

Thermochimica Acta 308 (1998) 63-68

**therm0chimica acta** 

# Thermodynamic analysis of  $K^+$ - and  $Mg^{2+}$ -induced polymerization **of actin at the temperature of 298.15 K**

Xiong Liu<sup>a</sup>, Baohuai Wang<sup>b,\*</sup>, Shi Shu<sup>a</sup>, Youmin Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Lung-Fei Yen<sup>a</sup>

*a College of Biological Science Beijing Agricultural University, Beijing 100094, China b Institute of Physical Chemistry Peking University, Beijing 100871, China* 

#### **Abstract**

Polymerization of actin was investigated by a microcalorimetric method at 298.15 K. The calorimetric curves showed that polymerization of actin in the presence of  $Mg^{2+}$  at the concentration range 1.00–6.00 mM was an exothermic process. There was only one exothermic peak in the thermal curves under each condition. The average molar enthalpy change of actin polymerization was  $-0.703$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. It was still exothermic in the presence of K<sup>+</sup> when the concentration was in the range 25.0-200.0 mM. The average molar enthalpy change of polymerization was  $-3.03$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. However, the calorimetric curves contained only one exothermic peak when the  $K^+$  concentration was lower, in the range 25.0–75.0 mM; there were two peaks, first exothermic and second endothermic, in the calorimetric curves as the  $K<sup>+</sup>$  concentration was higher, in the range 100.0-200.0 mM. The result not only indicated that calorimetric curves of actin polymerization changed with different concentration of  $K^+$  but also showed that there were some differences between  $K^+$ - and  $Mg^{2+}$ -induced polymerization of actin in the presence of 0.1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.2 mM ATP. The  $\Delta G^0$  of actin polymerization in each condition was also assayed at 298.15 K and  $\Delta S^0$  in the corresponding condition were consequently evaluated from the energy-entropy relation at constant temperature and pressure. © 1998 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

*Keywords:* Microcalorimetry; Muscle actin; Polymerization

#### **I. Introduction**

Actin, one of the major proteins involved in muscle contraction, is also widely present in nonmuscle cells in which it is a component of cytoskeleton and a motile apparatus for motile activities such as organelle movement, phagocytosis and chromosome movement. Actin monomer (G-actin) can be polymerized into actin filament (F-actin) which functions in living cells. The transformation from G-actin to F-actin has received much attention and has been investigated in detail. The addition of salts such as potassium and magnesium to a solution of G-actin can induce the polymerization in vitro. It is now clear that actin polymerization proceeds in at least four reversible steps: activation; nucleation; elongation; and annealing [1]. The methods that have been used to characterize actin polymerization, e.g. differential spectrum, flow birefringence, fluorescence spectrum, light scattering and electron microscopy, are all based on the change in matter [2]. But the energetics of actin polymerization are not very clear. Thermodynamic parameters for actin polymerization have been derived from van't Hoff plotting of data taken at different temperatures [3-6]. However, they cannot show different kinds of processes of polymerization. Besides,

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Presented at the 14th IUPAC Conference on Chemical Thermodynamics, held in Osaka, Japan, 15-30 August, 1996.

<sup>0040-6031/98/\$19.00 © 1998</sup> Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved *PII* S0040-603 1 (97)0033 1-6

use of the van't Hoff relation in determinations of enthalpy change of actin polymerization is a question at issue [7,8]. Holtzer [8] concluded that the only valid way of assessing micellar enthalpies is direct calorimetry. Up to now, the heat produced in actin polymerization has not been measured by calorimetry. An improved Calvet MS-80 microcalorimeter (Setaram, France) was used to measure the heat effect of actin polymerization in the presence of  $K^+$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  in various concentrations in our study. This paper provides some new information on polymerization of muscle actin with emphasis on analysis of enthalpy changes. The results also show some thermodynamic properties of protein self-assembly through weak bonding force.

## **2. Experimental**

#### *2.1. Preparation of actin*

Rabbit skeletal muscle actin was purified by the method of Spudich and Watt [9]. It was further purified by chromatography through a  $2\times90$  cm column of Sephacryl S-200 (Pharmacia). The concentration of actin was spectrophotometrically determined at 290 nm, using extinction coefficient of  $E^{1\%}(290)$ =  $6.20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Actin was dissolved in the buffer containing 2.0 mM Tris, 0.05% NAN3, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.2 mM ATP, 0.1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> with pH value of 8.0.

