

Thermochimica Acta 320 (1998) 101-114

thermochimica acta

# Molecular thermodynamic model for equilibria in solution III. Equilibrium constants and correlation functions in probability,

thermodynamic, and kinetic energy space

A. Braibanti\* , F. Fisicaro, C. Compari

Pharmaceutical Department, Section of Applied Physical Chemistry, University of Parma, I-43100 Parma, Italy

Received 14 January 1998; accepted 1 June 1998

#### Abstract

The partition functions of solution thermodynamics at the macroscopic level of description correspond to typical distributions of particles at the microscopic molecular level. The partition functions can be represented in probability space which is the domain of formation constants, dilution, concentrations, probability correlation function, free-energy probability, enthalpy probability, and entropy probability. Types of ensembles, either *reacting* or *non-reacting*, yield characteristic probability diagrams. The first moment of the probability distribution belongs to thermodynamic space which is the domain of the extensive thermodynamic variables. The ratios of heat, free energy, enthalpy, entropy to thermal energy RT, as well as logarithm of activity coefficient, logarithm of probability correlation function, and logarithm of equilibrium constant can be measured in affinity thermodynamic space. The properties of the ensembles can be also represented in kinetic energy probability space which corresponds to the experimental domain of thermal dilutions, with variable  $((1/[A])^T$ } and in kinetic energy thermodynamic space which is the domain of absolute free energy, enthalpy and entropy, of  $-RT \ln[A]$ , and of heat and work.  $\odot$  1998 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Chemical equilibria; Statistical mechanics; Theory

The molecular thermodynamic description of equilibria in solution is possible [1,2] with reference to ensembles of cells, which are classified as *reacting* or non-reacting. The reacting ensemble is characterized by the existence in the cells of at least one outstanding enthalpy level. This condition reduces the reacting ensemble to a discontinuous  $(=\text{quantized})$  distribution of enthalpy. The distribution of enthalpy levels corresponds to average values of enthalpy difference at the macroscopic level. The non-reacting ensemble of cells

ties of the ensembles and is at variance with the usual convention adopted in statistical mechanics, where the distinction between canonical and grand canonical ensembles is based on the external conditions (closed or open, respectively) and not on the internal proper-

is characterized by a continuous distribution of enthalpy levels centred around a mean enthalpy value. An experimental reacting mixture is represented on the whole by a *reacting* ensemble while each single component is by itself a *non-reacting* ensemble. The distinction between reacting and non-reacting ensembles is made on the basis of the intrinsic proper-

ties. Actually, canonical partition functions are suited

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +39-521-905024; fax: +39-521- 905006; e-mail: braiban@ipruniv.cce.unipr.it

to the description of non-reacting ensembles and grand canonical partition functions for reacting ensembles. For this reason, we have sometimes [3– 8] considered the non-reacting ensembles as canonical and the reacting ensembles as grand canonical.

The external conditions enter to limit the variability of the partition functions and to specify the peculiarities of the ensembles under given external conditions [1]. Non-reacting ensembles (nre) can be microcanonical (nre<sub>u</sub>), osmotic (nre<sub>o</sub>), thermal (nre<sub>t</sub>), thermo*osmotic* ( $nre_{to}$ ). The *reacting* ensembles can be either osmotic (re<sub>o</sub>), thermal (re<sub>t</sub>), thermo-osmotic (re<sub>t,o</sub>), electrochemical (re<sub>e</sub>), thermo-electrochemical (re<sub>te</sub>), osmotic-electrochemical ( $re_{e,o}$ ), or thermo-electrochemical-osmotic ( $re_{t,e,o}$ ) depending on the types of exchanges with the surroundings.

It has been shown [2] how partition functions used in molecular thermodynamic of solutions represent average values of the properties of the ensembles of cell, each cell representing a molecular description of the chemical process on the microscopic scale. This correspondence is exactly the same as that used in the description of the properties of ensembles in statistical mechanics. The same analogy existing at the microscopic level of description, can be found also at the macroscopic scale from the analogy of the functions employed to describe the macroscopic systems.

The conformity of the functions used in solution thermodynamic  $[1-18]$  to those of statistical mechanics can be demonstrated by formal functional representations in the probability space and in the affinity thermodynamics space. The capability of the correlation function of statistical mechanics [19] to be fitted in this framework and, in particular, the equivalence between entropy change and correlation function will be shown to be a fundamental link between solution thermodynamics [20] and classical statistical mechanics [21].

## 1. Probability space

The equation for a *reacting* ensemble *(re)* is:

$$
\exp(-\Delta G/RT) = \exp(-\Delta H/RT)\exp(\Delta S/R)
$$
\n(1)

can be represented in a diagram defining the probability space.

The free energy factor in a simple equilibrium is equal to the formation function  $k$  multiplied by the concentration of the ligand A

$$
k[A] = \exp(-\Delta G/RT) \tag{2}
$$

where  $k$  is the affinity constant, specific for one binding site. At  $[A]=1$ , the standard energy change is obtained as  $k=exp(-\Delta G^{\phi}/RT)$ . Alternatively, the free energy factor can be put equal to the formation partition function

$$
Z_M \equiv 1 + \beta_1[A] + \beta_2[A]^2 + \cdots \beta_i[A]^i + \cdots \beta_i[A]^i
$$
  
= exp $\left(-\Delta G_f / RT\right)$  (3)

which is a measure of the probability of binding the ligand A to the receptor M with t sites. The  $\beta_i$ 's are the cumulative formation constants for the  $\beta_i = [MA_i] ([M][A]^i)$  (see Eq. (23)).

