

A thermochemical study of Cs_2MCl_4 complexes

Feng Ying*, Li Liangchao, Qu Songsheng

Department of chemistry, Wuhan University, Hubei, 430072, P.R. China

Received 17 October 1996; received in revised form 4 June 1997; accepted 7 July 1997

Abstract

The standard molar formation enthalpies of Cs_2MCl_4 (M is Zn(II) and Cu(II)) are determined from the enthalpies of dissolution ($\Delta_s H_m^\ominus$) of $[\text{2CsCl(s)} + \text{MCl}_2\text{(s)}]$ and $\text{Cs}_2\text{MCl}_4\text{(s)}$ in $0.03 \text{ mol l}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solvent, respectively, at 298.2 K, as:

$$\Delta_f H_m^\ominus(\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4, \text{ s}, 298.2 \text{ K}) = -1340.46 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta_f H_m^\ominus(\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4, \text{ s}, 298.2 \text{ K}) = -1129.04 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}.$$

© 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Cs_2CuCl_4 ; Cs_2ZnCl_4 ; Standard molar formation enthalpy; Thermochemistry

1. Introduction

A series of tetrahedral tetrahalogen metallic salts, $R_2\text{MX}_4$ (where R is a univalent cation; M(II) is Fe, Co, Ni, Zn, Cu; X is Cl, Br, I), have been prepared and characterized [1,2]. The crystal structures and the magnetic and spectral properties have been previously reported [3–8], but a few thermochemical data have been published. We have determined the standard molar formation enthalpies of Cs_2ZnCl_4 and Cs_2CuCl_4 by solution calorimetry.

Firstly, the dissolution enthalpies ($\Delta_s H_m^\ominus$) of $[\text{2CsCl(s)} + \text{MCl}_2\text{(s)}]$ and $[\text{Cs}_2\text{MCl}_4\text{(s)}]$ in $0.03 \text{ mol l}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solvent were determined at 298.2 K. Hence, from these values, combined auxiliary values, the standard molar formation enthalpies of $\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4\text{(s)}$ and $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4\text{(s)}$ have been derived:

$$\Delta_f H_m^\ominus(\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4, \text{ s}, 298.2 \text{ K}) = -1340.46 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1};$$
$$\Delta_f H_m^\ominus(\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4, \text{ s}, 298.2 \text{ K}) = -1129.04 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}.$$

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals

All the chemicals (CsCl, KCl, ZnCl_2 , $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, H_2SO_4) used were of analytical grade and obtained from the Shanghai Reagent Factory.

CsCl with a purity higher than 99.5% was dried in a vacuum oven at 220°C to constant mass, prior to use.

KCl (obtained from Shanghai reagent factory), calorimetric primary standard of purity greater than 99.99%, was dried in a vacuum oven for 6 h at 135°C, prior to use.

*Corresponding author.

Table 1
Dissolution enthalpies of $[2\text{CsCl}(s) + \text{ZnCl}_2(s)]$, $[\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(s)]$, $[2\text{CsCl}(s) + \text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(s)]$, $[\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4(s)]$ in $0.03 \text{ mol l}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ at 298.2 K . ($R = 1666.0 \Omega$, $I = 10.000 \text{ mA}$)

System	No.	m^a (g)	ΔE_s^b (mV)	ΔE_c^c (mV)	t_e^d (s)	Q^e (J)	$\Delta_s H_m^\ddagger$ (kJ mol $^{-1}$)
$2\text{CsCl}(s) + \text{ZnCl}_2(s)$	1	0.4558	0.9364	-1.4168	269.0	-29.73	-30.841
	2	0.4666	0.9498	-1.4042	270.1	-30.44	-30.855
	3	0.4624	0.9442	-1.4096	270.2	-30.15	-30.845
	4	0.4659	0.9516	-1.4064	269.8	-30.39	-30.858
	5	0.4650	0.9493	-1.4077	269.9	-30.32	-30.845
$\Delta_s H_m^\ddagger [2\text{CsCl}(s) + \text{ZnCl}_2(s)] = -30.849 \pm 0.017 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$							
$\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(s)$	1	0.4558	-0.2606	-1.2386	240.1	8.43	8.747
	2	0.4666	-0.2497	-1.1567	239.8	8.62	8.743
	3	0.4624	-0.2692	-1.2569	239.6	8.55	8.746
	4	0.4659	-0.2562	-1.1880	239.7	8.61	8.744
	5	0.4650	-0.2532	-1.1778	240.4	8.60	8.751
$\Delta_s H_m^\ddagger [\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(s)] = 8.746 \pm 0.003 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$							
$[2\text{CsCl}(s) + \text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(s)]$	1	0.5124	0.3039	0.7933	268.9	17.162	16.987
	2	0.5132	0.2795	0.7290	269.5	17.214	17.013
	3	0.5132	0.2655	0.6990	269.9	17.079	16.879
	4	0.5098	0.2515	0.6620	268.9	17.020	16.933
	5	0.5080	0.2393	0.6350	270.1	16.951	16.924
$\Delta_s H_m^\ddagger [2\text{CsCl}(s) + \text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(s)] = 16.947 \pm 0.053 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$							
$\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4(s)$	1	0.4760	0.1632	0.7039	267.4	10.329	10.224
	2	0.4767	0.1689	0.7300	269.7	10.396	10.275
	3	0.4802	0.1702	0.7280	269.8	10.509	10.311
	4	0.4736	0.1488	0.6562	272.5	10.295	10.242
	5	0.4719	0.1565	0.6800	269.0	10.314	10.298
$\Delta_s H_m^\ddagger [\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4(s)] = 10.270 \pm 0.037 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$							