# *2.2. Measurement of calorimetric curves and enthalpy changes of polymerization*

The calorimetric curves and the enthalpy changes of polymerization of actin were recorded with a Calvet MS-80 standard microcalorimeter at 298.15 K. The improved reaction cell was used in experiments [10]. The minimum heat of the amplifier was  $2.745 \times 10^{-5}$  J at  $10 \mu$ V. The heat as less as 0.001 J can be measured with a precision of 6%. A 5.0ml of actin  $(0.5 \text{ mg m}^{-1})$  was added into both the stainless reaction cell and the control cell. An amount of  $0.5$  ml  $K^+$ or  $Mg^{2+}$  solution was placed in the small glass cup in the reaction cell and  $0.5$  ml of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  was placed in the small glass cup in the control cell. The reaction was started by turning the body of microcalorimeter up and down five times  $(5 \times 180^{\circ})$  or more until the machine came to thermal equilibrium. Each experiment was repeated at least twice.

# *2.3. Determination of concentration of monomer actin at equilibrium of polymerization*

An amount of 5.0 ml G-actin  $(0.5 \text{ mg ml}^{-1})$  was mixed with  $0.5$  ml of KCl or MgCl<sub>2</sub> solution. The mixed solution was kept in a water bath for 30 min at 298.15 K first, and then spun at  $150,000$  g for 1 h. The actin in the supematant layer was regarded as monomer actin. The difference between the initial and final concentration of actin in the supernatant layer was taken as the amount of actin polymerized in each condition.

#### **3. Results**

# *3.1. Activation of K + on actin below critical concentration of polymerization*

No polymers are formed when actin concentration is below the critical concentration, but actin is activated by some metallic ions, such as  $K^+$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$  etc., and becomes monomer actin (a new form) showing a negative absorption peak at 212-215 nm at 298.15 K [1,4,11,12]. The critical concentration of actin is  $30~\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of 0.1 mM K<sup>+</sup> at 298.15 K. We measured the heat effect of formation of  $K<sup>+</sup>$  monomer actin and found that it was an exothermic process (Fig. l).



Fig. 1. Measured curve of activation of actin below critical concentration (30  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) in the presence of 100.0 mM K<sup>+</sup> at 298.15 K.



**Fig. 2. Measured curve of actin polymerization in the presence of**  50.0 mM K<sup>+</sup> at 298.15 K. The measured curves in the presence of **25.0 and 75.0 mM K+ are very similar to the above figure.** 

# 3.2. Calorimetric curves of  $K^+$ - and  $Mg^{2+}$ -induced *actin polymerization*

**K+-induced polymerization of actin has been well characterized by many researchers [2,11-14]. We measured the calorimetric curves of actin polymeriza**tion in the presence of  $K^+$  from 25.0 to 200.0 mM. When the concentration of  $K^+$  was from 25.0 to 75.0 mM, **there was only one exothermic peak (Fig. 2). As the**  concentration of  $K^+$  increases to 100.0, 150.0 and **200.0 mM, the calorimetric curves change. There were two peaks in the calorimetric curves, the first exothermic and the second endothermic (Fig. 3).** 

The mechanism of Mg<sup>2+</sup>-induced actin polymerization was studied at length  $[15-17]$ . Mg<sup>2+</sup> can cause **conformational change in actin molecules before linking each monomer to form polymerized actin. Nucleation and elongation are involved in polymerization.** 



**Fig. 3. Measured curve of actin polymerization in the presence of 150.0 mM K ÷ at 298.15 K. The measured curves in the presence of**  100.0 and 200.0 mM K<sup>+</sup> are very similar to the above figure.

30 20 **Power/uW** 10 10 **20**  30 40 **50**  0 **Time/rnin 10** 

**Fig. 4. Measured curve of actin polymerization in the presence of**   $3.00 \text{ mM } \text{Mg}^{2+}$  at 298.15 K. The measured curves in the presence of 1.00, 2.00 and 6.00 mM  $Mg^{2+}$  are very similar to the above figure.

**However, there was only one exotherm in the thermal curves of Mg2+-induced polymerization when the**  concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  was in the range 1.00-**6.00 mM (Fig. 4). Kinosian et al. [6] determined by**  the van't Hoff relation that  $Mg^{2+}$ -induced polymer**ization was endothermic. Our results showed that Mg2+-induced polymerization was exothermic.** 

When  $K^+$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  were added to actin at con**centration of 100.0 and 2.00 mM, respectively, the calorimetric curve was similar to that in presence of 100.0, 150.0 and 200.0 mM K ÷, in which there were two processes, the first exothermic and the second endothermic (Fig. 5).** 



**Fig. 5. Measured curve of actin polymerization in the presence of**  100.0 mM K<sup>+</sup> and 2.00 mM  $Mg^{2+}$  at 298.15 K.