The probability space (Fig. 1) can be better represented by displacing the origin of the representative vectors to the point  $P(x,y)=(1,1)$  which corresponds to the equilibrium state  $((-\Delta G/RT)=0)$ . The abscissa is the entropy probability axis,  $x(\exp(-\Delta S/R))$  and the ordinate is the enthalpy probability axis,  $y(\exp(-\Delta H/\Delta))$ RT). A third auxiliary coplanar axis,  $z^*(\exp(-\Delta G/RT))$ is taken along the bisector of the angle between  $x$  and y. The asterisk indicates that, because of the geometry of the axes, the actual length along  $z^* = \exp(-\Delta G/RT)$ is related to the values on  $x$  or  $y$  by hyperbolic projection  $(2 \exp(-\Delta G/RT))^{1/2} = (2xy)^{1/2}$ .



Fig. 1. Probability space: reacting ensemble (re): exothermic, exoergonic reaction.  $E(-\Delta G/RT)$ ,  $E(-\Delta H/RT)$ , etc. stand for  $\exp(-\Delta G/RT)$ ,  $\exp(-\Delta H/RT)$ , etc., respectively, for the sake of brevity.

Eq. (1) is represented in the diagram by a family of hyperbolas, each of which corresponds to a constant value  $z^* = \exp(-\Delta G/RT)$ . Among the hyperbolas, by determining the equilibrium constant  $k$  or  $K$ , one chooses that hyperbola with coordinate  $z^* = k$  or K pertaining to the reaction. Thus, one finds the standard value  $\exp(-\Delta G^{\phi}/RT)$  on the diagram. Along the hyperbola of constant value  $exp(-\Delta G^{\phi}/RT)$ , the point corresponding to the actual reaction can be found if the enthalpy component  $exp(-\Delta H/RT)$  is determined and, consequently, the standard entropy factor,  $\exp(\Delta S^{\phi}/R)$ , is fixed. The components  $\exp(-\Delta H/R)$  $RT$ ) and  $\exp(\Delta S^{\phi}/R)$  projected hyperbolically by rotation around the point  $P(x,y)=(1,1)$  onto  $z^*$  axis, yields  $\exp(-\Delta G^{\phi}/RT)$ . The enthalpy factor,  $\exp(-\Delta H/RT)$ , projected hyperbolically by rotation around the point  $P(x,y)=(1,1)$  onto the x axis produces the entropy component  $\exp(\Delta S_{\langle H \rangle}/R)$  which is measured along the entropy axis, but is exactly equal to the hyperbolic projection of the enthalpy factor,  $exp(-\Delta H/RT)$ . It is clear that, if the temperature is changed, the enthalpy factor is changes proportionally to  $-\Delta H/R$ , but at the same time both free energy,  $\exp(-\Delta G^{\phi}/RT)$ , and entropy,  $\exp(\Delta S_{(H)}/R)$ , probability components change by the same amount, by construction.

The probability space is well suited to represent the behaviour of the different ensembles.

The *osmotic non-reacting* ensemble  $(nre<sub>o</sub>)$  also belongs to the probability space (Fig. 2). In fact, one can write

$$
\exp(-\delta \mu_A / RT) \equiv \exp(\delta s_A([A])/R) = [A]^{-1}
$$
\n(4)

where  $\delta \mu_A = \mu_A - \mu_{A,0}$  and dilution,  $[A]^{-1}$ , is proportional to the partition,  $\Gamma_A$  (i.e. to the probability of state). The symbol ' $\delta$ ' is used for a finite change of the function in *nre*, instead of  $\Delta$ . The capital is maintained to design the change of a function in a *reacting* ensemble. In the standard state,  $[A]=1$  for  $\mu_A = \mu_{A,0}$ 

$$
\exp(-\Delta \mu / RT) \equiv [A]^{-1} = 1 \tag{5}
$$

The *thermal non-reacting* ensemble  $(nre_i)$  is also representable in the probability space (Fig. 3). The non-reacting ensemble refers to a pure compound and its chemical potential is in the reference state and,



Fig. 2. Probability space: *osmotic non-reacting* ensemble  $(nre<sub>o</sub>)$ .  $E(-\delta \mu/RT)$ ,  $E(\delta s/R)$ , etc. stand for exp ( $-\delta \mu/RT$ ), exp ( $\delta s/R$ ), etc., respectively, for the sake of brevity.

thus, the following equality holds

$$
\exp(-\delta \mu / RT)
$$
  
\n
$$
\equiv \exp(-\delta h / RT) \exp(\delta s) / R) = 1
$$
 (6)

where  $\delta s(T)$  indicates the dependence of entropy on the temperature. Eq. (6) shows how the enthalpy and entropy probability factors are equal

$$
\exp(\delta h/RT) = \exp(\delta s(T)/R) \tag{7}
$$

as shown in Fig. 3.

The thermo-osmotic non-reacting ensemble  $(nre_{\text{to}})$ is obtained (Fig. 4) by combining the properties of the component ensembles, by introducing the dependence of entropy on both, dilution and temperature. The resulting probability is again proportional to the



Fig. 3. Probability space: thermal non-reacting  $(nre_t)$  ensemble  $E(-\delta h/RT)$ ,  $E(\delta s_h/R)$ , etc. stand for exp ( $-\delta h/RT$ ), exp ( $\delta s_h/R$ ), etc., respectively, for the sake of brevity.



