

Thermochimica Acta 326 (1999) 7-15

thermochimica acta

# An empirical method for estimating the entropy of formation and the absolute entropy of dried microbial biomass for use in studies on the thermodynamics of microbial growth

Edwin H. Battley\*

Department of Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794-5245, USA

Received 8 October 1998; accepted 23 October 1998

# Abstract

An empirical method is described for calculating the entropy of formation,  $\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm biomass}$ , and the absolute entropy,  $S_{\rm biomass}$ , of dried biomass for which the elemental composition is known. It is established that the ratio of  $\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm biomass}$  to the sum of the atomic entropies of the atoms comprising the biomass multiplied by their respective coefficients is a dimensionless constant. This relationship can be used in the calculation of  $S_{\rm biomass}$ , giving an accuracy comparable to experimentally determined values of  $100.18\pm1.94\%$  (n=5). The calculations appear to be valid for both anhydrous and hydrated biomass, provided that the quantity of water of hydration is known. The method is applicable to any solid, organic substance for which the elemental composition is known, with lesser accuracy at small molecular weights and greater accuracy as the molecular weight increases. It can be compared to Thornton's Rule, although completely different, in that it enables a thermal quantity to be calculated without the necessity of a direct thermal measurement.  $\mathbb{C}$  1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Entropy; Entropy of formation; Growth process; Indirect calorimetry; Microorganisms

### 1. Introduction

The phenomenon of microbial growth can be regarded as a process whereby various substances in solution are reacted by cells acting as autocatalysts to form more cells and other products of the growth process. This phenomenon can be represented by an equation representing an initial and a final state, called a 'growth process equation'. An example of one such equation is that representing the aerobic growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on glucose [1]. 
$$\begin{split} C_6 H_{12} O_6(aq) &+ 0.302 N H_3(aq) + 4.050 O_2(aq) \\ &+ 0.023 H_2 P O_4^-(aq) + 0.006 S O_4^{2-}(aq) \\ &+ 0.042 K^+(aq) + 0.006 M g^{2+}(aq) \\ &+ 0.002 C a^{2+}(aq) + 0.023 O H^-(aq) \\ &\rightarrow 4.086 C O_2(aq) + 4.975 H_2 O(l) \\ &+ 1.914 C H_{1.613} O_{0.557} N_{0.158} P_{0.012} S_{0.003} K_{0.022} \\ &\times M g_{0.003} C a_{0.001}(biomass) \end{split}$$

In Eq. (1), the last term represents the cells (biomass) in terms of their 'unit carbon formula' (UCF) from which a 'unit carbon formula weight' (UCFW) can be calculated [1–3]. This latter is more commonly referred to in the European literature as a 'carbon

<sup>\*</sup>Fax: +1-516-632-7626; e-mail: battley@life.bio.sunysb.edu

<sup>0040-6031/99/\$ –</sup> see front matter 0 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-6031(98)00584-X

mol' (C mol) of cells, and this designation will be used here. It is essential that the UCF represents cells that have been grown exponentially and that do not contain storage substances [1-3]. These latter are internal substrates that are not a part of the fabric of the cells. Descriptions of how to construct growth-process equations can be found in Refs. [1-3].

Microbial growth processes, as represented by Eq. (1), are accompanied by changes in free energy  $(\Delta_{\rm p}G'_{\rm met})$ , enthalpy  $(\Delta_{\rm p}H'_{\rm met})$ , and entropy  $(T\Delta_{\rm p}S'_{\rm met})$ , where the prime indicates that the process for which the energy changes apply takes place in an aqueous environment and 'met' represents 'metabolism'. In studies of the thermodynamics of microbial growth, the problem is then how to calculate values for these changes in thermodynamic properties. Microbial growth takes place in an aqueous environment under conditions of temperature and pressure that can usually be considered constant, or can be made so experimentally. The thermodynamic changes accompanying growth can then be related by the Gibbs free energy equation,  $\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S$ , or some variation of this. For a microbial growth process this becomes

$$\Delta_{\rm p}G'_{\rm met} = \Delta_{\rm p}H'_{\rm met} - T\Delta_{\rm p}S'_{\rm met} \tag{2}$$

where the subscript 'p' represents that microbial growth is a process rather than a simple reaction, which is usually represented by the subscript 'r'.

# 1.1. Determining values for $\Delta_{\rm p} H'_{\rm met}$

A value for  $\Delta_p H'_{met}$  can be measured directly by growing the cells in a microcalorimeter, measuring the heat output, and correcting this for side reactions such as the heat of solution of  $O_2(g)$  from the gas phase to  $O_2(aq)$  in the culture medium, the evolution of  $CO_2(g)$ into the gas phase from the  $CO_2(aq)$  in the medium, the enthalpy change accompanying any change in pH, etc., all of which takes place as the culture grows and which can be measured chemically or physically. Or, the same value can be obtained with indirect calorimetry by using a growth process equation such as Eq. (1) and the following:

$$\Delta_{\rm p} H_{\rm met}' = \Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm prod}' - \Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm react}' \tag{3}$$

