

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Thermochimica Acta 403 (2003) 267–273

thermochimica acta

www.elsevier.com/locate/tca

# Specific heat capacity and Debye temperature of zirconia and its solid solution

C. Degueldre  $a^*, P$ . Tissot  $b^$ , H. Lartigue  $b^$ , M. Pouchon  $a^$ 

<sup>a</sup> *NES, Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen-PSI, Switzerland* <sup>b</sup> *CHIAM, Science II, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland*

Received 23 August 2002; received in revised form 19 December 2002; accepted 17 January 2003

### **Abstract**

Specific heat *C*<sup>P</sup> of zirconia and yttria stabilized zirconia doped or not with erbia and ceria was measured from 128 to 823 K and of yttria stabilized zirconia doped with erbia and plutonia from 443 to 1573 K. The new determined data were modelled using Debye theory. Data for the tetravalent oxide and for the studied solid solutions show that the extended Dulong and Petit law in Neumann–Kopp rule is verified for zirconia and the quaternary compounds. The Debye temperature of zirconia (590 K) and its yttria, erbia and ceria doped solid solutions (575–625 K) derived from these *C*<sup>P</sup> measurements between 150 and 823 K is discussed and compared with that reported for other tetravalent metal oxides. © 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Zirconia; Differential scanning calorimetry; Heat capacity; Debye temperature; Nuclear fuel

## **1. Introduction**

Among the properties studied in the research and development programs concerning yttria stabilised zirconia, e.g.  $Y_{0.2}Zr_{0.8}O_{1.9}$  and its application as advanced inert matrix fuels, e.g.  $Er<sub>0.048</sub>Y<sub>0.138</sub>$  $Pu<sub>0.086</sub>Zr<sub>0.728</sub>O<sub>1.907</sub>$ , the study of specific heat is of safety relevance interest. Specific heat capacity has been the subject of theoretical and experimental studies for classical nuclear fuels and for new fuels implying dissolution of fissile oxides in solid solution materials as it is for a zirconia based inert matrix fuel. Such a fuel could be used to eliminate excess plutonium while produci[ng](#page-5-0) [e](#page-5-0)nergy [1].

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +41-56-310-4176; fax: +41-56-310-2203.

Determination of molar heat capacity  $(C_P^M)$  is required on one side to calculate the thermal conductivity from the thermal diffusivity of th[e](#page-5-0) [ma](#page-5-0)terial [2] and to calculate the enthalpy of the fuel. Thermal conductivity is a safety relevant parameter, which allows fuel temperature calculation in normal reactor operation conditions. Knowledge of  $C_P^M$  is also necessary to predict fuel behaviour in reactor transients. Large  $C_{\rm P}^{\rm M}$ values imply significant amounts of stored energy, and potential temperature increase for low thermal conductivit[y](#page-5-0) [ma](#page-5-0)terial [3].

Calculations of  $C_P^M$  may be performed applying [Ein](#page-5-0)stein [4] [or](#page-5-0) [D](#page-5-0)ebye [5] model. The later is however more complete to describe capacity change over a large temperature range. This later model allows also determination of a material specific parameter: the Debye temperature  $T<sub>D</sub>$ . Debye temperature is an important parameter that appears in the temperature dependent factor of the thermal co[nduc](#page-5-0)tivity [6].

0040-6031/03/\$ – see front matter © 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S0040-6031(03)00060-1

*E-mail address:* claude.degueldre@psi.ch (C. Degueldre).

This paper revisits the theory of specific heat of un-doped  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$  and doped (i.e.  $Y<sub>0.2</sub>Zr<sub>0.8</sub>O<sub>1.9</sub>$  and  $\text{Er}_{0.05}\text{Y}_{0.10}\text{Ce}_{0.10}\text{Zr}_{0.75}\text{O}_{1.925}$  zirconia that can be utilized as an analogous of an advanced inert matrix fuel of formula  $Er_{0.048}Y_{0.138}Pu_{0.086}Zr_{0.728}O_{1.907}$ [1]. Comparison with  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  and with other tetravalent oxides is made in the discussion.