Fig. 4. Probability space: thermo-osmotic non-reacting ensemble  $(nre_{t,q})$   $E(-\delta \mu/RT)$ ,  $E(\delta s_h/R)$ , etc. stand for exp  $(-\delta \mu/RT)$ ,  $\exp(\delta s_h/R)$ , etc., respectively, for the sake of brevity.

dilution

$$
\exp(-\delta \mu / RT) \equiv \exp(-\delta h / RT)
$$
  
×  $\exp(\delta s([A], T) / R) = [A]^{-1}$  (8)

and can be represented in the probability diagram.

The representation of the properties of the reacting ensemble in the probability space has been shown previously in Fig. 1. In particular, in the standard state, the probability is proportional to the equilibrium constant k

$$
k = \exp(-\Delta G^{\phi}/RT)
$$
  
\n
$$
\equiv \exp(-\Delta H^{\phi}/RT)\exp(\Delta S^{\phi}/R)
$$
 (9)

By hyperbolical projection on to a line parallel to the  $x$ axis (under the imposed condition  $exp(-\Delta H/RT)=1$ ), the equilibrium constant probability equals the total entropy probability factor

$$
k = \exp(\Delta S_{\text{tot}}^{\phi}/R) \equiv [A]^{-1}
$$
 (10)

which can be experimentally evaluated through dilution determinations. The domains of  $Z_M$ , k, and dilution,  $d_A=[A]^{-1}$  belong to the probability space.

#### 2. Probability space and correlation function

The conformity of the partition functions of solution thermodynamics to classical statistical mechanics can be demonstrated by showing the relationship in the probability space representation between partition function (or its component probability factors) and correlation function.

The correlation function,  $g(r)$  gives the number of particle configurations in a spherical cell of thickness  $d(r)$ . It is related to the radial distribution function,  $dn(r)$  [20] by

$$
dn(r) = \rho g(r) 4\pi r^2 dr \tag{11}
$$

where  $\rho$  is the number density.

The number of particle configurations in the volume element  $4\pi r^2 dr$  or radial distribution function in a non*reacting* ensemble due to the correlation function  $g(r)$ is given by Eq. (11) and the excess number of particle configurations in the volume element  $4\pi r^2 dr$  is given by

$$
dn(r)_{\text{exc}} = \rho[g(r) - 1]4\pi r^2 dr \qquad (12)
$$

where  $h(r)=g(r)-1$  is defined as the total correlation function.

In non-reacting ensembles, the correlation function assumes the form

$$
g(r) = \exp(-U(r)/kT)f(\rho)
$$
 (13)

where  $U(r)$  is the potential of mean force between one particle and the surroundings, and  $f(\rho)=(1+\rho x_1(r))$  $\rho^2 x_2(r) + \cdots$ ). The functions  $x_1(r), x_2(r), \ldots$  are integrals that must be approximated. The main methods of approximation have been proposed by Yvon [22] and, independently, by Born and Green [23] and by Kirkwood [24]. We note that the function  $f(\rho)$  can be compared with the partition function of Eq. (2) for a system containing a receptor with  $i=t$  non-cooperative sites. The functions  $x_1(r), x_2(r), \ldots, x_t(r)$  are, apparently, analogous to the cumulative formation constants  $\beta_i$ . The difference is that the constants  $\beta_i$  in reacting ensembles correspond to different quantized enthalpy levels, whereas the functions  $x_t(r)$  indicate discontinuities in the concentration distributions, thus giving rise to quantization of the entropy. The discontinuities of probability can be represented at the molecular level of description by sets of cells where the concentrations are changing periodically in space (Fig. 5). The probability correlation function  $g<sub>P</sub>(r)$ =  $g(r)^{(1/N)}$  is a (geometric) mean correlation function and can be represented on the  $x$ -axis of the probability space (Fig. 6), where the origin is taken at 0. In the same diagram, the probability total correlation function (i.e. mean total correlation function)  $h<sub>P</sub>(r)$ =



Fig. 5. Discrete (quantized) entropy distribution. [A] is a substance reservoire (closed), and  $H$  a heat reservoire (open).

 $(g<sub>P</sub>(r)-1)$  can be also represented by a vector starting from the point  $P(x,y)=(1,1)$ .

Association of discontinuities of energy can be accounted for in the probability correlation function  $g<sub>P</sub>(r)$ . The three factors of Eq. (13) can be assimilated to probability functions. Specifically, a partition function  $Z=g_{\rm P}(r)$ , or mean total entropy change, can be substituted for the correlation function  $g(r)$ :

$$
g_{\rm P}(r) = Z \equiv \exp(-\Delta F/RT) \tag{14}
$$

a probability of change of internal energy,  $\Delta E$  can be substituted for the potential energy  $-U(r)/kT$ 

$$
\exp(-U(r)/kT) \to \exp(-\Delta E/RT) \tag{15}
$$



Fig. 6. Probability space mean correlation function (non-reacting)  $g_{\rm P}(r)$ :  $f_{\rm P}(\rho) = (1 + \rho x_1 + \rho^2 x_2 + \cdots)^{(1/N_{\rm N_{\rm L}})}$ ,  $a = \exp(-(U(r)/RT)$ ,  $h_P(r)=g_P(r)-1$ =mean total correlation function. Quantities with asterisks are in units of the auxiliary coplanar axis  $z^*$ :  $g_P(r)$  is the hyperbolic projection of  $g_P(r)^*$  onto a parallel to x axis at  $y=1$ .

due to the difference in internal energy between two binary potential curves and a dilution function  $Y(r)$  or mean entropy change probability assimilated to the density function  $f(\rho)$ :

$$
(1 + \rho x_1(r) + \rho^2 x_2(r) + \cdots)^{(1/N_L)}
$$
  
=  $Y(r) \rightarrow \exp(\Delta S/R)$  (16)

Thus, the properties of the reaction mean correlation function  $g_P(r)$  of Eq. (14) can be expressed in terms of thermodynamic probability factors

$$
\exp(-\Delta F/RT) = \exp(-\Delta E/RT)\exp(\Delta S/R)
$$
\n(17)

and be represented in the probability space diagram as a *reacting* ensemble.