Thermodynamic parameters	Concentration of MgCl <sub>2</sub> in mmol $I^{-1}$				Average value of each parameter
	00.1	2.00	3.00	6.00	
$\Delta G^0$ (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$-28.9$	$-29.5$	$-29.8$	$-30.1$	$-29.6$
$\Delta H^0$ (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$-0.747$	$-0.659$	$-0.754$	$-0.652$	$-0.703$
$\Delta S^0$ (J mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	94.3	96.9	97.3	99.0	96.9

Table 1 Thermodynamic parameters of actin polymerizatiom in the presence of  $MeCl<sub>2</sub>$  at 298.15 K

# *3.3. Thermodynamic parameters of actin polymerization*

The thermodynamic parameters of the polymerization of muscle actin at 298.15 K are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

The enthalpy change of actin polymerization  $\Delta H^0$ was measured by microcalorimetry directly. Table 1 shows that the  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  of Mg<sup>2+</sup>-induced polymerization is  $-0.703$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> and is independent of the concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  in this range of concentration. But the values of  $\Delta H^0$  determined by us with calorimetry are appreciably different from those determined by van't Hoff relation [5,6]. The latter is in positive values showing that polymerization is an endothermic process. In addition, the value derived by van't Hoff relation is much higher than our results. We can see in Table 2 that the average value of  $\Delta H^0$  of K<sup>+</sup>induced polymerization is  $-3.30$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> and is also independent of the concentration of  $K^+$  in this range of concentration. The values of  $\Delta H^0$  listed in Table 2 are also appreciably different from those evaluated by van't Hoff relation [4-6]. Attention should be paid to the values of  $\Delta H^0$  that there are two peaks in the calorimetric curves in the presence of 100.0, 150.0 and 200.0 mM  $K<sup>+</sup>$  and that they are the sum of two kinds of heat effect, i.e.

$$
\Delta H^{0} = \Delta H^{0} \text{ (exothermic)} + \Delta H^{0} \text{ (endothermic)}
$$
\n(1)

The polymerization of actin can be described by the following reaction

$$
A_{(n)} + A_1 = A_{(n+1)} \tag{2}
$$

The equilibrium constant is the reciprocal of the concentration of monomer  $([A]_{eq})$  which was determined by ultracentrifuge assaying, for  $[A_{(n)}] \approx [A_{(n+1)}]$ when polymerization is at equilibrium. The value of  $\Delta G^0$  is derived from the equation of

$$
\Delta G^0 = RT \ln[A]_{\text{eq}} \tag{3}
$$

The value of  $\Delta S^0$  is calculated from the energyentropy relation for changes at the temperature 298.15 K and normal atmosphere.

$$
\Delta S^0 = (\Delta H^0 - \Delta G^0)/T \tag{4}
$$

## **4. Discussion**

Although there are many papers dealing with polymerization of actin, the reports on thermodynamics of the polymerization are quite limited. Our study is the first attempt to investigate the thermodynamics of actin polymerization with microcalorimetry. The results show that thermodynamics of actin polymerization are complicated. The calorimetric curves of actin polymerization presented in this paper included several interactions, which are interaction between actin and salt causing conformational change within





actin molecules binding of ATP to actin, hydrolysis of ATP during polymerization and interaction among active actin monomers to form actin filaments through weak bonding force. Although the polymerization of actin has already been characterized by other methods, it is very hard to say which interaction is the strongest in contributing to the thermodynamics of actin polymerization. So the enthalpy changes reported in this paper are apparent values which are the sum of all interactions.

The heat effect of  $Mg^{2+}$ -induced actin polymerization is as small as that of  $K^+$ -induced polymerization in the presence of  $0.2$  mM  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$  and  $0.1$  mM ATP. Our results indicated that the thermodynamics of  $K^+$ induced actin polymerization was more complicated than that of  $Mg^{2+}$ -induced actin polymerization. In other words, the thermodynamics of  $K^+$ -induced actin polymerization is appreciably different from that of  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ -induced actin polymerization.

van't Hoff plot was used by several researchers of actin to calculate the enthalpy change of actin polymerization. We agree with viewpoints of Weir and Frederiksen [7] that van't Hoff relation cannot be used for determining  $\Delta H^0$  of actin polymerization. Actin polymerization can be simply described by reaction (1). If critical concentration of polymerization (ccp) at two different temperatures is determined, and  $\Delta G^0$ =RT In ccp [4–6] is used to calculate free-energy change of two different reactions, in one case:

$$
A_{(n)}(T_1) + A_1(T_1) = A_{(n+1)}(T_1)
$$
\n(5)

in the other case:

$$
A_{(n)}(T_2) + A_1(T_2) = A_{(n+1)}(T_2)
$$
 (6)

the two reactions are only the same if  $N$  (the number of monomers) is temperature independent. Certainly.  $(dN/dT)$ <sub>p</sub> for actin polymerization cannot be zero. For example, the value of  $(dN/dT)$ <sub>p</sub> is 0.4 for formation of micelle 18]. Thus, also, in Holtzer and Hoitzer [8] equation

$$
RT^2 \left[ d \ln \left( \text{ccp} \right) / dT \right]_p = -\Delta H_N^{\infty}
$$
  