where  $\Delta_f H'_{prod}$  and  $\Delta_f H'_{react}$  represent the enthalpies of formation of the products and of the reactants, respectively. Values of  $\Delta_f H^{0'}$  for the various substances

represented in Eq. (1) can be found in Refs. [1–3] and in the literature, except for that of the cells,  $\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm cells}$ . Values for  $\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm biomass}$  can be calculated using an equation representing the combustion of the biomass (cells) in a bomb calorimeter, such as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{biomass} &+ n\text{O}_2(\text{g}) \rightarrow n\text{CO}_2(\text{g}) + n\text{N}_2(\text{g}) \\ &+ n\text{H}_2\text{O}(1) + n\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}(\text{cr}) + n\text{SO}_3(\text{g}) \\ &+ n\text{K}_2\text{O}(\text{cr}) + n\text{MgO}(\text{cr}) + n\text{CaO}(\text{cr}) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where n represents the appropriate coefficients for the respective substances participating in the combustion. For the biomass represented in Eq. (1), Eq. (4) becomes

$$\begin{split} & CH_{1.613}O_{0.557}N_{0.158}P_{0.012}S_{0.003}K_{0.022}Mg_{0.003} \\ & \times Ca_{0.001}(cells) + 1.151O_2(g) \rightarrow 1.000CO_2(g) \\ & + 0.806H_2O(1) + 0.079N_2(g) + 0.003P_4O_{10}(cr) \\ & + 0.003SO_3(g) + 0.011K_2O(cr) + 0.003MgO(cr) \\ & + 0.001CaO(cr) \end{split}$$

The enthalpy change for the combustion represented by Eq. (5) has been determined experimentally to be  $-19.44\pm0.17$  kJ (g of whole cells)<sup>-1</sup> or -509.37 kJ C mol<sup>-1</sup> [1]. A value for  $\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm cells}$  can then be calculated using Eq. (5) and the following:

$$\Delta_{\rm c} H_{\rm cells} = \Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm prod} - \Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm react} \tag{6}$$

In Eq. (6),  $\Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm prod}$  and  $\Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm react}$  represent the sums of the heats of formation of the products and of the reactants, respectively. Here,  $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm cells}$  is the only unknown quantity, the other quantities being available from the literature [1–3].

A slightly less accurate method of obtaining the heat of combustion of organic substances in general is to use Thornton's Rule [4], which states that  $\Delta_c H^0$  for most organic substances is directly proportional to the number of atoms of oxygen consumed during the combustion. Soon after its publication in 1917, the same idea was extended by others [5] to relate the heat of combustion of an organic substance to the number of electrons transferred to oxygen, as follows:

$$\Delta_{\rm c} H = {\rm constant} \ {\rm X} \ ({\rm eq. transferred to oxygen} \ {\rm during bomb calorimetric combustion}) \ (7)$$

Originally, the constant in Eq. (7) had a value of -108.99 kJ eq<sup>-1</sup>. A more recent review [6] of Thornton's Rule, using data from 488 organic substances of

all kinds, gave an average value of -111.40 kJ eq<sup>-1</sup> for this constant (as compared with -108.99 kJ eq<sup>-1</sup> from Ref. [5]), and a linear regression value of -110.88 kJ eq<sup>-1</sup>. The authors point out that there is a slight spread of the points around the lower end of the regression line, indicating that calculations making use of Eq. (4) would give values slightly in error as compared to the experimentally determined values. However, the higher the molecular weight of the substance, the closer the points approached the regression line, so that with substances such as biomass the value obtained with Eq. (4) becomes quite accurate. An average of the values  $(-111.40 \text{ kJ eq}^{-1} \text{ and}$  $-110.88 \text{ kJ eq}^{-1}$ ), giving  $-111.14 \text{ kJ eq}^{-1}$ , has been used as a practical value [7], this value being within one per cent of whatever the 'best' value happens to

$$\Delta_c H = -111.14 \text{ kJ eq}^{-1} X \text{ (eq. transferred to} oxygen during bomb calorimetric combustion) (8)$$

be. Using this value, Thornton's Rule becomes

Related to Thornton's Rule is the idea of the 'oxycaloric equivalent'  $\Delta_{c}H_{\Omega_{2}}$ ), where the subscript indicates that the value is obtained from bomb calorimetric combustion [8]. A close approximation to the generally accepted value for the oxycaloric equivalent can be obtained by multiplying the value of  $-111.14 \text{ kJ eq}^{-1}$  (above) by the four electrons required to reduce  $O_2(aq)$  to  $H_2O(l)$  to equal -444.56 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> of O<sub>2</sub>(g) consumed. A practical value for  $\Delta_c H_{O}$ , has been taken as  $-450 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  $O_2(g)$  [8]. On the other hand, from Eq. (5) 4.577 eq are transferred to  $O_2(g)$  [1] and, using Eq. (8),  $\Delta_c H_{cells}$  is calculated to be  $-508.69 \text{ kJ C mol}^{-1}$ , in excellent agreement with the experimentally determined value of -509.37 kJ C mol<sup>-1</sup> (see above) [1]. Either of these values can then be used in to calculate the heat of formation of the cells. Using Eq. (6) and the data in Eq. Eq. (5),  $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm cells}$  for S. cerevisiae has been determined at -133.13 kJ C mol<sup>-1</sup> [1]. Values for  $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm cells}$ obtained by Thornton's Rule apply to cells in the dry state. Living cells grown in an aqueous environment are hydrated. However, it has been shown [9] that the heat of hydration for yeast cells is less than 90 J  $g^{-1}$  of dried cells, or about 2.36 kJ C mol<sup>-1</sup> of yeast cells as represented in Eq. (1). This is ca. 0.46% of the heat of combustion of one C mol of these cells, and well

within the standard error of most combustion analyses. The value for  $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm cells}$  is thus equated with  $\Delta_{\rm f} H'_{\rm cells}$  when using Eq. (3).