In a reacting ensemble, Z is a dilution function because it is proportional to the total dilution of the receptor (with  $t=1$ ,  $Z \equiv Z_M=[M]^{-1}/([M]+[MA])^{-1}$ ). For  $t=1$ , the levels are two, namely the ground level 0 and the first level 1. Therefore, the total number of particles in the volume element  $4\pi r^2 dr$  or level distribution function in a reacting ensemble can be calculated in analogy with Eq. (11) as

$$
dn(r)_{\text{tot}} = \rho Z 4\pi r^2 dr \qquad (18)
$$

The total excess number of particles in the volume element  $4\pi r^2 dr$  can be calculated by

$$
dn(r)_{\text{ex,tot}} \equiv \rho[Z - 1] 4\pi r^2 dr = \rho[f_P(r)] 4\pi r^2 dr
$$
\n(19)

where  $f<sub>P</sub>(r) = k$  is the total saturation function in *react*ing ensembles or  $f_P(r) = (f_{1,2}(r))^{(1/N_L)}$  is the N<sub>L</sub>-th root of the Mayer function [21] in non-reacting ensembles.

The analogies and differences of distributions and functions typical of statistical mechanics of gases with quantities encountered in chemically reacting or nonreacting systems at equilibrium can be shown by considering that the reaction partition function Z is identical with the partition function for chemical equilibrium  $Z_M$ , referred to the receptor M and depending on the concentration of free ligand [A]. For one single site  $(t=1)$ , this is

$$
Z_M = 1 + k[A] = 1 + \rho f(r)
$$
 (20)

The partition function  $Z_M$  is actually an association or a formation partition function. It is a measure of the probability of binding the ligand A to form the complex MA under different concentration [A] (or activity) of free A. The probability of binding  $exp(-\Delta G_f/\Delta G)$  $RT$ ) as the function of the site affinity constant k can be compared with the probability  $exp(-\Delta G_d/RT)$  of dissociating A from MA as the function of  $(1/k)$ . The comparison is given by the ratio (probability of binding)/(probability of dissociating) as follows:

$$
\exp(-\Delta G_{\text{sat}}/RT)
$$
  
=  $\exp(-\Delta G_{\text{f}}/RT)/\exp(-\Delta G_{\text{d}}/RT)$  (21)

which is calculated [10] as

$$
\exp(-\Delta G_{\text{sat}}/RT) = k[A] \tag{22}
$$

where  $k$  is the saturation function identical with that of Eq. (2). The formation constant  $k$  refers to a *reacting* system and the Mayer function (i.e. entropy change only) to a non-reacting one.

When more sites are involved (class of identical interacting sites with  $t>1$ ), the *reaction* partition function is expressed by

$$
Z_{\rm M} \equiv (1 + k\gamma(i)[\rm A])^t = 1 + \sum m_i (k\gamma(i)[\rm A])^i
$$
\n(23)

where the summation is from  $i=1$  to  $i=t$ ,  $m_i$  is a multiplicity coefficient, and  $\gamma(i)$  an appropriate cooperativity function between sites. The coefficients of the single terms of the polynomial of Eq. (23) correspond to the cumulative constants  $\beta_i$  of Eq. (3), which are experimentally determined by the fitting of the experimental data.

 $Z_M$  can be represented as a vector  $J_i$ . If there are more classes of sites, the partition function can be represented as a tensor product  $[J_i]\cdot\{J_i\}$  [6,7]. In case of self association, the function  $Z_M$  can be obtained as the tensor product  $[J_i]\cdot\{J_i\}$ , where  $[J_i]$  represents the probability of binding A to M, and  $\{J_i\}$  represents the binding of clusters of receptors  $M_i$  bound to M.

The correlation function for *non-reacting* ensembles takes a special form in very diluted gases or in very diluted solutions

$$
\lim_{[A]\to 0} \{g(r)/Z\} = \exp(-U_{1,2}(r)/k_B T) \tag{24}
$$

where  $U_{1,2}(r)$  is the pair potential between two particles. The entropy factor only depends on the configuration integral. It corresponds to the Orn-







stein–Zernike equation [25] solved by Percus–Yevick  $[26,27]$  first approximation.

The probability space is representative of every domain whose units are proportional to probabilities (Table 1).

#### 3. Thermodynamic space

The differential of Eq. (1) can be calculated

$$
d\{ \exp(-\Delta G/RT) \} = d\{ \exp(-\Delta H/RT) \}
$$
  
× exp( $\Delta S/R$ ) + d{exp( $\Delta S/R$ )}   
× exp(- $\Delta H/RT$ ) (25)

and then divided by  $exp(-\Delta G/RT)$  in order to obtain the relative change of probability

$$
d{exp(-\Delta G/RT)}/exp(-\Delta G/RT)
$$
  
= d{exp(-\Delta H/RT)}/exp(-\Delta H/RT)  
+ d{exp(\Delta S/R)}/exp(\Delta S/R) (26)

This equation yields

$$
\mathrm{dln}\{\mathrm{exp}(-\Delta G/RT\} = \mathrm{dln}\{\mathrm{exp}(-\Delta H/RT)\}\
$$

$$
+\mathrm{dln}\{\mathrm{exp}(\Delta S/R)\}\
$$
(27)



Fig. 7. Representation of (a) osmotic non-reacting (nre<sub>0</sub>), (b) thermal non-reacting (nre<sub>t)</sub>, (c) thermo-osmotic non-reacting (nre<sub>to</sub>), and (d) reacting (re) ensembles in affinity thermodynamic space.

and, therefore,

$$
d(-\Delta G/RT) = d(-\Delta H/RT) + d(\Delta S/R)
$$
\n(28)

Thus, the general relation between probabilities of Eq. (1) can be transformed into the thermodynamic relation between the exponents. The terms of the general thermodynamic relation

$$
-\Delta G/RT = -\Delta H/RT + \Delta S/R \tag{29}
$$

are the first moments of the probability distribution.