# **2. Theory**

The molar heat capacity is derived from the energy of 1 mol of substance:  $U^M = 3nN_AkT$  (J mol<sup>-1</sup>) where  $n$  is the number of atom per molecule,  $N_A$  the Avogadro constant and *k* the Boltzmann constant. When energy is absorbed, if temperature changes, the specific heat at constant volume may be defined as follow:  $C_{\text{V}}^{\text{M}} = (\delta U^{\text{M}} / \delta T)_{\text{V}}$  (J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>). Combining these equations yields to the Neumann–[Kopp](#page-5-0) rule [7]:

$$
C_V^{\rm M} = 3nN_A k \tag{1}
$$

or for elementary material  $(n = 1)$  leads to also a temperature independent value  $C_V^M = 3nN_Ak$  known as Dulong and [Petit](#page-5-0) law [8]. In a triatomic lattice like MO<sub>2</sub>, this gives  $C_V^M = 9nN_Ak$  with a specific heat capacity of  $74.7 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . This rule may be extended to 1 mol of a solid solution:  $M_{1\ell_1}M_{2\ell_2}...$  $M_{i\xi i}$  ...  $M_{j\xi j}$  ...  $M_{z\xi z}O_{(2-1/2\Sigma \xi i+1/2\Sigma \xi j)}$ , with  $\xi$  the stoechiometric coefficients,  $\Sigma \xi = 1$  and  $M_z$ (IV),  $M_i(III)$  and  $M_i(V)$ . However, as a consequence of Neumann–Kopp law, the comparable  $C_V^M$  values are given by:  $C_{\rm V}^{\rm M*} = 3C_{\rm P}^{\rm M}(2 - 1/2\Sigma \xi i + 1/2\Sigma \xi j)^{-1}$ .

The simple model of harmonic oscillator is unable to explain the specific heat at low temperature. However, Debye model based on quantum theories of lattice properties may be used. A Debye temperature  $T_D$ is defined above which all modes begin to be excited, and below which modes begin to be "frozen out".  $C_V^M$ may be written as a function of  $T$  and  $T_D$  as follow:

$$
C_{\rm V}^{\rm M} = 9Nk \left(\frac{T}{T_{\rm D}}\right)^3 \int_0^{T_{\rm D}/T} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} \,\mathrm{d}x \tag{2}
$$

with  $N = nN_A$ . Experimental determination of the isocore molar heat capacity is experimentally less easy than the isobar values. The later is defined as  $C_P^M = (\delta H^M / \delta T)_P$ , where  $H^M$  is the molar enthalpy given by  $H^{\text{M}} = U^{\text{M}} + PV^{\text{M}}$ . The difference between  $C_{\text{P}}^{\text{M}}$ 

and  $C_V^M$  can be derived from the basic thermodynamic relationship:  $C_{\rm P}^{\rm M} = C_{\rm V}^{\rm M} + V^{\rm M}(\alpha^2/\gamma) T$ , where  $C_{\rm V}^{\rm M}$  is calculated by Eq. (2),  $\alpha = (\delta V/\delta T)P/V$  is the isobar coefficient of linear thermal expansion (K<sup>-1</sup>), and  $\gamma =$  $-(\delta V/\delta P)$ <sub>T</sub>/*V* isothermal compressibility (Pa<sup>-1</sup>) and  $V^M$  the molar volume of the substance  $(m^3 \text{ mol}^{-1})$ .

At higher temperature, additional increases of  $C_P^M$ result from lattice defects, electronic excitations and an harmonicity. Specific heat increases together with the increases of lattice defects which concentration increases at high temperature (between  $T_D$  and  $T_M$ , with  $T_M$  the melting temperature). This is observed for  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  as well as for  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$ . This anomaly has been discussed [widely](#page-5-0), e.g. [9], however these authors conclude that further efforts are required to understand this behaviour.

The isobaric molar specific heat may obtained combining Eq. (2) and the term  $V^M(\alpha^2/\gamma)T$ . A  $C_P^{M*}$  may also be defined for comparison with the  $C_P^M$  of MO<sub>2</sub>.

