A THERMODYNAMIC STUDY OF THE PROTONATION OF SOME SULPHIDE-CONTAINING PYRIDINES AND AMINO-PYRIDINES IN AQUEOUS SOLUTION

J. SCHATJRROECK, C.T. HUYS, A.M. GOEMINNE * and Z. EECKHAUT

Laboratory for General and Inorganic Chemistry B, University of Gent, 9000 Gent (Belgium)

(Recs:ived 22 April 1981)

ABSTRACT

The protonation properties of pyridines with general formula

$$
\bigodot_{N \atop K \subset H_2} \sum_{y} S - R
$$

 $(R = CH_3, C_2H_5, CH_2CH_2OH; x = 1,2)$ and

$$
\bigodot_{N}\bigodot_{(CH_{2})_{X}-S-(CH_{2})_{y}-NH_{2}}
$$

 $(x, y = 1,2; 1,3; 2,2; 2,3)$ have been investigated by potentiometry and calorimetry at 25° C in 0.5 mole dm⁻³ K $\{NO_3\}$ solution. The protonation constants and the correspond**ing enthaipy and entropy changes are reported. The obtained values for the thermodynamic functions are discussed.**

INTRODUCTION

As part of an investigation [l-4] on equilibria in aqueous solution of sulphide-containing amines and pyridines, we have now undertaken a thermo**dynamic study of a series of pyridines with general formula**

 \bigcirc _N \bigcirc _{(CH₂)_x-S-R} $(R = CH_3, C_2H_5, CH_2CH_2OH; x = 1,2)$ and

(CH₂) - S-(CH₂) - NH₂

 $(x, y = 1,2; 1,3; 2,2; 2,3)$. The protonation constants of the ligands have been determined at 25° C in 0.5 mole dm⁻³ K{NO₃}. The corresponding

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

enthalpies of reaction have been determined calorimetrically under the same conditions. From these values the corresponding entropy changes have been calculated.

It was the aim of this investigation to gain a better insight into the influence of an additional CH₂ group inserted between the electron-withdrawing sul**phur atom and the nitrogen atom to be protonated.**

EWERIMENTAL

Abbreviations

The abbreviation for naming the ligands contains first the number(s) of carbon atoms between the donor groups, and then consecutively the donor group(s) present in the aliphatic chain. The IUPAC names, formulae and abbreviations are listed in Table 1.

Reagents

Pyridines of the type $2\text{-}N_{\text{p}}S(R)$ were prepared according to Kahmann et **al. [51.** An **appropriate amount of the corresponding mercaptan was added dropwise to 2-(chloromethyl)pyridine hydrochloride (Aldrich Chemicals) dissolved in an alcoholic solution of potassium hydroxide. After filtering the precipitated KC1 and evaporating the alcohol on a rotavapor the remaining oil was distilled in vacua. The residue was refractionated and only the middle fraction was taken.**

Preparations of the pyridines $3\text{-}N_{\text{p}}S(R)$ were carried out by the same pro**cedure but 2-mercaptoethylpyridine was added to the corresponding alkylbromide.**

The molecular weights of these ligands were determined by means of a potentiometric titration of an acidified pyridine solution with standardized potassium hydroxide. Equivalence point calculations were performed using the method of Briggs and Stuehr [61.

The syntheses of $2,\!2\text{-}N_{\text{n}}\text{SN}$ and $2,\!3\text{-}N_{\text{n}}\text{SN}$ are also similar: to an alcoholic solution of $HS-(CH_2)_m$ ⁻NH₂ and NaOH, an equivalent amount of 2-picolyl**chloride hydrochloride was added. After refluxing for 2 h and filtering off precipitated NaCl, the final product was purified by fractional distillation in vacua. The same procedure was followed for the synthesis of 3,2-N,SN but** this time 2-vinylpyridine (Fluka) was used as a starting product together **with cysteamine. 3,3-N,SN was obtained by adding 3-bromopropylamine hydrobromide (Aldrich Chemicals) to an alcoholic solution of KOH and 2- (2-mercaptoethyl)pyridine. More specifications about the synthesis of the bases n** *,m-N,SN* **will be reported in a subsequent publication [71.**

The molecular weights of the synthesized amino-pyridines were determined by titration with standardized HNO₃.