If these quantities are considered as vectors, they can be represented in a plane (Fig. 7). The abscissa represents the entropy and  $x(\Delta S/R)$ , the ordinate the enthalpy,  $y(-\Delta H/RT)$ . A third auxiliary coplanar axis  $z^*(-\Delta G/RT)$ , bisecting the other two, is used to represent the joined probability (i.e. free energy). The asterisk indicates that any vector or composition of vectors on either  $x$  or  $y$  axis can be projected onto the  $z^*$  coplanar axis on multiplication by 0.707=  $\cos 45^\circ$ . This axis system defines the affinity thermodynamic space (or plane).

The relations between enthalpy, entropy and free energy of non-reacting and reacting ensembles, respectively, can be represented in this space.

The *osmotic non-reacting* ensemble,  $nre<sub>o</sub>$  (Fig. 7(a)) depends on the dilution alone. The change observed is along the abscissa (or entropy). Projection onto the  $z^*$ axis yields the chemical potential of the solute A.

The thermal non-reacting ensemble,  $nre_t$  (Fig. 7(b)) shows how the enthalpy and entropy components along the  $x$ - and  $y$ -axes, respectively, are equal. The vector composition drawn in the diagram corresponds to heating the system. An analogous combination of reversed vectors indicates the cooling of the solution.

The thermo-osmotic non-reacting ensemble,  $n r \epsilon_{\text{tot}}$ (Fig. 7(c)) is the combination of the properties of  $nre<sub>o</sub>$ (dependence on dilution) with those of  $nre_t$  (dependence on temperature).

The *reacting* ensemble,  $re$  (Fig. 7(d)) shows how the free-energy vector can be projected onto the  $x$ -axis. This corresponds to the determination of the standard free energy from the equilibrium constant. The equilibrium constant is a completely entropic function. In 108 A. Braibanti et al. / Thermochimica Acta 320 (1998) 101-114



Fig. 8. Relationship between Gibbs and Helmohltz free energy in affinity thermodynamic space.

fact, it is a ratio of experimentally determined dilutions. The total entropy, corresponding to free energy,  $\Delta S_{\text{tot}}/R$ , is comprehensive of an intrinsic standard entropy component,  $\Delta S^{\phi}/R$  and of an entropy component,  $\Delta S_H/R$  corresponding exactly to the enthalpy change,  $\Delta H^{\phi}/RT$ . The equivalence is shown geometrically in the affinity thermodynamic diagram. The equivalence of enthalpy and entropy is the fundamental relation on which the differential van't Hoff equation is based. Any change in  $\Delta H^{\phi}/RT$ , obtained by changing T, is paralleled by an equal change in  $\Delta S_H/R$ and a proportional displacement of  $\Delta G^{\phi}/RT$ .

The affinity thermodynamic space is also suited to the demonstration of the relationship between Helmohltz free energy,  $\Delta F$ , and Gibbs free energy,  $\Delta G$ , and between the internal energy,  $E$ , and enthalpy,  $H$ (Fig. 8). Substitution of enthalpy,  $\Delta H^{\phi}/RT$  for energy,  $\Delta E^{\phi}/RT$  in the characterization of the levels is justified by the equivalence between entropy and enthalpy and between entropy and energy, respectively. The term  $P\Delta V/RT$  at constant P can be summed up to  $\Delta E/RT$ without any loss of generality. The entropy (equivalent to  $\Delta E$ ) component,  $\Delta S_F/R$  is increased by the compressibility coefficient,  $P\Delta V/RT$  to give the entropy equivalent,  $\Delta S_H/R$  in the same amount as the energy term,  $\Delta E^{\phi}/RT$  is increased to give the enthalpy term  $\Delta H^{\phi}/RT$ . The compressibility term is constant for ideal gases and rather small in solution. Corrections for its variability must be introduced for real solutions



Fig. 9. The logarithm of the *non-reacting* mean correlation function,  $g<sub>P</sub>(r)$  and its components in the affinity thermodynamic space.  $f_P(\rho) = (1 + \rho x_1 + \rho^2 x_2 + \cdots)^{(1/N_L)}$ ,  $a = \exp(-U(r)/RT)$ , Quantities with asterisks are in units of the auxiliary coplanar axis  $z^*$ : ln  $g_P(r)^*$  being the orthogonal projection of ln  $g_P(r)$  onto  $z^*$ .

at different temperatures. In the model, we consider that this term is constant, for the sake of simplicity.

The affinity thermodynamic space is also suited to describe the properties of the mean correlation function. By taking the logarithms of Eqs.  $(14)–(16)$ 

$$
\ln g_{P}(r) = - U(r)/RT + \ln (1 + \rho x_{1}(r) + \rho^{2} x_{2}(r) + \cdots)^{1/N_{L}}
$$
\n(30)

one obtains the first moments of the probability distributions that can be represented in the affinity thermodynamic diagram as a non-reacting ensemble (Fig. 9).

