948	[7]
K_3AIF_6 (cr)	-3163.443	-3326.280	284.512	$\sqrt{7}$	$F_2(g)$	202.795	[7]
$K^+(aq)$	-282.462	-252.170	101.044	$\lceil 26 \rceil$	$H_2(g)$	130.680	$\lceil 7 \rceil$
$Cd^{2+}(aq)$	-77.655	-75.898	-72.802	$\lceil 26 \rceil$			
$Zn^{2+}(aq)$	-147.277	-153.385	-109.621	[26]			
$Mg^{2+}(aq)$	-453.985	-465.960	-138.072	[26]			
$Al^{3+}(aq)$	-483.700	-530.670	-325.100	[26]			
$Gd^{3+}(aq)$	-663.582	-687.013	-205.853	$\lceil 26 \rceil$			

Thermochemical properties at 298.15 K for the species that are used in the calculations

properties from the above compilation [7] are in very good agreement with those from other sources $[27, 29-31]$. Thermochemical data for ionic species were taken from the compilation of Shock and Helgeson [26] because it contains all species of interest (see Table 2). In general, the data of Shock and Helgeson [26] for ionic species agree with those of Barin [7].

Estimated heat capacities and coefficients in Eqs. (10, 10a-c) are collected in Table 3. All thermochemical data for K_3AIF_6 were obtained from the compilation of Barin [7]. The standard enthalpies of formation from the elements calculated for $KCdF_3$, $KZnF_3$ and $KMgF_3$ on the basis of high-temperature enthalpies from constituent fluorides [17-19] are shown in Table 4. Also given are absolute entropies calculated using the sum of entropies of constituent fluorides and the resulting Gibbs energies of formation. Once all necessary data are collected or estimated, the parameters $\Delta F(K^+)$ in Eq. (9b) and $\Delta F(\text{Me}^{a+})$ for Cd, Zn, Mg and Al in Eq. (9a) can be calculated. The difference between the $\Delta_f H^0$ values of $K_2 AIF_6$, $KCdF_3$, $KZnF_3$ or $KMgF_3$ and that of their constituent fluorides is linear as shown in Fig. 1. The α coefficient (Eq. (9)) is equal to 1.30 and the regression coefficient is 0.99.

For KGdF₄, K₂GdF₅ and KGd₂F₇, we can calculate values on the right-hand side of Eq. (9) using the data for KF, $Gd\tilde{F}_3$, K⁺ and Gd^{3+} from Table 2. Then, the unknown Gibbs energies of formation from the elements are calculated using the ΔG^0 values of GdF₃ and KF. Finally, enthalpies of formation are calculated based on the estimated entropies. The obtained values are shown in Table 5. They are compared to the experimental enthalpies, and a relative deviation is also given. The deviation ranges from 1.3 to 2.9%. These differences are satisfactory in terms of being a small percentage

Table 3 Input parameters and coefficients of Eqs. (10) and $(10a-c)$ for the compounds used in the heat capacity calculations

Table 4

Thermochemical data at 298.15 K calculated from high-temperature calorimetric data and estimation methods

Compound	$\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm exp}^{0}/$ kJ mol ⁻¹	$S^0_{\rm esc}$ $J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	$\Delta_{\rm f} G_{\rm calc}^{\rm o}/$ kJ mol ⁻¹	
$KGdF_3(cr)$	-1296.0	150.23	-1215.3	
$KZnF_{3}(cr)$	-1358.3	140.23	-1277.7	
KMgF ₃ (cr)	-1718.2	123.80	-1635.4	

Fig. I. Correlation of the Gibbs energies of formation for the ternary fluorides as defined by Eq. (9).

Table 5 Experimental and calculated thermochemical properties at 298.15 K of the potassium gadolinium fluorides

Calculated according to Eq. (9).

 $b^{\text{b}} \text{ADD} = |1 - \Delta_f H^0(\text{calc})/\Delta_f H^0(\text{exp})| \times 100$.

^c Calculated by summation of $\Delta_tH⁰$ for constituent binary fluorides.

of the total $\Delta_f H^0$ and their absolute values are 40–85 kJ mol⁻¹ (see Table 5). This is in reasonable agreement with uncertainties that are introduced by most general estimation methods of $\Delta_f G^0$. However, the predictions could be more accurate if more than four data points were used for developing the correlation (see Eq. (9)) and if no estimations of entropies and heat capacities were necessary.

Since the heats of formation of the ternary fluorides from binary fluorides in the $KF-$ GdF₃ system are only about 20 kJ mol⁻¹ (Table 1), we can make a simple assumption that the $\Delta_f H^0$ for $KGdF_4$, K_2GdF_5 and KGd_2F_7 can be calculated as a sum of those for constituent binary fluorides. The results are shown in Table 5 and better agreement with measured values is obtained than from the first method. In this case, the uncertainties vary from 0.5 to 1.0% which corresponds to $16-22$ kJ mol⁻¹ and is comparable with the measured enthalpies of formation from fluorides. We can conclude that the straight summation method gives better results for K-Gd-F compounds although, in general, both methods can be used for the prediction of thermochemical data of complex fluorides.