Solutions

Solutions of the different pyridines for the potentiometric and calorimetric study were prepared with CO₂-free twice distilled water and under a flow of

a N, stands for the aromatic pyridine nitrogen.

b General abbreviation: *n*-N_pS(R)

^c General abbreviation: *n*,*m-*N_pSN

nitrogen. Their concentrations were determined by potentiometric titiations with standardized nitric acid using Gran plots [8] and the calculation method of Briggs and Stuehr [6].

The potassium hydroxide solution was prepared from Titrisol ampoules (Merck) and the absence of CO, was checked weekly by Gran titrations. All solutions were made up to an ionic strength of $0.5 M K \{NO₃\}$.

Potentiometric titrations

EMF readings were made on a radiometer digital potentiometer PHM 64 in combination with an Ingold HA 201 glass electrode, an Ingold 303-NS

calomel electrode (3 M KCl) and an Ingold 303-95 salt bridge containing 0.50 M KNO₃. Before and after each titration the electrode system was calibrated with an acid-base titration. The standard potential E_0 was deter**mined from experimental EMF values using Gran's method [8]_** *The* **obtained** value for the ionic product of water ($pK_w = 13.72$) is in good agreement with **other literature values [9,10]_ A purified nitrogen atmosphere was maintained in the titration vessel during titrations and all measurements were carried out at 25 + 0.05"C. Experimental details for the potentiometric titration of the different pyridines are given in Table 2.**

Calorimetric titrations

The calorimetric measurements were carried out with an LKB 8700/2 titration calorimeter, thermostatted at $25 \pm 0.001^{\circ}$ C. The titrant was added **stepwise with an automatic piston burette (Tacussel Electrobump) equipped** with a preselection unit. The solutions were kept at ionic strength $I = 0.5$ M by the addition of KNO₃. The heat Q generated at each titration step was determined according to the method described by Wadsö [11]. Experimental **data are listed in Table 3.**

TABLE₂

Experimental details for the potentiometrictitrations

^a Initial volume in the titration vessel.

290

| Pyridine | Pyridine (mmoles) | HNO ₃ (mmoles) | Titre titrant HNO ₃ | Titre titrant KOH | V_0 ^a (cm ³) |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| $2-NpS(CH3)$ | 2.7984 | 2.9895 | | 1.0037 | 75.0 |
| $2-N_pS(C_2H_5)$ | 1.6290 | 2.9895 | | 1.0037 | 75.0 |
| $2-N_pS(C_2H_4OH)$ | 3.6855 | | 0.5009 | | 70.0 |
| $3-NpS(CH3)$ | 2.8080 | 2.9895 | | 1.0037 | 75.0 |
| $3-N_{D}S(C_{2}H_{5})$ | 1.7728 | 1.9930 | | 1.0037 | 90.0 |
| $3-N_pS(C_2H_4OH)$ | 3.7135 | | 0.5009 | | 70.0 |
| $2,2-NpSN$ | 6.0030 | 0.09790 | 0.4995 | | 70.0 |
| | 6.0030 | | 0.4995 | | 70.0 |
| $2,3-NpSN$ | 3.9460 | 0.09790 | 0.4995 | | 80.0 |
| | 5.8674 | | 0.4995 | | 92.0 |
| $3,2-NpSN$ | 6.0390 | 0.09790 | 0.4995 | | 70.0 |
| | 6.0390 | | 0.4995 | | 70.0 |
| $3,3-NpSN$ | 3.6918 | 0.09790 | 0.4995 | | 70.0 |
| | 5.2104 | | 0.4995 | | 80.0 |

TABLE 3 Experimental details for the calorimetric titrations

a Initial volume in the titration vessel.