The thermodynamic space is, in general, suited to represent the properties of all those domains whose units are proportional to energies and logarithms of probabilities either relative to thermal energy, RT, or in absolute units (Table 2).

# 4. Relationships between probability and thermodynamic space

The probability space and the thermodynamic space are related to one another by means of hyperbolic functions. In fact, the binding of A to form a complex MA is given by the exponential

$$
k[A] = \exp(-i\Delta G/RT) \tag{31}
$$

where  $k$  is the affinity constant specific for one binding site,  $\Delta G/RT$  is the free energy of formation of a site,  $\Delta G/KI$  is the free energy of formation of a<br>complex MA, and  $-i = \sqrt{-1}$ . By recalling the





Calorimetry

 $a$  For relations between affinity (relative) and kinetic energy (absolute) thermodynamic space, see Eqs. (48) $-(55)$ .

relation between exponentials and hyperbolic functions

$$
\exp(-ix) = \cosh x - i \sinh x \tag{32}
$$

and by putting  $x=(\Delta G/RT)$ , the Eq. (31) becomes

$$
k[A] = \cosh(\Delta G/RT) - i \sinh(\Delta G/RT)
$$
\n(33)

Therefore, the free energy change,  $\Delta G/RT$ , of the thermodynamic space is the argument (angle) of the hyperbolic function whose transform in the probability space is  $k[A]$ , the product of the affinity constant specific for one binding site times the concentration of the ligand. Analogous relationships can be found for enthalpy and entropy factors, by substituting  $x=(\Delta H)^T$  $RT$ ) in the Eq. (32)

$$
\exp(-i\Delta H/RT) = \cosh(\Delta H/RT) \n- i \sinh(\Delta H/RT)
$$
\n(34)

and by substituting  $x=(\Delta S/R)$  in the Eq. (32)

$$
\exp(i\Delta S/R) = \cosh(-\Delta S/R) - i\sinh(-\Delta S/R)
$$
\n(35)

respectively. By multiplying the Eq. (34) by Eq. (35) and on rearranging the RHS, the following equality can be obtained

$$
\exp(-i\Delta H/RT)\exp(i\Delta S/R)
$$
  
= cosh ((\Delta H/RT) - (\Delta S/R)  
- isinh ((\Delta H/RT) - (\Delta S/R)) (36)

which by comparison with Eq. (33) shows how the argument  $\Delta G/RT$  is the difference

$$
(\Delta G/RT) = (\Delta H/RT) - (\Delta S/R) \tag{37}
$$

between two argument  $\Delta H/RT$  and  $\Delta S/R$ , respectively.

Another relationship can be obtained by recalling that

$$
\tanh x = (1 - 2x)/(1 + 2x) \tag{38}
$$

and by putting

$$
\exp(-2x) = k[A] \tag{39}
$$

One obtains that the molar fraction

$$
\alpha_1 = k[\mathbf{A}]/(1 + k[\mathbf{A}]) \tag{40}
$$

becomes

$$
\alpha_1 = \exp(-2x)/(1 + \exp(-2x))
$$
 (41)

and the molar fraction of free receptor becomes

$$
\alpha_0 = 1/(1 + \exp(-2x))
$$
 (42)

Thus, the foregoing expressions are related to Eq. (38) by

$$
-\tanh x = \alpha_1 - \alpha_0 \tag{43}
$$

Therefore, by putting  $x=(1/2)(\Delta G/RT)$  from Eqs.  $(41)$ – $(43)$ , one obtains the molar fraction expressed as hyperbolic function whose argument is the free energy of formation of the thermodynamic space

$$
\alpha_1 = 1/2 - (1/2)\tanh\left(\Delta G/RT\right) \tag{44}
$$

which is the well-known logistic formula.

In one considers that the free energy of the reaction can be obtained as

$$
-\Delta G^{\phi}/RT = \int_{0}^{1} \ln[\mathbf{A}] \, \mathrm{d}\alpha_1 \tag{45}
$$

the differential of Eq. (44) can be substituted for  $d\alpha_1$ in Eq. (45), thus, giving

$$
-\Delta G^{\phi} = RT \int_{0}^{1} \ln [A] \{-2 \tanh (\Delta G/RT)\}
$$
\n(46)

The analytical expressions of probability and thermodynamic space by means of hyperbolic functions confirms the relationships shown in the diagrams. The former, however, come out to be much less manageable than the diagrammatic representations.

#### 5. Kinetic energy space

We can also analyse the effect of raising a probability factor to the power  $T$  (absolute temperature).

By assuming, in the probability space, a reaction partition function  $Z_M$ 

$$
Z_{\rm M} = (1 + \kappa[A])^t \equiv \exp(-\Delta G/RT) \tag{47}
$$

$$
\mathop{\mathsf{A}}_{\Delta H_1}^{A H_1} \stackrel{\left( - - \frac{m_1}{2} - - \right)^{\mathsf{T}}}{\overline{\Delta H_1}}
$$

Fig. 10. Effect of the temperature on the multiplicity of sites in kinetic energy space.

for a receptor  $M$  with  $t$  binding sites, we obtain the power

$$
\left(Z_{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{T} = \left(a + \kappa[\mathrm{A}]\right)^{t} \equiv \exp(-\Delta G/R) \tag{48}
$$

and, hence,

$$
\exp(-\Delta G/R) \equiv (1 + \kappa[A])^{\prime T}
$$
  
= 
$$
\exp(-\Delta H/R) \exp(T\Delta S/R)
$$
 (49)

By recalling that the dilution of the ligand upon binding is proportional to the number of sites, we can conclude that the raising of the partition function to the power  $T$  (the absolute temperature) is equivalent to raising the number of sites of the receptor to the power  $T$  and, hence, to dilute the solution  $T$  orders of magnitude (Fig. 10).