# 1.2. Determining values for $\Delta_p G'_{met}$

Growth processes as represented in Eq. (1) are irreversible, at least in that microorganisms have never been observed to 'ungrow' themselves during the process of growth, although internal storage substances, if they are present, can be metabolized after growth has ceased. In addition, microbial cells precipitate out of the liquid phase during growth, and cannot be represented as the product of an equilibrium reaction or process. Cells do not go back reversibly into solution. For this reason microbial growth processes cannot be considered to involve an equilibrium, and no true thermodynamic equilibrium constant can be calculated. This makes it impossible to calculate  $\Delta_{\rm p}G_{\rm met}$  by means of the conventional equation  $\Delta G = -RT \ln K$ . It is then necessary to use equations, such as Eq. (1) and the following:

$$\Delta_{\rm p}G'_{\rm met} = \Sigma \Delta_{\rm f}G'_{\rm prod} - \Sigma \Delta_{\rm f}G'_{\rm react} \tag{9}$$

where  $\Delta_f G'_{\text{prod}}$  and  $\Delta_f G'_{\text{react}}$  represent the free energies of formation of the products and reactants, respectively. Values of  $\Delta_f G^{0'}$  for the various substances, except for biomass represented in Eq. (1) can be found in Refs. [1–3], calculated for a concentration of 0.001*m*. However,  $\Delta_f G_{\text{cells}}$ , unlike  $\Delta_f H_{\text{cells}}$ , cannot be determined calorimetrically. This is because  $\Delta_f G_{\text{cells}}$  represents non-thermal, chemical energy, and is therefore not a thermal quantity that can be measured directly.

An attempt has been made [10] to calculate values for the free energy of combustion of cells,  $\Delta_c G_{cells}$ , using Thornton's Rule. Data for 253 organic substances of all kinds gave an average value for  $\Delta_c G^0$ of  $-107.06 \text{ kJ eq}^{-1}$  and a linear regression value of  $108.74 \text{ kJ eq}^{-1}$ , which have been averaged at  $-107.90 \text{ kJ eq}^{-1}$  as a practical value [7]. Using the same techniques as described above for calculating  $\Delta_f H_{cells}$ , a value could be obtained for  $\Delta_f G_{cells}$  which could then be used in the following equation:

$$\Delta_{\rm f}G_{\rm cells} = \Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm cells} - T\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm cells} \tag{10}$$

In Eq. (10),  $\Delta_f S_{cells}$  represents the entropy of formation of the cells. However, when this was done in calculations of the entropy of one C mol of *Escherichia coli cells* [7], the resulting value was much too high as compared with that determined experimentally for *S. cerevisiae* [11]. It would be expected that cellular entropies would be reasonably close in value, despite minor differences with respect to cellular UCFs.

With respect to Eq. (10), methods of determining a value for  $\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm cells}$  have been described above. To calculate a value for  $\Delta_{\rm f}G_{\rm cells}$ , it is necessary to determine a value for  $T\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm cells}$ , with *T* being the same temperature as that used in determining  $\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm cells}$ . The value for *T* is often taken as being that of the standard temperature, 298.15 K, this being the temperature at which most thermodynamic properties are listed in the literature. It is the determination of values for  $\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm cells}$  that is the subject of this article.

# 1.3. Determining values of $\Delta_p S'$

Just as with  $\Delta_p G'$  and  $\Delta_p H'$ ,  $\Delta_p S'$  can be calculated from an equation of the following form:

$$\Delta_{\rm p} S' = \Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} S'_{\rm prod} - \Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} S'_{\rm react} \tag{11}$$

where  $\Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} S'_{\rm prod}$  and  $\Sigma \Delta_{\rm f} S'_{\rm react}$  represent the entropies of formation of the products and reactants, respectively. Values of  $\Delta_{\rm f} S^0$ / for all the substances except for the biomass represented in Eq. (1) can be found in the literature, or can be calculated from  $\Delta_{\rm f} G^{0\prime}$  and  $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{0\prime}$  data. However, as for any organic substance,  $\Delta_{\rm f} S_{\rm biomass}$  can be calculated from the following:

$$\Delta_{\rm f} S_{\rm biomass} = S_{\rm biomass} - \Sigma S_{\rm atoms}^0 \tag{12}$$