Calculidicms

The protonation constants for each of the pyridines *were* **caiculated by means of an appropriate FORTRAN IV program [S] based upon the variable metric method of Davidon [121.** *The Q* **values corrected for dilution of the tiixant and for the formation of water were used to calculate the enthalpy changes, AH with the aid of the program KALO [11. All the programs were** run on a SIEMENS 4004 computer. The values for the entropy changes, ΔS were derived from the obtained ΔH values and the protonation constants.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The protonation constants and the corresponding thermodynamic functions are given in Table 4. Comparing 2-N_pS(CH₃) with pyridine and 2-me**thylpyridine it is seen that the basicity of the first ligand is smaller and the** enthalpy of protonation is less exothermic. However, the ligand $3-N_nS(CH₃)$, characterized by an additional CH₂ group between the thioether and the **pyridine group, has a basicity and protonation enthalpy almost identical to** pyridine. Although it is known [14] that gas-phase basicities are attenuated **in aqueous solution, as a result of compensating electrostatic solvation terms, it is still seen that the sulphur atom apparently reduces the electron density on the pyridine group, whereas insertion of a methylene group between** *S* **and pyridine reduces that electron-withdrawing effect.**

As a general observation it can be seen that all investigated Iigands may be divided into two series. The first series is characterized by one CH₂ group **between the thioether group and the pyridine function and these Iigands**

onding thermodynamic functions a,b netoute and or The protonation **TABLE 4**

b Value in parentheses is the standard deviation on the last significant figure.
 c Data from ref. 13: part IV - p. 165.
 d Data from ref. 13: part IV - p. 167.

show a lower basicity $(-\Delta G)$ than the corresponding ligand of the second series having one additional CH₂ group. In Table 5 we report the changes in **the thermodynamic properties for the reaction**

$$
AH^+ + B \rightleftharpoons A + BH^+ \tag{1}
$$

where A and B represent a ligand of each series. The data from Table 5 show that insertion of a CH₂ group causes an almost constant increase in exothermic heat of protonation $\delta(-\Delta H)$. The change in solution basicity, $\delta(-\Delta G)$, **however, increases with the electron-withdrawing power of the substituent** on the other side of the sulphur atom. It thus appears that a change in $-\Delta G$ is a better probe for the change of the internal or intrinsic basicity $(-\Delta G_{\rm g})$ or proton affinity $(-\Delta H_{\rm g})$ than $-\Delta H$. This may be explained by an alterna**tion of the gas-phase basicities as a result of compensating electrostatic solvation terms.**

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\mathbf{B}_{g} & + \mathbf{H}_{g}^{+} & \xrightarrow{-\Delta H_{g}} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{H}_{g}^{+} \\
\downarrow^{-\Delta H_{g}(\mathbf{B})} & \downarrow^{-\Delta H_{g}(\mathbf{H}^{+})} & \downarrow^{-\Delta H_{g}(\mathbf{B} \mathbf{H}^{+})} \\
\mathbf{B}_{s} & + \mathbf{H}_{s}^{+} & \xrightarrow{-\Delta H} & \mathbf{B} \mathbf{H}_{s}^{+}\n\end{array}
$$

Scheme 1. Thermodynamic cycle of protonation

From the thermodynamic cycle in Scheme 1 it can be concluded that the relative differences between the proton affinity in the gas-phase $(-\Delta H_{\epsilon})$ **and** in solution $(-\Delta H)$ can only arise from differences in the heats of solvation of the neutral amines ${\{\Delta H_s(B)\}}$ and the charged ammonium ions ${\{\Delta H_s(BH^*)\}}$.