5. Conclusions

Enthalpies of formation from the elements of $KGdF_4$, K_2GdF_5 and KGd_2F_7 have been obtained from calorimetric measurements. The enthalpies have also been estimated using two correlation techniques that are independent of our measurements. The agreement between measured and predicted $\Delta_fH⁰$ values is satisfactory. Therefore, the proposed estimation procedures can be used for ternary fluorides compounds when thermochemical data are not available.

Acknowledgements

The calorimetric studies were supported by the National Source Foundation (Grant DMR 92-15802). The synthesis and characterization were supported by a grant from AT T Laboratories and from the Material Division (Code 1131) at the Office of Naval Research (ONR) under the auspicies of the ONR Young Investigator Program.

References

- [1] D.C. Tran, G.H. Sigel and B. Bendow, J. Lightware Technol., LT-2 (1984) 566.
- [2] M. Dejneka, E. Snitzer and R.E. Riman, J. Luminesc., 65 (1995) 227.
- [3] P.M. Bridenbaugh, J.O. Eckert, Jr., G. Nykolak, G. Thomas, W. Wilson, L.N. Demianets, R.E, Riman and R.A. Laudise, J. Cryst. Growth, 144 (1994) 243.
- [4] A. de Kozak, M. Samouel and A. Chretien, Rev. Chim. Miner., 10 (1973) 259.
- [5] A. de Kozak, M. Samouel and A. Chretien, Rev. Chim. Miner., 14 (1977) 93.
- [6] C.W.T.F. Pistorius, Mat. Res. Bull., 10(1975) 1079.
- [7] I. Barin, in collaboration with F. Saucrt, E. Schultze-Rhonhof and W. Shu Sheng, Thermochemical Data of Pure Substances, VCH Publishers, New York, 2nd edn., 1993.
- [8] L. Topor and A. Navrotsky, in Y. Syono and M.H. Manghnani (Eds.), High-Pressure Research: Application to Earth and Planetary Sciences, Am. Geophys. Union, 1992, p. 17.
- [9] F.D. Rossini, Experimental Thermochemistry, lnterscience, New York, 1956, p. 297.
- [10] D.K. Nordstrom and J.L. Munoz, Geochemical Thermodynamics, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Palo Alto, CA, 1986, Chapters 11 and 12.
- [11] D.A. Sverjensky, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 48 (1984) 1127.
- [12] D.A. Sverjensky and P.A. Molling, Nature, 356 (1992) 231.
- [13] Y. Tardy and R.M. Garrels, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 40 (1976) 1051.
- [14] Y. Tardy and P. Vieillard, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 63 (1977) 75.
- [15] Y. Tardy and R.M. Garrels, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 41 (1977) 87.
- [16] Y. Tardy and L. Gartner, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 63 (1977) 89.
- [17] M. Wakihara and O.J. Kleppa, High Temp. Sci., 9 (1977) 35.
- [18] O.J. Kleppa and M. Wakihara, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 38 (1976) 715.
- [19] K.C. Hong and O.J. Kleppa, J. Phys. Chem., 82 (1978) 1596.
- [20] O. Kubaschewski and H. Ünal, High Temp.-High Pressures, 9 (1977) 361.
- [21] W.M. Latimer, The Oxidation States of the Elements and Their Potentials in Aqueous Solutions, Prentice-Hall, New York, 1952, p. 392.
- [22] W,S. Fyfe, F.J. Turner and J. Verhoogen, Metamorphic Reactions and Metamorphic Facies, The Geological Society of America Memoir 73, 1958.
- [23] H.C. Helgeson, J.M. Delaney, H.W. Nesbitt and D.K. Bird, Am. J. Sci., 278 A (1978) 1.
- [24] T.J.B. Holland, Am. Miner., 74 (1989) 5.
- [25] J.A. Sommers and E. Westrum, Jr., J. Chem. Thermodyn., 9 (1977) 1.
- [26] E.L. Shock and H.C. Helgeson, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 52 (1988) 2009.
- [27] M.W. Chase, Jr., C.A. Davies, J.R. Downey, Jr., D.J. Frurip, R.A. McDonald and A.N. Syverud, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 14, Supplement No. 1 (1985) 1.
- [28] H.E. Flotow and P.A.G. O'Hare, J. Chem. Phys., 74 (1981) 3046.
- [29] V.A. Madvedev, G.A. Bergman, L.V. Gurvich, V.S. Yungman, A.F. Vorobiev and V.P. Kolesov, Thermal Constants of Substances, V.P. Glushko (Ed.), VINITII, Moscow, Vols. 1-10, 1965-1982.
- [30] O. K nacke, O. K ubaschewski and K. Hesselman, Thermochemical Properties of Inorganic Substances, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, Germany, 2nd edn., 1991.
- [31] L.V. Gurvich, I.V. Veyts and C.B. Alcock, Thermodynamic Properties of Individual Substances, CRC Press, Inc., Vol. 3, 1994.