+  $T \left\{ \left[ dG_{N+1}^{\infty} - dG_N^{\infty} \right) / dN \right\}_{TP} \left\} \left( dN / dT \right)_p$  (7)

the second term on the right cannot be zero. Therefore, it is clear that van't Hoff relation fails to determine the  $\Delta H^0$  of actin polymerization by measuring critical concentrations at different temperatures. In addition to this,  $\Delta H^0$  of actin polymerization may be temperature dependent. This can also be determined by means of microcalorimetry. The experimental results of  $\Delta H^0$  of actin polymerization measured by us in the range 293.15-310.15 K and pH values from 6.0 to 10.0 will be reported in another paper in the near future.

As to the calculation of free-energy change, Gordon et al. [4], Selden et al. [5] and Kinosian et al. [6] regard the reciprocal of critical concentration of polymerization (ccp) determined by viscometry as the reaction constant and thus calculated  $\Delta G^0$  by the equation  $\Delta G^0$ =RT ln ccp [4-6]. Weir and Frederiksen [7] determined the concentration of monomer actin  $([A]_{eq})$  by ultracentrifugation after polymerization in the given condition overnight and calculated  $\Delta G^0$  according to the equation of  $\Delta G^0 = \ln[A]_{eq}$ . In this experiment, we determined the concentration of monomer action in polymerized actin solution by ultracentrifugation according to the method of Weir and Frederiksen [7] after actin had been polymerized for 30 min. Certainly, some actin in supernatants could polymerize into filaments if kept in this solution for a longer time. Considering polymerization being near maximum extent and that its reaction equilibrium was reached after polymerization had undergone for 30 min, we determined the concentration of monomer actin at this point. So the time for both enthalpy and free-energy changes was not throughout, which was just like the case of common chemical reaction. The  $\Delta G^0$  values of Mg<sup>2+</sup>-induced and K<sup>+</sup>-induced polymerization of actin determined by us were quite close to those determined by Weir and Frederiksen [7].

Enthalpy change of actin polymerization determined by us with microcalorimetry were markedly different from those derived according to van't Hoff relation [3-6]. The above discussion, in our opinion, partially answers the discrepancy. And besides, the conditions of polymerization are different, which may also result in the difference, van't Hoff relation is commonly used to characterize the thermodynamic parameters of some chemical processes. Actin polymerization is a multistep reaction in which several interactions are involved. We believe that some modification should be made if it is used to determine the enthalpy change of actin polymerization. This paper is a primary study of the thermodynamics of actin polymerization. In order to get better understanding of the nature of this process, much work remains to be

done. For instance, it is necessary to analyze how each factor which is related to actin polymerization contributes to thermodynamics.

#### **Acknowledgements**

**This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China. We are grateful to Mr. Hongqiang Zhao for technical help and we wish to thank Dr. Hui-Hui Zeng, Beijing Medical University, for valuable discussion.** 

#### **References**

- [1] S.A. Rich, J.E. Estes, J. Mol. Biol. 104 (1976) 777.
- [2] J.A. Cooper, T.D. Pollard, Method in Enzymology 85 (Part B) (1982) 182.
- [3] S. Asakuba, M. Kasai, E Oosawa, J. Polymer Sci. 64 (1960) 35.
- [4] D.J. Gorden, Y.Z. Yang, E.D. Korn, J. Biol. Chem. 251 (1976) 7474.
- [5] L.A. Selden, L.C. Bershman, J.E. Estes, J. Muscle Res. Cell Motil. 7 (1986) 215.
- [6] H.J. Kinosian, L.A. Selden, J.E. Estes, L.C. Bershman, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1077 (1991) 151.
- [7] J.P. Weir, D.W. Frederiksen, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 203 (1980) 1.
- [8] A. Holtzer, M.E Holtzer, J. Phys. Chem. 78 (1974) 1442.
- [9] J. Spudich, S. Watt, J. Biol. Chem. 246 (1971) 4688.
- [10] X. Liu, L.E Yen, B.H. Wang, Y.M. Zhang, Thermochim. Acta 253 (1995) 167.
- [11] J.D. Pardee, J. Spudich, J. Cell Biol. 83 (1982) 648.
- [12] J.F. Rouayrenc, E Travers, Eur. J. Biochem. 116 (1981) 73.
- [13] S. Asakuba, M. Taniguchi, E Oosawa, J. Mol. Biol. 7 (1963) 55.
- [14] S. Higashi, E Oosawa, J. Mol. Biol. 12 (1965) 843.
- [15] C. Frieden, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80 (1983) 6513.
- [16] E.D. Korn, Physiol. Rev. 62 (1982) 672.
- [17] L.S. Tobacman, E.D. Korn, J. Biol. Chem. 258 (1983) 3207.