

## CORRECT CALCULATION OF $\Delta H^0$ , $\Delta C_p^0$ , AND $\Delta V^0$ FROM TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE DEPENDENCES OF EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANTS: THE IMPORTANCE OF THERMAL EXPANSION AND COMPRESSIBILITY OF THE SOLVENT

LOREN G. HEPLER

*Department of Chemistry, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alta., T1K 3M4 (Canada)*

(Received 9 December 1980)

### ABSTRACT

Activities of solutes and compositions of solutions may be expressed correctly in terms of molarity ( $c$ ), molality ( $m$ ) or mole fraction ( $x$ ), leading to corresponding equilibrium constants  $K_c$ ,  $K_m$ , or  $K_x$ . Equations for differences between  $\Delta G_c^0$ ,  $\Delta G_m^0$ , and  $\Delta G_x^0$  values are derived. Common errors in calculations involving  $(d \ln K_c / dT)$  and  $(d \ln K_c / dP)$  are identified and remedies for these errors are presented.

### INTRODUCTION

It is common and useful to calculate enthalpies, entropies, and heat capacities from equilibrium constants at different temperatures. Similarly, it is possible to obtain changes in volume and compressibilities from equilibrium constants at different pressures. Unfortunately, these calculations are often carried out incorrectly. It is the purpose of this paper to identify these errors and to show how to eliminate or correct them.

### THERMODYNAMIC CALCULATIONS

We begin by considering a reaction that we represent by



The thermodynamic equilibrium constant for this reaction is

$$K = [Z]^z / [Y]^y \quad (2)$$

in which brackets indicate appropriate activities of the solute species Y and Z. Activities of solutes are related to compositions of solutions and to chosen standard states as described in various textbooks [1–3]. Most investigations of interest here are based on activities defined so that activity coefficients approach unity at infinite dilution. Compositions of solutions are generally expressed in terms of molarity ( $c$ ), molality ( $m$ ), or mole fraction

( $x$ ), and thence equilibrium constants as in eqn. (2) can be represented by  $K_c$ ,  $K_m$ , or  $K_x$ . Each of these equilibrium constants can be thermodynamically sound and each can offer advantages in terms of convenience of evaluation from experimental results or suitability for theoretical interpretation in relation to some particular model. We do not imply here or later that one kind of  $K$  is in any way inferior to other kinds. But we do point out that  $K_c$ ,  $K_m$ , and  $K_x$  are not generally numerically equal; these different  $K$  values lead to different standard free energies ( $\Delta G_c^0$ ,  $\Delta G_m^0$ , and  $\Delta G_x^0$ ) calculated from  $\Delta G^0 = -RT \ln K$ . Further, we especially emphasize that some calculations with  $K_c$  values are frequently done incorrectly. The principal focus of this paper is on these incorrect calculations with  $K_c$  values at different temperatures or pressures.

Equilibrium constants for reaction (1) are related to each other as in

$$K_x = K_m(s)^{y-z} = K_c(s\rho)^{y-z} \quad (3)$$

in which  $s$  represents the number of moles of solvent A per kilogram of solvent and  $\rho$  is the density of solvent A. Differences between standard free energy changes associated with the various equilibrium constants are given by the following

$$\Delta G_c^0 - \Delta G_m^0 = (y-z)RT \ln(\rho) \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta G_m^0 - \Delta G_x^0 = (y-z)RT \ln(s) \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta G_c^0 - \Delta G_x^0 = (y-z)RT \ln(s\rho) \quad (6)$$

Application of  $d\Delta G^0/dT = -\Delta S^0$  to eqns. (4)–(6) leads to equations for the differences between  $\Delta S_c^0$ ,  $\Delta S_m^0$ , and  $\Delta S_x^0$ . Because  $\Delta G^0$  and  $\Delta S^0$  values for a given reaction depend partly on human choices of standard states and composition scales, it is necessary to be careful about interpreting these values.

It is common to obtain the standard enthalpy of reaction by application of the van't Hoff equation

$$\Delta H^0 = RT^2(d \ln K/dT) = -T^2[d(\Delta G^0/T)dT] \quad (7)$$

The corresponding change in heat capacity can be obtained from

$$\Delta C_p^0 = d\Delta H^0/dT = R[2T(d \ln K/dT) + T^2(d^2 \ln K/dT^2)] \quad (8)$$

Because  $s$  in eqns. (3) and (5) is independent of temperature, we obtain exactly the same (correct)  $\Delta H^0$  from application of eqn. (7) to either  $K_m$  or  $K_x$  values. This  $\Delta H^0$  value is the same as can be obtained (in principle, at least) from calorimetric measurements. It follows that  $\Delta C_p^0$  values may be obtained correctly by way of eqn. (8) applied to either  $K_x$  or  $K_m$  values.

Because  $\rho$  in eqns. (3), (4) and (6) depends on temperature, application of eqn. (7) to  $K_c$  values leads to a different  $\Delta H^0$  value than is obtained by way of  $K_x$  or  $K_m$  values. This " $\Delta H_c^0$ " value obtained by direct application of eqn. (7) to  $K_c$  values is incorrect. Formal proof that this " $\Delta H_c^0$ " value is not the correct "standard state-infinite dilution"  $\Delta H^0$  follows from Wall's treatment of the temperature dependence of activities [3]. Because it is incorrect to apply eqn. (7) directly to  $K_c$  values, it is also incorrect to apply eqn. (8) directly to  $K_c$  values.