^a Mass of sample.

^b The voltage change during the sample dissolution.

^c The voltage change during the electrical calibration.

^d Heating period of electrical calibration.

^e Heat effect.

t_e ($= \Delta E_s / \Delta E_c$) $I^2 R t_e (M/m)$ where R is the electro-resistance; I the current; and M the molar mass.

Table 2

Reaction scheme for the standard molar formation enthalpy of $\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(\text{s})$ at the temperature 298.2 K. The solvent 's' was $0.03 \text{ mol l}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{aq})$. $\Delta H_5 = \Delta H_1 - \Delta H_2 + 2\Delta H_3 + \Delta H_4$

Reaction	$\Delta_s H_m^\ominus$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)
1. $\{2\text{CsCl}(\text{s}) + \text{ZnCl}_2(\text{s})\} + \text{'s'} = \{2\text{CsCl} + \text{ZnCl}_2\}$ (sln in 's')	-30.849±0.017
2. $\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(\text{s}) + \text{'s'} = \text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4$ (sln in 's')	8.746±0.003
3. $\text{Cs}(\text{s}) + 1/2\text{Cl}_2(\text{g}) = \text{CsCl}(\text{s})$	-443.04
4. $\text{Zn}(\text{s}) + \text{Cl}_2(\text{g}) = \text{ZnCl}_2(\text{s})$	-415.05
5. $2\text{Cs}(\text{s}) + \text{Zn}(\text{s}) + 2\text{Cl}_2(\text{g}) = \text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(\text{s})$	-1340.46

Table 3

Reaction scheme for the standard molar formation enthalpy of Cs_2CuCl_4 at the temperature 298.2 K. The solvent 's' was $0.03 \text{ mol l}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (aq). $\Delta H_6 = \Delta H_1 - \Delta H_2 + 2\Delta H_3 + \Delta H_4 - 2\Delta H_5$

Reaction	$\Delta_s H_m^\ominus$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)
1. $\{2\text{CsCl}(\text{s}) + \text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{s})\} + \text{'s'} = \{2\text{CsCl} + \text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}\}$ (sln in 's')	16.947±0.053
2. $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4(\text{s}) + \text{'s'} = \text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4$ (sln in 's')	10.270±0.0037
3. $\text{Cs}(\text{s}) + 1/2\text{Cl}_2(\text{g}) = \text{CsCl}(\text{s})$	-443.04
4. $\text{Cu}(\text{s}) + \text{Cl}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}_2(\text{g}) + \text{O}_2(\text{g}) = \text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{s})$	-821.32
5. $\text{H}_2(\text{g}) + 1/2\text{O}_2(\text{g}) = \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$	-285.84
6. $2\text{Cs}(\text{s}) + \text{Zn}(\text{s}) + 2\text{Cl}_2(\text{g}) = \text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(\text{s})$	-1129.04

2.2. Calorimeter

The isoperibol reaction calorimeter used for this study was constructed in this laboratory and was adapted for measuring enthalpies of solid–liquid, liquid–liquid reactions [9]. The volume of the reaction vessel is 100 cm^3 . The precision temperature control and measurement are ± 0.001 and $\pm 0.0001 \text{ K}$, respectively.

The calorimeter was tested by measuring the dissolution enthalpy of THAM (NBS 742a, U.S.A.) in $0.1 \text{ mol l}^{-1} \text{ HCl}$ and the KCl (calorimetric primary standard) in water at 298.2 K. The mean dissolution enthalpies are $-29776 \pm 16 \text{ J mol}^{-1}$ for THAM and $17597 \pm 17 \text{ J mol}^{-1}$ for KCl, which are in conformity with the respective published data [10,11].