This raising to a power transforms the probability space into the kinetic energy probability space. The experimental domain corresponding to this space is that of thermal dilutions  $(\exp(T\Delta S/R)) \rightarrow 1/[A]^T$ . The kinetic energy probability space conforms to a different kind of molecular mechanism. In fact, whereas in the probability space every change of temperature is associated to a change of populations of the levels, in the kinetic energy space every change of temperature produces a change of multiplicity or dilution of the levels. The representation of this space is on a plane where the axes are  $x(\exp(T \Delta S/R))$ ,  $y(\exp(-i\Delta H/R))$  with  $z^*$  (exp( $-i\Delta G/R$ )) as the coplanar axis.

In the hyperbolic representation in the imaginary plane of the kinetic energy probability space, we can plane of the kinetic energy probability space, we can<br>write, from Eq. (49) by introducing  $-i = \sqrt{-1}$ , the following:

$$
\exp(-i\Delta G/R) = \cosh(\Delta G/R) - i\sinh(\Delta G/R)
$$
\n(50)

By substituting Eq. (49) into Eq. (50), and recalling Eqs.  $(34)$ – $(36)$ , we can transform the free energy

probability into the product of two factors

$$
\exp(-i\Delta G/R) = \{\cosh(\Delta H/R) \n- i\sinh(\Delta H/R)\}\{\cosh(T\Delta S/R) \n- i\sinh(T\Delta S/R)\}\
$$
\n(51)

where

$$
\exp(-i\Delta H/R) = {\cosh(\Delta H/R) - i\sinh(\Delta H/R)}
$$
 (52)

is the potential energy probability factor and

$$
\exp(-i\tau \Delta S/R) = \{\cosh(\tau \Delta S/R) + i\sinh(\tau \Delta S/R)\}\
$$
\n(53)

is the kinetic-energy probability factor.

The kinetic-energy probability space can be transformed into the kinetic energy thermodynamic space

$$
\Delta G/R = (-\Delta H/R) + (T\Delta S/R) \tag{54}
$$

From Eq. (54), by assuming that we are dealing with molar thermodynamic quantities, one obtains

$$
-\Delta G = -\Delta H + T\Delta S \tag{55}
$$

which is the usual thermodynamic definition of free energy.

The potential-energy factor of the kinetic-energy probability space depends on the argument of the kinetic-energy thermodynamic space

The kinetic-energy probability space can be transformed into the kinetic energy thermodynamic

$$
\omega_{\rm H} = -\Delta H/R \tag{56}
$$

the kinetic-energy probability factor depends on the argument

$$
\omega_{\rm S} = T\Delta S/R \tag{57}
$$

and the joint probability factor depends on the argument

$$
\omega_{\rm G} = \omega_{\rm H} - \omega_{\rm S} \equiv -\Delta G/R \tag{58}
$$

thus, showing that the factorability of the probability space corresponds to the separability of the arguments in the kinetic-energy thermodynamic space as shown in the general Eq. (55). In analogy with the relationship between  $\Delta S_H/R$  and  $\Delta H^{\phi}/RT$ , even the argument  $\omega_H$  has an entropic counterpart

$$
\omega_{\rm H} = -\omega_{\rm SH} \tag{59}
$$

The analytical expressions of kinetic-energy thermodynamic space of hyperbolic functions confirms to be much less manageable than usual probability and thermodynamic functions with corresponding diagramatic representations.

In order to illustrate the relationship between kinetic-energy probability functions and the experimental domain in a non-reacting ensemble (nre), we can consider an example given by a solution containing free hydrogen ions in concentration  $[H^+]$ . By determining the electromotive force of such a solution against a hydrogen electrode, the following equality holds.

$$
\exp\{(E - E_0)/RT\} = [H^+] \tag{60}
$$

where the solution is assumed to be at constant activity coefficient. Raising both sides of Eq.  $(60)$  to the power T yields

$$
(\exp\{(F(E - E_0)/R)\} = [H^+]^T
$$
 (61)

where the 'active thermal concentration' of the RHS is dramatically changed under constant emf,  $(E-E_0)$ . For instance, if the solution is  $10^{-2}$  M, then the active concentration becomes  $10^{-2}$  M, an extremely diluted solution at  $T=300$  K with a very high entropy content. On the other hand, the ratio  $F/R$  in Eq. (61) guarantees that the amount of electric charge transferred in the electric circuit (96498 Coulomb= $N_L \times 1.6 \times$  $10-19$  Coulomb) is paralleled by a transfer of one mole of ions  $(N_L=6.02\times10^{23} \text{ ions})$  throughout the electrolytic portion of the chain under constant potential difference  $(E-E_0)$ .

#### 6. Solute activity and role of the solvent

The dilution of a compound has been expressed so far in these papers by means of concentration or number density, [A] and [M], thus assuming an ideal behaviour of the ligand or receptor, typical of a microcanonical ensemble. The interaction with the solvent, however, implies an interaction energy even in a microcanonical ensemble, where the binding is not expressed by the mass action law but rather by some other type of attraction or repulsion, e.g. by electrostatic interaction depending on the ionic strength in ionic solution.



Fig. 11. Logarithm of activity and of activity coefficient in the affinity thermodynamic space.  $\ln f$  is symbolically represented  $N\varepsilon\psi/RT$  as integral entropy change.