where  $\Delta_f S_{\text{biomass}}$  is the entropy of formation,  $S_{\text{biomass}}$ the absolute entropy, and  $\Sigma S_{\text{atoms}}^0$  the sum of the standard entropies of the individual atoms in an empirical formula for the biomass multiplied by their respective coefficients. The dimensions for  $\Delta_f S_{\text{biomass}}$ and  $S_{\text{biomass}}$  in Eq. (12) are J K<sup>-1</sup> C mol<sup>-1</sup> and for all the other terms are J K<sup>-1</sup> g atom<sup>-1</sup>. However, the summation of the entropies of all the atoms in the cellular fabric equals that for one C mol, and the dimensions of the last term in Eq. (12) are thus J K<sup>-1</sup> C mol<sup>-1</sup>. As biomass,  $\Delta_f S_{\text{cells}}$  does not have a superscript in that it is not a pure substance in a standard state. As an example, the entropy of formation of cells (biomass) in Eq. (1) can be calculated as follows [1]:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\rm f} S_{\rm cells} &= S_{\rm cells} - 5.74 n C_{\rm cells} - 65.34 n H_{\rm cells} \\ &- 102.57 n O_{\rm cells} - 95.81 n N_{\rm cells} - 41.09 n P_{\rm cells} \\ &- 31.80 n S_{\rm cells} - 64.18 n K_{\rm cells} - 32.68 n M g_{\rm cells} \\ &- 41.42 n C a_{\rm cells} \end{split}$$

where  $S_{cells}$  is the entropy of one C mol of lyophilized *S. cerevisiae* cells, and *n* represents the subscript for each atom in the formula for the cells in Eq. (1). The constants 65.34, 102.57, and 95.81 are one-half the standard entropies of H<sub>2</sub>(g), O<sub>2</sub>(g), and N<sub>2</sub>(g), respectively [12]. The other constants are the standard entropies of solid graphite, white phosphorous, rhombic sulfur, potassium, magnesium, and calcium [12]. For lyophilized *S. cerevisiae* cells, as represented in Eq. (1), the calculation is then as follows:

$$\Delta_{\rm f} S_{\rm cells} = S_{\rm cells} - 5.74(1) - 65.34(1.613) - 102.57(0.557) - 95.81(0.158) - 41.09(0.012) - 31.80(0.003) - 64.18(0.022) - 32.68(0.003) - 41.42(0.001) = S_{\rm cells} - 5.74 - 105.39 - 57.13 - 15.14 - 0.49 - 0.09 - 1.41 - 0.10 - 0.04 = S_{\rm cells} - 185.53 \,\mathrm{J}\,\mathrm{K}^{-1}\,\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$$
 (14)

It is necessary to find the value of either  $\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm cells}$  or  $S_{\rm cells}$ . Conventionally, a value for  $\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm cells}$  can only be calculated using Eq. (12). The remaining thermodynamic quantity that must be determined then becomes  $S_{\rm cells}$ .

Entropies of organic substances in the condensed phase have been determined almost exclusively by the application of the Third Law, but there is a paucity of experimental data with respect to substances of biological importance, and especially with respect to the monomers making up the polymers comprising cellular fabric. An experimental determination of cellular entropy has only been made recently for the first time [11]. It would be extremely convenient if some method other than low-temperature calorimetry could be devised for the purposes of estimating the entropy of biomass.

# 2. Methods

Statistical mechanics has been remarkably successful in enabling calculations to be made of the entropies of small atomic and molecular weight gases. An interesting attempt has been made to apply statistical methods to the calculation of the entropy of E. coli cells, but without appreciable success [13,14]. It is unlikely that this discipline can be applied to the estimation of the entropy of substances like biomass. Conventional wisdom states (and teaches) that, with ideal gases, the entropy is a function only of the kinetic activity, and is independent of the structures of gaseous atoms or molecules. On the other hand, real gases are not ideal gases, and at least with respect to the translational entropy of gases, the atomic or molecular weight does enter into its calculation, as shown by the following equation [15].

$$S_{\rm tr}^0 = 3/2R\ln M + 5/2R\ln T - 2.311 \tag{15}$$

where  $S_{tr}^0$  represents the molar translational entropy in the standard state in J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> and *M* the atomic or molecular weight of the gas. *R* is independent of the nature of the gas. Monatomic gases do not possess any rotational or vibrational energy, only electronic and translational energy. The noble gases are monatomic and are probably as close to being as ideal as possible. Thus, Eq. (15) gives the entropy of a monatomic gas,

except for possible electronic contributions. In case of the noble gases, the electronic partition function,  $Z_{el}$ , equals unity at 298.15 K, so that  $S_{el}=0$ , and the standard entropy is equal to the entropy of translation. The simplest possible example of the relationship of  $S^0$  to atomic weight is shown in Fig. 1, graph A, in which  $S^0$  is plotted against the atomic weights of the noble gases using the relationship,  $y=a+b \ln x$ . In graph B, the same plot is made against the atomic weights of three diatomic gases of biological importance. In both the graphs, there is an obvious correlation between the standard entropy and the atomic weight of the gases depicted. Such a relationship, even with gases, suggests that the entropies of the individual atoms in a biomass should be considered when looking for methods to calculate the entropy of biomass. The fabric of dried biomass has the physical qualities of a solid. Entropies of translation, and rotation can be expected to be minimal or non-existent, although entropies of vibration will certainly be present. But, as shown in Fig. 1, even in gases there seems to be some aspect of entropy that is associated with, or at least related to, or correlated with, the mass of the atoms of a substance.