## **3. Experimental**

## *3.1. Material production and characterization*

The preparation of all zirconia based material was carried out at PSI by the wet route because it yields a large materi[al](#page-5-0) [den](#page-5-0)sity  $[10]$ . All solutions were prepared by mixing nitrate solution of each element (Zr, Y, Er, and Ce) composing the final product. The starting compounds, zirconyl nitrate (purum, Fluka<sup>TM</sup>), erbium and vttrium nitrate (puriss, Fluka<sup>TM</sup>), and diammonium cerium hexanitrate were diluted separately in (Milli  $Q^{TM}$ ) ultrapure water and mixed together to result in a solution with the required concentrations co-precipitation was carried out by adding ammonia under strong stirring. The precipitate was filtered on paper filter and washed 10 times with ultrapure water. The filtered phase was contacted with alcohol and milled utilizing a Retch unit with zirconia container and bowls. The wet powder was then dried for 24 h at 420 K. The dried powder was then manually desegregated prior calcination for 5 h at 900 K. The calcinated powder was milled again utilizing the Retch unit with zirconia vessel. The final powder was then pressed with 700 MPa during 1 min, two different brands of pellets were produced with 5 mm in diameter. Pelletized samples were then sintered in an oven with a temperature program including a first step at 1475 K for 4 h followed by a final step of 48 h at 1875 K. For the plutonia doped sample the preparation was performed by applying a dry route using an attrition-milling unit adapted to the zirconia material [11] starting with the powder of the oxide constituents.

The sintered pellets were cut to dimension of 1 mm thickness and 5 mm diameter with masses of the order of  $110\pm0.05$  mg. The cutting was performed by a diamond saw. These disks were used to measure the heat capacity of the different compositions. The experimental sample density  $(\rho)$  of the disks used was determined by measuring the sizes (diameter and thickness) and weight utilizing a Mettler balance (AG204). The theoretical density ( $\rho_0$  about 6 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) was obtained from lattice parameter (*a*) gained by XRD (Phillips diffractometer PW1710, precision on  $a \pm 0.001$  Å) measurements carried out on crushed materials. The relative densities ( $\rho_{\infty} \rho_0^{-1}$ ) of the samples vary from about 0.85 to 0.95. For the plutonia doped sample the characterisation was also performe[d](#page-5-0) [by](#page-5-0) [X](#page-5-0)RD [12] confirming the quality of the material.

# *3.2. Calorimetry*

At the University of Geneva, a Seiko 220 DSC unit was used for differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements. The output signal is recorded as a raw data set. It must be evaluated using a separate computer unit with the calculation method. Sapphire was used for calibration. It was measured to control the reliability of the equipment. The parameters used for the DSC measurements were the following.



All measurements were carried out from 128 to 823  $(\pm 0.5)$  K using nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>: 99.995%, 1 bar) as gas flow and liquid nitrogen as a coolant for the low temperature. The samples were putted into an alumi[nium](#page-3-0) pot with cover. The sample containing pot-cover unit was tighten by a manual press to assure a good thermal contact of the unit. The prepared samples were positioned manually on the sample holder. A reference sapphire sample with similar dimensions like the other pellets and the specific heat capacity was also in the same range as the zirconia samples. In these conditions the precision on the C<sub>P</sub> values is better than 5%. For the plutonia doped sample, the measurements were performed in Ar using a Netzsch STA 409 C DSC unit up to 1573 K. A sapphire disc was also used as a reference. The C<sub>P</sub> measurements were carried out under contract at the Institute for Transuranium elements, Karlsruhe.

#### **4. Results and discussion**

Thermodynamic measurements from the pellet samples were converted from heat capacities in molar heat capacities. For the samples doped with yttria, erbia and ceria, calculations from experimental values require hypothesis on the behaviour of the dopants in the solid solution. The solution is supposed to be ideal, consequently:  $(\delta H^M / \delta x_i)_T = 0$  and  $C_P^M = \sum x_i C_P^M i$ .