The dilution ratio between two entropy states is the activity coefficient

$$
f_{\rm A} = a_{\rm A}/[\rm A] \tag{62}
$$

where  $a_A$  is the activity and [A] the concentration in the reference state. In the limit that the ionic strength  $l\rightarrow 0$ , the real and ideal dilutions tend to be equal

$$
\lim_{l \to 0} f_A \equiv \lim_{l \to 0} (a_A / [A]) = 1
$$
\n(63)

The relationship between concentration and activity can be represented in the thermodynamic space where  $\Psi$  represents the electrostatic potential and  $\varepsilon$  the charge (Fig. 11). If high concentrations of ionic medium are employed, the actual concentration of the solvent is no longer constant and this effect can be considered as a further entropic effect, complementary to the electrostatic effect.

The solvent, however, can also play the role of a ligand and many compounds or complexes are actually hydrated molecules or complexes. The system becomes reacting with affinity constant. If the solution is diluted, the concentration of the solvent-ligand can be considered as constant. Upon changing the temperature, however, an equivalent dilution can be produced, and this behaviour can be analysed by considering the change of the equilibrium constant with the temperature [12,13].

## 7. Conclusion

The properties of molecular ensembles and their representation in the probability space, affinity thermodynamic space, and in kinetic-energy thermodynamic space can be profitably used to put in evidence intensive and extensive properties of the chemical solutions. The intensive properties are connected with the experimental domain of concentrations and affinity constants, whereas the affinity thermodynamic space is linked to the experimental domain of heat, free energy, and logarithm of concentration. The corresponding spatial representations permit to show that the distinction into reacting and non-reacting ensembles corresponds to definite characteristic probability distributions, appropriately representable in the diagrams. The probability and affinity thermodynamic space, are also suited to show the links of the stability constants in solution thermodynamic with the functions of statistical mechanics. The kinetic thermodynamic space is suited to show the effects on the system of changes of temperature and dilution (entropic factors) under a constant potential energy factor.

## 8. List of symbols







# Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Project `Thermodinamica dei complessi' of the Italian Ministry for University and for Scientific and Technological Research (MURST).

# References

- [1] A. Braibanti, E. Fisicaro, C. Compari, A. Ghiozzi, Part I, submitted.
- [2] A. Braibanti, E. Fisicaro, C. Compari, A. Ghiozzi, Part II, submitted.
- [3] A. Braibanti, E. Fisicaro, F. Dallavalle, F.X. Ughi, Ann. Chim. (Rome) 80 (1990) 303.
- [4] A. Braibanti, E. Fisicaro, F. Dallavalle, J.D. Lamb, J.L. Oscarson, J. Phys. Chem. 97 (1993) 8054.
- [5] A. Braibanti, E. Fisicaro, F. Dallavalle, J.D. Lamb, J.L. Oscarson, J. Phys. Chem. 97 (1993) 8062.
- [6] A. Braibanti, E. Fisicaro, F. Dallavalle, J.D. Lamb, J.L. Oscarson, F.X. Ughi, J. Phys. Chem. 97 (1993) 8071.
- [7] A. Braibanti, E. Fisicaro, F. Dallavalle, J.D. Lamb, J.L. Oscarson, R. Sambasiva Rao, J. Phys. Chem. 98 (1994) 626.
- [8] A. Braibanti, F. Dallavalle, G. Mori, M. Pasquali, Inorg. Chim. Acta 91 (1984) 195.
- [9] A. Braibanti, F. Dallavalle, F. Fisicaro, M. Pasquali, Inorg. Chim. Acta 122 (1986) 135-144.
- [10] A. Braibanti, E. Fisicaro, M. Monguidi, F. Dallavalle, Inorg. Chim. Acta 138 (1987) 17-24.
- [11] A. Braibanti, F. Dallavalle, E. Fisicaro, Ann. Chim. (Rome) 78 (1988) 679.
- [12] A. Braibanti, F. Dallavalle, E. Fisicaro, Thermochim. Acta 140 (1989) 203.
- [13] E. Fisicaro, A. Braibanti, J.D. Lamb, J.L. Oscarson, Biophys. Chem. 36 (1990) 1.
- [14] E. Fisicaro, A. Braibanti, J.D. Lamb, J.L. Oscarson, Biophys. Chem. 36 (1990) 15.
- [15] E. Fisicaro, A. Braibanti, J.D. Lamb, J.L. Oscarson, Thermochim. Acta 168 (1990) 161.
- [16] S.J. Gill, H.T. Gurd, J. Wyman, B.G. Barisas, Biophys. Chem. 8 (1978) 53.
- [17] D. Poland, Cooperative Equilibria in Physical Biochemistry, Clarendon, Oxford, 1978.
- [18] A. Braibanti, E. Fisicaro, C. Compari, A. Ghiozzi, Part IV, submitted.
- [19] J.W. Whalen, Molecular Thermodynamic: A Statistical Approach, J. Wiley, New York, 1991, p. 124.
- [20] A. Ben-Naim, Water and Aqueous Solutions, Plenum, New York, 1974.
- [21] R.O. Watts, Statistical Mechanics, vol. I, The Chem. Soc., London, 1973.
- [22] J. Yvon, Act. Sci. et Ind. (1935) 203.
- [23] H.S. Green, The Molecular Theory of Fluids, Interscience, New York, 1952.
- [24] J.G. Kirkwood, J. Phys. Chem. 3 (1935) 300.
- [25] L.S. Ornstein, F. Zernicke, Proc. Acad. Sci. (Amsterdam) 17 (1914) 793.
- [26] J.K. Percus, G.J. Yevick, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 1.
- [27] J.K. Percus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 8 (1962) 462.