As stated above, although standard entropy data are available for a large number of organic substances, very few of these are of the monomers or polymers comprising the fabric of cells. Of these few, only data





ATOMIC OR MOLECULAR WEIGHT (g atoms or mols)

#### E.H. Battley/Thermochimica Acta 326 (1999) 7-15

Table 1

Entropy data (in J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) relative to structural organic substances of biological interest in the solid state <sup>a</sup>

| 1   | 2   | 3      | 4                                      | 5                                      | 6                             | 7                           |
|---|---|--------|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Substance                                   | Formula   | M.W.   | $S^{0}$                                | $\Delta_{\mathbf{f}}S^{0}$             | $\Sigma S_{\text{atoms}}^{0}$ | $\Delta_{\rm f} S^0$        |
|   |   | (D)    | $(\mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{mol}^{-1})$ | $(\mathrm{JK}^{-1} \mathrm{mol}^{-1})$ | $(JK^{-1} mol^{-1})$          | $\Sigma S_{\text{atoms}}^0$ |
| Glycine                                     | $C_2H_5O_2N$  | 75.07  | 103.51                                 | -535.62                                | 639.13                        | -0.838                      |
| L-Alanine                                   | $C_3H_7O_2N$  | 89.09  | 129.21                                 | -646.34                                | 775.55                        | -0.833                      |
| L-Serine                                    | $C_3H_7O_3N$  | 105.09 | 149.16                                 | -728.96                                | 878.12                        | -0.830                      |
| L-Proline                                   | $C_5H_9O_2N$  | 115.13 | 164.06                                 | -753.65                                | 917.71                        | -0.821                      |
| L-Valine                                    | $C_5H_{11}O_2N$   | 117.15 | 178.87                                 | -869.52                                | 1048.39                       | -0.829                      |
| L-Leucine                                   | $C_6H_{13}O_2N$   | 131.17 | 211.79                                 | -973.02                                | 1184.81                       | -0.821                      |
| L-Isoleucine                                | $C_6H_{13}O_2N$   | 131.17 | 207.99                                 | -976.82                                | 1184.81                       | -0.824                      |
| Glycyl glycine                              | $C_4H_8O_3N_2$  | 132.12 | 180.30                                 | -864.71                                | 1045.01                       | -0.827                      |
| L-Aspartic acid                             | $C_4H_7O_4N$  | 133.10 | 170.12                                 | -816.31                                | 986.43                        | -0.827                      |
| Adenine                                     | $C_5H_5N_5$   | 135.13 | 151.01                                 | -683.44                                | 834.45                        | -0.819                      |
| L-Glutamine                                 | $C_5H_{10}O_3N_2$   | 146.15 | 195.06                                 | -986.37                                | 1181.43                       | -0.835                      |
| L-Glutamic acid                             | $C_5H_9O_4N$  | 147.13 | 188.20                                 | -934.65                                | 1122.85                       | -0.832                      |
| Methionine                                  | C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O <sub>2</sub> NS  | 149.21 | 231.46                                 | -848.73                                | 1080.19                       | -0.786                      |
| Guanine                                     | C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ON <sub>5</sub>   | 151.13 | 160.98                                 | -776.04                                | 937.02                        | -0.828                      |
| L-Phenylalanine                             | $C_9H_{11}O_2N$   | 165.19 | 213.64                                 | -857.71                                | 1071.35                       | -0.800                      |
| L-Tyrosine                                  | $C_9H_{11}O_3N$   | 181.19 | 214.01                                 | -959.91                                | 1173.92                       | -0.818                      |
| L-Cystine                                   | $C_6H_{12}O_4N_2S$  | 240.29 | 280.58                                 | 1171.68                                | 1452.26                       | -0.807                      |
| Hexadecanoic acid                           | $C_{16}H_{32}O_2$   | 256.43 | 452.37                                 | -1935.49                               | 2387.86                       | -0.811                      |
| Anhydrous. bovine zinc insulin <sup>b</sup> | $CH_{1.480}O_{0.295}N_{0.256}S_{0.024}Zn_{0.002}$   | 22.69  | 29.85                                  | -128.21                                | 158.07                        | -0.811                      |
| Hydrated bovine zinc insulin <sup>b</sup>   | $\begin{array}{l} CH_{1.480}O_{0.295}N_{0.256}S_{0.024} \\ \times \ Zn_{0.002}{\cdot}0.052H_2O \end{array}$   | 23.64  | 32.17                                  | -138.02                                | 170.19                        | -0.811                      |
| Anhydrous chymotrypsinogen A <sup>c</sup>   | CH <sub>1.612</sub> O <sub>0.318</sub> N <sub>0.282</sub> S <sub>0.011</sub>                                  | 23.04  | 31.10                                  | -139.96                                | 171.06                        | -0.818                      |
| Hydrated chymotrypsinogen A <sup>c</sup>    | $\begin{array}{l} CH_{1.612}O_{0.318}N_{0.282} \\ \times S_{0.011}{\cdot}0.153H_2O \end{array}$               | 25.80  | 39.24                                  | -167.54                                | 206.54                        | -0.811                      |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae <sup>d</sup>       | $\begin{array}{c} CH_{1.613}O_{0.557}N_{0.158}P_{0.012}S_{0.003}\\ K_{0.022}Mg_{0.003}Ca_{0.001} \end{array}$ | 26.20  | 34.17                                  | -151.36                                | 185.53                        | -0.816                      |