An average molecular weight of mixed phase (solid solution) (*M*) may also be defined by  $M =$  $\sum x_i M_i$  where *M* is the average molecular mass,  $x_i$  the fraction of *i* for 1 mol of solid solution and  $M_i$  the molecular mass of the component *i*. For the studied compounds, it is respectively: 123.2 for  $ZrO_2$ , 121.2 for  $Y_{0.20}Zr_{0.80}O_{1.9}$ , 130.5 for  $Er_{0.05}Y_{0.10}Ce_{0.10}Zr_{0.75}O_{1.925}$  and 137.8 for  $Er_{0.048}Y_{0.138}Pu_{0.086}Zr_{0.728}O_{1.907}.$ 

The molar heat capacities of zirconia, yttria stabilized zirconia and the latter doped with erbia and ceria or plutonia are reported as a function of temp[erature](#page-3-0) in Fig. 1. They are compared with data extracted from th[e](#page-5-0) [literature](#page-5-0) [13–20] and corrected from the stoechiometry  $(n = 3)$ . It must be noted that the measured capacities are  $C_P$  values and that the modelled values are  $C_V$  data. The correction factor is esti[mated](#page-3-0) [in](#page-3-0) Table 1. Clearly the correction is small  $(<$ 0.5 JK<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> for temperature ranging from 150 to 820 K extended to 1400 K for the plutonia doped sample) and does not affect significantly the accuracy of the data, which range from 40 to  $80$  J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. Fig. 1 shows that all samples reach a plateau with a specific heat capacity at about the theoretical value of  $74.7 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$  (for  $n = 3$ ). These solid solutions could be in a first approximation considered as ideal solutions since  $\delta C_{\rm P}$  values between experimental and

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Molar heat capacities of zirconia and, corrected for dioxide stoechiometry, yttria stabilized zirconia doped with erbia and ceria or plutonia as a function of temperature.

theoretical data are rather small, while distortions in the lattice of the zirconia solid solutions are identified [21]. However, it must be noted that there are slight differences between the curves. That relative for example to the sample  $Y_{0.2}Zr_{0.8}O_{1.9}$  presents a slight increase above the Debye plateau that could be ascribable to lattice defects for this sample or that studied by Lecl[ercq](#page-6-0) [e](#page-6-0)t al. [20]. In this case a correction by  $\Delta C_{\rm P}^{\rm M} = (\zeta E_{\rm D}/RT^2) e^{(-E_{\rm D}/RT)}$  (with  $E_{\rm D}$  the activation energy of the defects and  $\zeta$  their fraction) suggested see [9] may be applied to model the increase of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{M}}$  [on](#page-4-0) the Debye plateau. However, tests with this equation could not yield any reasonable values of *E*D.

The temperature dependence of the molar heat capacity of the zirconia sample was normalized for a theoretical plateau value of a triatomic  $(n = 3)$  molecula[r](#page-4-0) [materi](#page-4-0)al. Fig. 2 shows the plot of the normalized molar heat capacity of monoclinic zirconia with the absolute temperature normalized with zirconia Debye temperature. In the experimental temperature range of 150–800 K, the best fit for zirconia was obtained for  $T_D = 590 \pm 10$  K. Similar plots may be obtained for the doped zirconia samples.

Table 2 presents Debye temperatures obtained so far for similar samples but determined by neutron diffrac[tion](#page-6-0)  $[25,26]$ . It is noted that the Debye temperature

Table 1 Relevant macroscopic physical properties used for the correction of  $C_P$  in  $C_V$ 

Material $(MO2)$	$\alpha$ (10 <sup>6</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$\gamma$ (10 <sup>12</sup> Pa <sup>-1</sup> )	$V_{\rm M}$ (10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$V_{\rm M}$ $(\alpha^2 \gamma^{-1}) T$ (J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	$8.8 - 10.1a$	$5.6^{\circ}$	21.8	0.35
UO <sub>2</sub>	$9.4 - 12.3^a$	4.8	24.6	0.62

<sup>a</sup> At 1000 [K,](#page-6-0) [data](#page-6-0) [fr](#page-6-0)om [23,24].