It is possible to obtain  $\Delta H^0$  and  $\Delta C_p^0$  values correctly from  $K_c$  values at different temperatures as follows. One way is to use the solvent density at each temperature in eqn. (3) to convert  $K_c$  values to corresponding  $K_m$  values, or to use both  $s$  and  $\rho$  values in eqn. (3) to convert  $K_c$  values to  $K_x$  values, and then apply eqns. (7) and (8). Another way is based on the substitution of  $K_c(\rho)^{y-z}$  for  $K_m$  or  $K_c(s\rho)^{y-z}$  for  $K_x$  in eqn. (7) to obtain

$$\Delta H^0 = RT^2(d\ln K_c/dT) + (y - z)RT^2(d\ln \rho/dT) \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) can also be expressed in terms of the coefficient of thermal expansion of the solvent,  $\alpha = V^{-1}(dV/dT)$

$$\Delta H^0 = RT^2(d\ln K_c/dT) - (y - z)RT^2\alpha \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) was derived long ago by Guggenheim [4].

For water as solvent at 298 K, the "correction term" on the far right of eqns. (9) and (10) is calculated from densities or the coefficient of thermal expansion [5] to be  $(y - z)188 \text{ J mole}^{-1}$ . Most organic solvents have considerably larger coefficients of thermal expansion than has water, leading to correspondingly larger "correction terms". The "correction term" in eqns. (9) and (10) becomes larger at higher temperatures.

Further differentiation of eqn. (10) leads to

$$\Delta C_p^0 = R[2T(d\ln K_c/dT) + T^2(d^2\ln K_c/dT^2)] - (y - z)R[2T\alpha + T^2(d\alpha/dT)] \quad (11)$$

For water at 298 K the "correction term" here amounts to  $(y - z) 8.6 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mole}^{-1}$ .

A treatment analogous to eqns. (9) and (10) is sometimes needed in connection with "distribution constants" and calculated enthalpies of transfer. Consider the equilibrium distribution of Y between two solvents represented by

$$Y (\text{in A}) = Y (\text{in B}) \quad (12)$$

and the corresponding equilibrium constant

$$K = [Y]_B/[Y]_A \quad (13)$$

Again, eqn. (7) is directly applicable to  $K_x$  and  $K_m$  values, while direct application to  $K_c$  values is incorrect. Instead, the correct equation is

$$\Delta H^0 = RT^2(d\ln K_c/dT) + RT^2(\alpha_B - \alpha_A) \quad (14)$$

in which  $\alpha_A$  and  $\alpha_B$  are the coefficients of thermal expansion of solvents A and B. For water and an organic solvent at 298 K the "correction term" on the far right of eqn. (14) is usually greater than  $0.5 \text{ kJ mole}^{-1}$ .

Equilibrium constants at different pressures lead to the standard state change in volume by way of

$$\Delta V^0 = -RT(d\ln K/dP) \quad (15)$$

This equation is directly applicable to  $K_m$  and  $K_x$  values, but it is not correct to apply eqn. (15) to  $K_c$  values. Instead,  $K_c$  values should be used in

$$\Delta V^0 = -RT(d\ln K_c/dP) - (y - z)RT\kappa \quad (16)$$

in which  $\kappa = -V^{-1}(dV/dP)$ , the compressibility coefficient of the solvent. This equation has been derived previously by Guggenheim [4] and by Hamann [6]. For water at 298 K the "correction term" on the far right of eqn. (16) amounts to  $(y - z) 1.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mole}^{-1}$ .

#### SUMMARY

Equations (7), (8) and (15) are directly applicable only to equilibrium constants expressed in terms of activities that are themselves defined in terms of temperature and pressure independent measures of composition, such as  $K_x$  or  $K_m$ , but not  $K_c$ . Correct calculations with  $K_c$  values must include coefficients of thermal expansion and compressibility. Equations presented here illustrate the importance of clearly specifying standard states and composition scales.

As Guggenheim [4] has previously pointed out, the principles and equations presented here also apply to  $(d \ln k/dT)$  and  $(d \ln k/dP)$  calculations with rate constants.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for support. I also thank R.N. Goldberg (National Bureau of Standards), G.L. Bertrand (University of Missouri-Rolla), and Dr. J.J. Spitzer of this University for their useful advice.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 K.S. Pitzer and L. Brewer, *Thermodynamics*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2nd edn., 1961.
- 2 I.M. Klotz and R.M. Rosenberg, *Chemical Thermodynamics*, W.A. Benjamin, Menlo Park, CA, 3rd edn., 1972.
- 3 F.T. Wall, *Chemical Thermodynamics*, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 2nd edn., 1965. See especially pp. 374-376. Setting  $g(\xi^*) = c$  in eqn. (18.11) leads to the results we have expressed in eqns. (9) and (10). Taking  $g(\xi^*)$  to be independent of temperature (as for molality and mole fraction) provides a formal basis for accepting  $\Delta H^0$  directly from  $d \ln K_x/dT$  or  $d \ln K_m/dT$  as the thermodynamically correct value.
- 4 E.A. Guggenheim, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 33 (1937) 607.
- 5 G.S. Kell, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 20 (1975) 97.
- 6 S.D. Hamann, *Physico-Chemical Effects of Pressure*, Butterworths, London, 1957; S.D. Hamman, in B.E. Conway and J.O'M. Brockris (Eds.), *Modern Aspects of Electrochemistry*, No. 9, Plenum, New York, 1974, pp. 47-158.