<sup>a</sup> All substances are in the crystalline state, except for *S. cerevisiae* which consists of lyophilized cells. All entropy data except for the cells have been taken from Ref. [16]. Except for adenine and guanine, which have been given a rating of 'B', the authors of Ref. [16] have given these substances an 'A' rating, meaning that the entropy was measured from within a range of 7 to 25 K, with extrapolation to 0 K using the Debye equation. The cellular data have been taken from Ref. [11].

<sup>b</sup> These unit carbon formulas were calculated from the empirical formulas,  $C_{508}H_{752}O_{150}N_{130}S_{12}Zn$  and  $C_{508}H_{752}O_{150}N_{130}S_{12}Zn \cdot 26.7H_2O$ , taken from Ref. [16].

<sup>c</sup> These unit carbon formulas were calculated from the empirical formula,  $C_{1077}H_{1736}O_{343}N_{304}S_{12}$  and  $C_{1077}H_{1736}O_{343}N_{304}S_{12}$  ·165H<sub>2</sub>O, taken from Ref. [16].

<sup>d</sup> This unit carbon formula was taken from Ref. [1].

in the 'A' category from Ref. [16], representing experimental determinations in which the lowest temperatures are in the 7–25 K range, have been used for inclusion in Table 1. A visual inspection of these data in columns 3 and 4 of Table 1 shows that, in general,  $S^0$  increases with molecular weight. Using the data for the numbers and kinds of atoms in the substances listed in Column 2 of Table 1, and the experimentally determined data for  $S^0$  in Column 4, the values of  $\Delta_f S^0$ for these substances are calculated using Eq. (12) and are listed in Column 5 of Table 1. The values for  $\Sigma S^0_{atoms}$  are taken from these calculations and are listed in Column 6. As shown in Column 7 of Table 1, the ratio  $\Delta_f S^0 / \Sigma S^0_{\text{atoms}}$  is surprisingly constant. These last data are graphed in Fig. 2 as a linear regression. In Fig. 2, the crystalline proteins and the yeast cells are all represented by C mol formulas, otherwise these points would be off the graph.

### 3. Results

Fig. 2 shows a straight line passing through the origin with a slope of -0.813, a value for *r* of -0.999,



Fig. 2. Graph of the data listed in Column 5 of Table 1 plotted against those in Column 6. The line is a linear regression using the sum of least-squares method and calculated according to the equation, y=a+bx, where a=0.385 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>, b=-0.813, r=-0.999, and  $r^2=0.998$ . The regression line effectively passes through the origin.

and for  $r^2$  of 0.998. Just as with values of  $\Delta_c H/e^-$  [6] (Thornton's Rule), there is more of a spread of points for the small molecular substances, but as the molecular weight becomes larger, the points lie more closely on the regression line. The points representing the crystalline proteins and the yeast cells are lowest on the regression line because of being represented by a UCF, and are *not* small molecular weight substances. Otherwise, these points would have been far off the graph. The regression line in Fig. 2 can be represented by the following equation:

$$\Delta_{\rm f} S^0 = -0.813 \Sigma S^0_{\rm atoms} \tag{16}$$

Values for  $\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm biomass}$  can be obtained from Eq. (16) and, subsequently, used in Eq. (10) to calculate values for  $\Delta_{\rm f}G_{\rm biomass}$ , provided that  $\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm biomass}$  is known. Values of  $\Delta_{\rm f}G_{\rm biomass}$ ,  $\Delta_{\rm f}H_{\rm biomass}$ , and  $\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm biomass}$  can

values for the other thermodynamic quantities to calculate values for  $\Delta_p G_{met}$ ,  $\Delta_p H_{met}$ , and  $T \Delta_p S_{met}$ . Values for  $S_{biomass}$  or  $S_{cells}$  are only needed for calculations using Eq. (12). On the other hand, a comparison of these with those obtained experimentally can serve as a check of either.  $S_{biomass}$  can be calculated using Eq. (16) expressed in terms of biomass and substitution in Eq. (12):

then be used, as in Eq. (1), together with appropriate

$$S_{\text{biomass}} = -0.813\Sigma S_{\text{atoms}}^{0} + \Sigma S_{\text{atoms}}^{0} = 0.187\Sigma S_{\text{atoms}}^{0}$$
(17)