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 2. Comparison of experimental and theoretical molar heat capacity values of ZrO<sub>2</sub> ( $n = 3$ ) normalized by its theoretical values vs. the temperature normalized for  $T_D = 590$  K.

values reported by these authors are different from the data determined in this work. Our data are, however, in between the data reported by these authors. The temperature ranges for which  $T_D$  values are determined are reported. It should however be noted that Debye temperatures are depending on the model conditions used, and that authors currently report temperatures ranging from 400 to 900 K.

Debye temperature results for zirconia may be compared for others tetravalent oxide [materials](#page-5-0). Table 3 presents a comparison of  $T<sub>D</sub>$  values for several com-

pounds from a same type, e.g.  $MO<sub>2</sub>$ . The comparison may be complemented by using the relation between Debye temperature  $T_D$  and the melting temperature  $T_M$  suggested by Li[ndenm](#page-6-0)ann  $[22]$ :

$$
T_{\rm D} = \frac{c \, T_{\rm M}^{1/2}}{M^{1/2} V_{\rm M}^{1/2}} \tag{3}
$$

The *c* values, calculated for  $T_D$ ,  $T_M$ ,  $M$  and  $V_M$ reported in the literature, are [given](#page-5-0) [in](#page-5-0) Table 3 for comparison. The  $c$  value for  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  (which exists under





Conditions:  $T_i - T_f$  studied temperature range.

Material	$T_M$ (K)	$V_{\rm M}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	M (g mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$T_D$ (K)	c (K <sup>1/2</sup> g <sup>1/2</sup> cm <sup>3/2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )
TiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>	2383	18.7	79.9	943	746
ZrO <sub>2</sub> b	2988	21.8	123.2	590	557
$Y_{0.2}Zr_{0.8}O_{1.9}$ <sup>c</sup>	3075	21.6	121.2	575	531
CeO <sub>2</sub> <sup>c</sup>	3003	24.1	172.1	410	481
$ThO2$ <sup>c</sup>	3573	26.8	264.0	393	553
$UO_2^c$	3120	24.6	270.0	280	415

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 3 Relevant thermodynamic properties used for testing Lindenmann equation

[Data](#page-6-0) [from](#page-6-0) [21,27,28].

<sup>a</sup> Tetragonal.

**b** Monocline.

<sup>c</sup> Cubic.

several allotropic forms) is relatively larger than the other, however the  $T_D$  value estimated for an average  $c$ values (e.g.  $550 \text{ K}^{0.5} \text{ g}^{0.5} \text{ cm}^{1.5} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ ) would be about 650 K. The  $T<sub>D</sub>$  decrease with increase of atomic number of the tetravalent cation is consequent of its increases of size. Since the  $T<sub>D</sub>$  value for UO<sub>2</sub> is smaller than for  $ZrO_2$ , the  $C_P^M$  values reach faster the Debye plateau for  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  than for  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$ .

## **5. Concluding remarks**

Progress in the understanding of basic thermodynamic properties of zirconia and zirconia based solid solutions has been achieved.  $C_{\rm P}^{\rm M}$  values of zirconia and its solid solutions with yttria, erbia and ceria or plutonia as dopants were measured from 128 to 1500 K. They follow the Debye model and the plateaus reach Dulong and Petit law extended in Neumann–Kopp rule for poly-atomic compounds and tested in this work for solid solution materials. These solid solutions may be in a first approximation considered as ideal solutions. Debye temperature  $T<sub>D</sub>$  of the studied samples (575–625 K) are compared with other tetravalent oxides.  $T_D$  decreases with the atomic mass of the cations. These data can be used to quantify the behaviour of the materials as an advanced inert matrix fuel in nuclear reactors.

### **Acknowledgements**

These tests were performed in the frame of the AFC program at the Paul Scherrer Institute led by Dr. Ch.

Helwig who is acknowledged for his interest in this work. A plutonia doped sample produced at the Paul Scherrer Institute was measured under order No.: E 558359 at the Institute for Transuranium Elements, Karlsruhe, where Drs. C. Ronchi and M. Sheindlin are thanked for their collaborative work.