According to Aue et al. [141 the solvation of ions may be thought of as a two-step process: (1) the introduction of a neutral molecule of the same size as the ion into the solvent, and (2) the electrostatic interaction of the charge of the ion with the solvent. The following approximation may then be used

$$
\Delta H_{\rm s}(\mathrm{BH}^{\rm t}) \simeq \Delta H_{\rm s}(\mathrm{B}) + \Delta H_{\rm s}(\mathrm{BH}^{\rm t})^{\rm el} \tag{2}
$$

Taking into account this approximation it can be derived from the thermodynamic cycle that the relative differences in heat of protonation in solution

TABLE 5

Influence of an additional CH₂ group between S and the pyridine group on the protona**tion of the pyridine nitrogen a**

| | $\delta(-\Delta G)$ | $\delta(-\Delta H)$ | $\delta(T\Delta S)$ |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $2-N_pS(C_2H_5) \rightarrow 3-N_pS(C_2H_5)$ | $+3.48$ | $+3.1$ | $+0.4$ |
| $2-N_pS(CH_3) \rightarrow 3-N_pS(CH_3)$ | $+3.47$ | $+3.0$ | $+0.4$ |
| $2-N_pS(C_2H_4OH) \rightarrow 3-N_pS(C_2H_4OH)$ | $+3.79$ | $+3.0$ | $+0.8$ |
| $2,3-N_pSN \rightarrow 3,3-N_pSN$ | $+4.16$ | $+3.1$ | $+1.1$ |
| $2,2\text{-}N_{\text{D}}SN \rightarrow 3,2\text{-}N_{\text{D}}SN$ | $+5.19$ | $+3.4$ | $+1.8$ |

a All values in kJ mole-' _

of reaction (1) reduce to

$$
\delta \left\{ -\Delta H \right\} = \delta \left\{ -\Delta H_{\mathbf{g}} \right\} + \delta \left\{ -\Delta H_{\mathbf{s}} (\mathbf{B} \mathbf{H}^{\dagger})^{\mathbf{el}} \right\} \tag{3}
$$

When inductive effects operate to increase the proton affinity $(-\Delta H_{\alpha})$ of the **pyridine nitrogen, the pyridinium ion usually has a lower charge density at nitrogen and should be less solvated than norm+, thus leading to a decrease** in $-\Delta H_s(BH^*)^{\text{el}}$ and an attenuation of the heat of protonation in solution $(-\Delta H)$. The higher the change in inductive effect by insertion of an additional CH₂ group between the thioether and the pyridine group, the higher **the decrease in charge density and salvation of the pyridinium ion. This** might be the reason for the almost constant increase $\delta(-\Delta H)$ found in Table **5.**

Relative differences in the entropy term or $\delta(T\Delta S)$ must result almost entirely from the solvation terms $T\Delta S_{s}(B)$ and $T\Delta S_{s}(BH^{+})$, since the gas**phase entropy change may be thought to be the same for all pyridines.**

As for the enthalpy term, the entropy term $T\Delta S_{\epsilon}(\text{BH}^*)$ can be divided into a hydrophobic term equal to $T\Delta S_{s}(B)$ and a remaining electrostatic term **TLW~(BH+)~'. The relative changes of the protonation entropy in solution** [see eqn. (1)] may thus be attributed mainly to relative changes in the elec**trostatic solvation entropy term**

$$
\delta\{T\,\Delta S\} \simeq \delta\{T\,\Delta S_{\rm s}(\rm BH^{\ast})^{\rm el}\}\tag{4}
$$

The higher the decrease in electron-withdrawing power on insertion of a CH₂ **group between S and the pyridine group, the higher the decrease in charge density, the less solvated will be the pyridinium ion and the higher the relative increase in protonation entropy in solution (see Table 5).**

The influence on the thermodynamic properties of protonation by addition of a CH₂ group to the other side of the pyridine group is given in Table **6. As is expected, the influence on the basicity of the pyridine group is almost negligible. Only in those cases where the electron-withdrawing effect** of a further substituent (ammonium group) is attenuated, the basicity in **solution increases slightly. Here too and for the same reasons the relative** increase in intrinsic basicity $\delta(-\Delta G_{\epsilon})$ or proton affinity $\delta(-\Delta H_{\epsilon})$ is best **reflected by the relative increase in solution basicity** δ $\left(\begin{array}{c}\n-\Delta G\n\end{array}\right)$ **.**

TABLE 6

Influence of an additional CH₂ group to the other side of S on the protonation of the **pyridine nitrogen a**

^a All values in kJ mole⁻¹.