2.3. Preparations

$\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(\text{s})$ was prepared by evaporating a mixture of solutions of CsCl and ZnCl_2 (mole ratio is 2 : 1) according to the method of Behler [12].

$\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4(\text{s})$ was prepared by evaporating an aqueous solution of cesium chloride and copper chloride (mole ratio is 2 : 1) at ambient temperature and was subsequently purified by means of repeated

recrystallization according to Helmholtz and Kruh [13].

The structures of the $\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(\text{s})$ and $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4(\text{s})$ were characterized by state methods [12,13].

3. Results and discussion

All were dissolved in 100 ml of $0.03 \text{ mol l}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution.

Sample of mass 0.45 g of CsCl(s) and $\text{ZnCl}_2(\text{s})$ in a mole ratio 2 : 1 were dissolved in 100 ml $0.03 \text{ mol l}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ solution at 298.2 K. The results are shown in Table 1.

The dissolution enthalpies of $\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(\text{s})$, $[2\text{CsCl}(\text{s}) + \text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{s})]$ and $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4(\text{s})$ in $0.03 \text{ mol l}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$ were measured under the same conditions. The results are also tabulated in Table 1.

3.1. Cs_2ZnCl_4

The reaction scheme to derive the standard molar formation enthalpy of $\text{Cs}_2\text{ZnCl}_4(\text{s})$ is given in Table 2. The molar dissolution enthalpy of CsCl(s) and $\text{ZnCl}_2(\text{s})$ mixture at mole ratio of $n(\text{CsCl})/n(\text{ZnCl}_2) = 2 : 1$ was measured in

0.03 mol l⁻¹ H₂SO₄(aq). In the same solvent, we have measured the molar dissolution enthalpy of Cs₂ZnCl₄(s). The standard molar formation enthalpies of CsCl(s) and ZnCl₂(s) were taken from Ref. [14]. After combining all the reactions, we obtained: $\Delta_f H_m^\ominus$ (Cs₂ZnCl₄, s, 298.2 K) = -1340.46 kJ mol⁻¹.

3.2. Cs₂CuCl₄

The standard molar formation enthalpy of Cs₂CuCl₄(s) has been derived from the reaction scheme as given in Table 3. The molar dissolution enthalpies of CsCl(s) and CuCl₂·2H₂O(s) mixture at mole ratio of $n(\text{CsCl})/n(\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 2 : 1$ was measured in 0.03 mol l⁻¹ H₂SO₄(aq). In the same solvent, we have measured the molar dissolution enthalpy of Cs₂CuCl₄(s). The dilution effect of 0.03 mol l⁻¹ H₂SO₄ diluted in 2 mol H₂O is ignored. These values were combined with auxiliary quantities of $\Delta_f H_m^\ominus = -443.04$ kJ mol⁻¹ for CsCl(s) [14], -821.32 kJ mol⁻¹ for CuCl₂·2H₂O(s) [14] and -285.84 kJ mol⁻¹ for H₂O [14] to derive the standard molar formation enthalpy: $\Delta_f H_m^\ominus$ [Cs₂CuCl₄, s, 298.2 K] = -1129.04 kJ mol⁻¹

References

- [1] N.S. Gill and R.S. Nyholm, *J. Chem. Soc.* 3997 (1959).
- [2] F.A. Cotton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 83 (1961) 4161.
- [3] Puget Rene, Jnin Michel, Perret Rene, *Ferroelectrics*, 107 (1990) 229.
- [4] N. Trendafilova, G. St. Nikolov, R. Kellner, G. Bauer, *Vib. Spectrosc.*, 6 (1994) 351.
- [5] V. Gomathy, U.S. Ghosh, C. Basu, *Pramana*, 45 (1995) 33.
- [6] K.L. Bray, H.G. Drickamer, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 94 (1990) 2154.
- [7] R.K. Subramanian, K. Venu, V.S.S. Sastry, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, 6 (1994) 137.
- [8] A. Pfitzner, J.K. Crockett, I. Solinas, H.D. Lutz, *A. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 619 (1993) 993.
- [9] C. X Wang, Z.H. Song, W.G. Xiong, S.S. Qu, *Acta Physico-Chimica Sinica*, 7 (1991) 586.
- [10] P. Pychly, V. Pekarek, *J. Chem. Thermodynamics*, 9 (1977) 39.
- [11] R.L. Mnotgomery et al., *J. Chem. Thermodynamics*, 9 (1977) 915.
- [12] V.B. Behler, *Z. Krist.*, 109 (1957) 68.
- [13] L. Helmholz, R.F. Kruh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 74 (1977) 1176.
- [14] R.C. Weast, *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 70th edn., CRC Press, Inc. Florida, 1990.