#### **References**

- [1] C. Degueldre, U. Kasemeier, F. Botta, G. Ledergerber, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 412 (1995) 15.
- [2] W. Parker, R. Jenkins, C. Butler, G. Abott, J. Appl. Phys. 32 (1961) 1679.
- [3] D.R. Olander, Fundamental Aspects of Nuclear Reactor Fuel Elements, Report No. TID-26711-P1, Technical Information Center, Office of Public Affairs, Energy Research and Development Administration, Oak Ridge, [TN,](http://plutonium-erl.actx.edu/fanrfe.html) USA, http:// [plutonium-erl.actx.ed](http://plutonium-erl.actx.edu/fanrfe.html)u/fanrfe.html, 1976.
- [4] A. Einstein, Ann. Phys. 34 (1911) 170, and errata 590.
- [5] P. Debye, Ann. Phys. 39 (1912) 789.
- [6] R. Gibby, J. Nucl. Mater. 38 (1971) 163.
- [7] F.E. Neumann, Ann. Phys. 99 (1831) 9;
- H. Kopp, Ann. Chem. Pharm. Suppl. 3 (1864) 289.
- [8] P. Dulong, A.T. Petit, Ann. Chim. Phys. 10 (1819) 395.
- [9] K. Ranta-Puska, Specific Heat of UO<sub>2</sub> and MOX Fuel: A Literature Review, OECD Halden Reactor Project, Paris, 1998.
- [10] Y.W. Lee, H.S. Kim, S.H. Kim, C.Y. Joung, S.H. Na, G. Ledergerber, P. Heimgartner, M. Pouchon, M. Burghartz, J. Nucl. Mater. 274 (1999) 7.
- [11] G. Ledegerber, C. Degueldre, P. Heimgartner, M. Pouchon, U. Kasemeyer, Progr. Nucl. Energy 38 (2001) 301.
- [12] M. Burghartz, G. Ledegerber, F. Ingold, P. Heimgartner, C. Degueldre, Prog. Nucl. Energy 38 (2001) 247.
- [13] S. Tatsuya, T. Atake, T. Mori, H. Yamamura, Solid State Ionics 79 (1995) 143.
- [14] M.V. Nevitt, Y. Fang, S.-K. Chan, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 73 (1990) 2502.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[15] T. Shirakami, T. Tojo, T. Atake, T. Mori, H. Yamamura, Thermochim. Acta 267 (1995) 415.
- [16] T. Tojo, T. Atake, T. Shirakami, T. Mori, H. Yamamura, Solid State Ionics 86–88 (1996) 89.
- [17] T. Tojo, T. Atake, T. Mori, H. Yamamura, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 31 (1999) 831.
- [18] T. Tojo, T. Atake, T. Mori, H. Yamamura, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 57 (1999) 447.
- [19] S. Raghavan, H. Wang, R.B. Dinwidie, W.D. Porter, M.J. Mayo, Scr. Mater. 39 (1998) 1119.
- [20] B. Leclercq, R. Mévrel, V. Liedtke, W. Hohenauer, in: Proceedings of the Second Meeting on Space and

Aerospace Materials Technology, AMTT, Seibersdorf, 4 November 2002.

- [21] P. Villella, S.D. Conradson, F.J. Espinosa-Faller, S.R. Foltyn, K.E. Sickafus, J.A. Valdez, C.A. Degueldre, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 104101.
- [22] F.A. Lindenmann, Phys. Z 11 (1910) 609.
- [23] M. Iridi, PhD Thesis, Uni. Fourier, Grenoble, France.
- [24] Handbook of CRC Materials Science and Engineering, p. 511.
- [25] D.N. Argyriou, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 27 (1994) 155.
- [26] E. Kisi, M. Yuxiang, J. Phys. 10 (1998) 3823.
- [27] [http://www](http://www.oxmat.co.uk).oxmat.co.uk.
- [28] [http://www](http://www.insc.anl.gov).insc.anl.gov.