Influence of an additional CH₂ group on the protonation of the amino nitrogen ^a

a All values in kJ mole-I_

b **Data from ref. 1.**

TABLE 7

As can be deduced from Table 4 the protonation entropy of the amino nitrogen is less favourable than the protonation entropy of the pyridine nitrogen. This may be ascribed to the greater polarizability of the ammonium ion. The influence of an additional CH₂ group on the protonation of the **amino group is given in Table 7. Insertion of a methylene group between the amino nitrogen and the electron-withdrawing snlphur atom increases the** basicity $-\Delta G$. In this case too, the observed increase is largely due to an increase in protonation enthalpy $-\Delta H$.

As contrasted with the behaviour of the pyridine group, the relative differences of the protonation heat $\delta(-\Delta H)$ are not constant, but do increase **with increasing negative inductive effect of the second substituent of the thioether group. Also in contrast with the behaviour of the pyridine protonation is the trend in relative differences of the entropy term: although a higher increase in protonation entropy is expected if a further electron-withdrawing substituent is present, the reverse trend is found. The same trend, opposite to that expected for an electrostatic effect, is also found in either a series of primary or secondary or tertiary amines [14]** _ **According to Aue et al. [141 this could be the result of the fact that the hydrophobic effects have** not been fully subtracted out of the electrostatic term $T\Delta S(BH^{\dagger})^{\text{el}}$ [see eqn. $(2)1.$

The influence of an additional CH₂ group on the other side of the sulphur **atom (Table 7) on the protonation of the amino nitrogen is almost negligible, as is expected.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Professor G.P. van der Kelen for helpful discussion. **Technical assistance by Mrs. J. Schaubroeck and Mr. W. Lippens is gratefully acknowledged.**

REFERENCES

- **J.J. Tombeux, AM. Goeminne and J. Schaubroeck, Thermochim. Acta, 19 (1977) 327.**
- **G.G. Herman, A.M. Goeminne, CT. Huys, J. Schaubroeck and Z. Eeckhaut, Thermochim. Acta, 37 (1980) 301.**
- **J.J. Tombeux, A.M. Goeminne and Z. Eeckhaut, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 39 (1977) 1655.**
- 4 J. Schaubroeck, A.M. Goeminne and G.P. van der Kelen, J.Inorg. Nucl. Chem., in **press.**
- **K. Kahmann, H. Sigel and H. Erlenmeyer, Helv. Chim. Acta, 14 (1964) 1754.**
- **T.N. Briggs and J.E. Stuehr, J. Chem. Educ., 46 (1974) 1517.**
- **J. Schaubroeck, unpublished results.**
- **C. Gran, Analyst (London), 77 (1952) 661.**
- **R. Barbucci, P. Paoletti, and A. Vacca, J. Chem. Sot. A, (1970) 2202.**
- **G. Arena, R. Caii, E. Rizzarelli and S. Sammartano, Thermochim. Acta, 16 (1976) 315.**
- **L. WrdsS, Sci. Tools, 13 (1966) 33.**
- **WC. Davidon, ANL-5990 (Bev. Z), Physics and Mathematics, AEC Research and Development Report, 1966.**
- **R-M. Smith and A.E. Martell, Critical Stability Constants, Vol. 2 Amines, Plenum Press, New York.**
- **D.H. Aue, H.M. Webb and M.T. Bowers, J. Am. Chem. Sot., 98 (2) (1976) 318.**