As an example, for the dried *S. cerevisiae* cells in Column 6 of Table 1  $\Sigma S_{\text{atoms}}^0 = 185.55 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ C mol}^{-1}$ . Using Eq. (17), the calculated value of  $S_{\text{cells}} = 34.69 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ C mol}^{-1}$  compares well with the experimentally determined value of 34.17 J K<sup>-1</sup> C mol<sup>-1</sup>

Table 2 Accuracy of  $S^0$  as calculated with the ratio for  $\Delta_f S^0 / \Sigma S^0_{atoms}$  of 0.813

| Substance                                  | $S_{exp}^0$                  | $S_{\rm calc}^0$   | $(S_{\rm calc}^0/S_{\rm exp}^0) \times 100$ |  |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
|  | $(J\dot{K}^{-1} C mol^{-1})$ | $(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \operatorname{mol}^{-1})$ | per cent                                    |  |
| Anhydrous bovine zinc insulin <sup>a</sup> | 29.84                        | 29.56  | 99.06                                       |  |
| Hydrated bovine zinc insulin <sup>a</sup>  | 32.17                        | 31.82  | 98.91                                       |  |
| Anhydrous chymotrypsinogen A <sup>a</sup>  | 31.08                        | 31.99  | 102.93                                      |  |
| Hydrated chymotrypsinogen A <sup>a</sup>   | 39.21                        | 38.62  | 98.49                                       |  |
| Anhydrous S. cerevisiae cells a            | 34.17                        | 34.69  | 101.52                                      |  |
| Mean                                       |                              |  | 100.18±1.94 ( <i>n</i> =5)                  |  |

<sup>a</sup> The empirical formulas for these substances are given in Table 1.

[11]. Table 2 shows the accuracy of  $S_{\text{biomass}}$  as calculated using Eq. (17) compared to that of the experimentally determined values using the Third Law. The mean agreement between the calculated and the experimentally determined values is  $100.18\pm1.94\%$  (n=5).

### 4. Discussion

It is unfortunate that a larger quantity of critically measured data is not available on the entropy of dried cells and crystalline proteins using Third Law determinations. No entropy data at all seem to be available for highly purified nucleic acids, lipoproteins, lipopolysaccharides, or other biopolymers that form a part of the cellular fabric. Nevertheless, the data in Fig. 2 are highly suggestive that Eqs. (16) and (17) would be valid for biopolymers and cells in general.

In making comparisons of values for  $S_{\text{biomass}}$  as calculated using Eq. (17) with those obtained experimentally using the Third Law, it should be recognized that these latter are subject to experimental error.

If  $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm cells}$  is determined by the combustion calorimetry of dried cells or by the Thornton Rule, in order to use this value for the calculation of  $\Delta_{n}H'$  the assumption has to be made that the value for  $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm cells} \Delta$ is not significantly different from that of  $\Delta_{\rm f} H'_{\rm cells}$ , representing the hydrated cellular fabric as it is found in living cells. It was pointed out in Section 1.1 that this assumption was valid. The same assumption with respect to values for  $\Delta_{f}S_{cells}$  cannot be validated in the same manner as for  $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm cells}$  because of the complexities of carrying out Third Law measurements on wet cell material. It is not so much the entropy of hydration itself that is important as the effect it has on the total entropy change of a process. This can be illustrated by the synthesis of anhydrous and hydrated chymotrypsinogen A from glucose (as an arbitrarily chosen substrate), as represented by the following.

### 4.1. Anhydrous chymotrypsinogen A

$$\begin{array}{l} 0.175C_{6}H_{12}O_{6}(aq) + 0.282NH_{3}(aq) + 0.011SO_{4}^{2-}(aq) \\ \rightarrow CH_{1.612}O_{0.318}N_{0.282}S_{0.011}(\text{protein}) + 0.048CO_{2}(aq) \\ + 0.654H_{2}O(1) + 0.022OH^{-}(aq) \end{array} \tag{18}$$

4.2. Hydrated chymotrypsinogen A

$$\begin{split} 0.175 C_6 H_{12} O_6(aq) &+ 0.282 N H_3(aq) \\ &+ 0.011 S O_4^{2-}(aq) \rightarrow C H_{1.612} O_{0.318} N_{0.282} S_{0.011} \\ &\cdot 0.153 H_2 O(\text{protein}) + 0.048 C O_2(aq) \\ &+ 0.501 H_2 O(1) + 0.022 O H^-(aq) \end{split}$$

In calculating  $\Delta_{p}S$  for the processes represented by Eqs. (18) and (19), the cellular  $\Delta_{\rm f} \hat{S}^0$  values in J K<sup>-1</sup> C mol<sup>-1</sup> were taken from Table 1. The  $\Delta_f S^{0'}$ values for the other reactants and products were calculated from the appropriate values for  $\Delta_{\rm f} G^{0\prime}$ and  $\Delta_f H^{0'}$  in Table 1 of Ref. [1] using Eq. (10) in this text, and T=298.15 K. These  $\Delta_f S^{0'}$  values in  $J K^{-1} mol^{-1}$  are glucose(aq)=-1111.59, NH<sub>3</sub>(aq)= -122.79,  $SO_4^{2-}(aq) = -494.82$ ,  $CO_2(aq) = -35.79$ ,  $H_2O(1) = -163.17$ , and  $OH^-(aq) = -186.45$ . Using these data and the appropriate data from Table 1,  $\Delta_{\rm p}S$  values for the processes represented by Eqs. (18) and (19) are calculated to be -17.89 and  $-20.51 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ C}$  mol, respectively. The difference, which is only 2.62 J  $K^{-1}C$  mol<sup>-1</sup> more negative for the hydrated molecule, can be considered negligible when used in Eq. (2) and the same should apply to biomass in general. An additional reason for considering the effect of hydration to be negligible is that changes in entropy are usually expressed in J, whereas changes in free energy and enthalpy are usually expressed in kJ. The value of  $\Delta_{\rm f}S$  for hydrated, crystalline chymotrypsinogen A is 19.70% greater than that of the anhydrous molecule. Provided that the degree of hydration of cells is no greater than that of this molecule,  $\Delta_{f}S_{biomass}$  can be used in place of  $\Delta_{\rm f} S'_{\rm biomass}$  in calculations of  $\Delta_{\rm p} S'$  with minimal error. Most of the water within a cell serves as a fluid matrix, and not as water of hydration.

### 5. Conclusion

The equation,  $\Delta_{\rm f}S_{\rm biomass} = -0.813\Sigma S_{\rm atoms}^0$ , can be considered an empirical 'rule', much as Thornton's Rule, in that it enables a thermal quantity to be calculated without the necessity of making a direct thermal measurement. The equation  $S_{\rm biomass} =$  $-0.187\Sigma S_{\rm atoms}^0$  is equally a 'rule' in that it accomplishes the same thing. Entropy values calculated with this latter equation agree with those determined experimentally with thermal measurements by about  $\pm 2\%$ . The dimensionless constant, -0.813, is similar to the oxycaloric equivalent in that it is inexact, but useful. Values for  $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm biomass}$  can be obtained either by a relatively simple thermal measurement (compared to Third Law entropy determinations that require lowtemperature calorimetry) or by using Thornton's Rule. With this latter, and also the present 'rule' (law?), it becomes possible to calculate values for  $\Delta_{\rm f}G_{\rm biomass}$ ,  $\Delta_{\rm f} H_{\rm biomass}$ , and  $\Delta_{\rm f} S_{\rm biomass}$  at 298.15 K with an accuracy of at least  $\pm 2\%$  without the necessity of making any thermal measurements, provided that the empirical composition of the biomass is accurately known. With growth processes such as that represented by Eq. (1) it then becomes possible to calculate values for  $\Delta_p G'_{met}$ ,  $\Delta_p H'_{met}$ , and  $T \Delta_p S'_{met}$ , also without the necessity of making any direct thermal measurements.

# Acknowledgements

The author would like to acknowledge several stimulating discussions with Dr. Jonathan Stone on the general subject of entropy. These served as a prelude to what is presented here.

### References

- [1] E.H. Battley, Thermochim. Acta 309 (1998) 17.
- [2] E.H. Battley, Energetics of Microbial Growth, Wiley– Interscience, New York, 1987.

- [3] E.H. Battley, in: P. Gallagher (Ed.), Handbook of Thermal Analysis, vol.4, Life Sciences, R.B. Kemp, (Ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam (in press).
- [4] W.M. Thornton, Phil. Mag. 33 (1917) 196.
- [5] M.S. Karasch, B. Sher, J. Phys. Chem. 29 (1925).
- [6] S.A. Patel, L.E. Erickson, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 23 (1981) 2051.
- [7] E.H. Battley, Biotechnol. Bioeng. 41 (1993) 422.
- [8] E. Gnaiger, R.B. Kemp, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1016 (1990) 328.
- [9] U. von Stockar, L. Gustafsson, C. Larsson, I.W. Marrison, P. Tissot, E. Gnaiger, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1183 (1993) 221.
- [10] L.E. Erickson, S.A. Patel, CRC Crit. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 25 (1983) 2149.
- [11] E.H. Battley, R.L. Putnam, J. Boerio-Goates, Thermochim. Acta 298 (1997) 37.
- [12] R.C. Weast, M.J. Astle (Eds.), CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics; Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties, from D.D. Wagman, V.B. Parker, R.H. Schumm, S.M. Bailey, I. Halow, K.L. Churney, R.L. Nuttall, National Bureau of Standards Technical Notes 270-3, 270-4, 270-5,270-6, 270-7, and 270.8, CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, 1983.
- [13] H.J. Morowitz, Cells: living; molecular physics., in: O. Glasser (Ed.), Medical Physics, vol. III, The Yearbook Publishers, Chicago, 1960, pp. 111–113.
- [14] H.J. Morowitz, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 40 (1960) 340.
- [15] S. Glasstone, D. Lewis, Elements of Physical Chemistry, Macmillan and Co., Ltd., London, 1960, pp. 337.
- [16] E.S. Domalski, W.H. Evans, E.D. Hearing, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 13 (1984) Supplement 1.
- [17] S. Glasstone, D. Lewis, Elements of Physical Chemistry, Macmillan and Co., Ltd., London, 1960, pp. 336.