

Note

KINETICS OF THE NON-ISOTHERMAL DECOMPOSITION OF MAGNESIUM SOAPS FROM DTG CURVES

S.S. SAWHNEY, D.N. DANGWAL, S.K. CHANDEL, S.R.S. ARYA and S.K. BHARDWAJ

Chemistry Department, D.A.V. (P.G.) College, Dehra Dun-248001 (India)

(Received 28 September 1982)

The industrial and technological importance of metallic soaps, especially those of magnesium, as water-repelling agents, catalysts, stabilisers and lubricants [1,2], has led to increased investigation of these soaps. Marwedel [3], Easterfield and Taylor [4], Ludlam [5], Friedel [6], Williamson [7] and Melhrotra and Varma [8] have enriched the subject through their contributions. The literature reveals that the kinetics of transformation of metallic soaps have not been probed thermally; this note concerns the kinetics of the pyrolytic decomposition of magnesium soaps using Dave–Chopra [9] expressions, eqns. (1–3), which have previously been employed by Sawhney et al., for the kinetics of non-isothermal decomposition of metal complexes [10–16]. The Dave–Chopra method is chosen in preference to slope-dependent methods [17–19]. Varma and Kumar [20] described thermogravimetrically some magnesium soaps concluding the decomposition of soaps to be



where $n' = 3$ for valerate, $n' = 4$ for caproate, $n' = 6$ for caprylate, $n' = 8$ for caprate and $n' = 10$ for laurate.

$$k = \frac{(A/m_0)^{n-1} (dx/dt)}{(A-a)^n} \quad (1)$$

When $n = 1$, eqn. (1) reduces to

$$k = \frac{dx/dt}{A-a} \quad (2)$$

$$-\frac{(E/2.303R)(1/T)}{\log(A-a)} = -n + \frac{\log(dx/dt)}{\log(A-a)} \quad (3)$$

EXPERIMENTAL

The derivative curve was obtained by manual differentiation of the TG curve which was recorded by Varma and Kumar [20] on a Stanton automatic recording balance at a heating rate of $250^{\circ} \text{ h}^{-1}$ under an air atmosphere.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Thermogravimetric curves of magnesium soaps displayed only a sigmoid which was analysed, using the Dave–Chopra expressions, to give the kinetic data of non-isothermal decomposition, corresponding to different temperatures.

The values of A , a and dx/dt were calculated from the derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) curves. Assuming that the non-isothermal decomposition of the magnesium soaps follows first order kinetics, a plot of $\log k$ vs. $1/T$ [eqn. (1)] was drawn; first order kinetics was confirmed by the straight line relationship. The slope, $-E/2.303 R$, and intercept, $\log Z$, were used for evaluating E and Z (collision number), respectively. Equation (3) was used to substantiate the kinetic data. A graph of $(1/T)/\log(A - a)$ was plotted against $\log(dx/dt)/\log(A - a)$; the intercept of the straight line so obtained gave the value of n (order of the reaction), and the value of E was obtained from the slope. Table 1 contains the kinetic data. Values of $\log Z$ are very low which led the authors to believe that the decomposition of the soaps is a slow process. The kinetic data derived from eqns. (2) and (3) tallied.

The Dave–Chopra procedure is preferred to other slope-dependent methods which exercise significant influences on kinetic data if the parameters, viz. heating rate, temperature variation, crucible geometry, etc., are not kept constant; in the Dave–Chopra procedure, slight fluctuations in these parameters do not affect the kinetic data.

TABLE I

Kinetic data of the non-isothermal decomposition of magnesium soaps by the reaction $[\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{COO}]_2\text{Mg} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{COCH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_n + \text{CO}_2 + \text{MgO}$

n'	Temp. range ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Eqn. (1)			Eqn. (2)	
		n	Z	E (kcal mole $^{-1}$)	n	E (kcal mole $^{-1}$)
3	162–657	1	0.40	9.15	1.075	5.95
4	118–620	1	0.17	8.97	1.100	6.61
6	129–662	1	0.05	5.72	1.080	4.12
8	106–518	1	0.22	7.63	1.050	5.95
10	150–485	1	0.07	6.41	1.010	5.95

REFERENCES

- 1 V.I. Gelendeev, K.G. Bogarova, E.I. Bobkova and O.N. Dobrynina, *Maslob. Zhir. Prom.*, 24 (1958) 17.
- 2 M.P. Bespyatov and V.I. Pelstyanoi, *Maslob. Zhir. Prom.*, 28 (1962) 14.
- 3 G. Marwedel, *Farbe Lack*, 60 (1954) 530.
- 4 T.H. Easterfield and C.M. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 99 (1911) 2298.
- 5 E.M. Ludlam, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 81 (1902) 1185.
- 6 C. Friedel, *Liebig Ann.*, 108 (1958) 122.
- 7 A. Williamson, *Liebig Ann.*, 81 (1952) 73.
- 8 K.M. Melhetra and R.P. Varma, *Indian J. Chem.*, 9 (1971) 703.
- 9 N.G. Dave and S.K. Chopra, *Z. Phys. Chem. N.F.*, 48 (1966) 257.
- 10 S.S. Sawhney and B.M.L. Bhatia, *Thermochim. Acta*, 43 (1981) 243.
- 11 S.S. Sawhney, S.D. Matta and R.M. Sati, *Thermochim. Acta*, 45 (1981) 205.
- 12 S.S. Sawhney and S.S. Bains, *Thermochim. Acta*, 45 (1981) 361.
- 13 S.S. Sawhney, R.M. Sati and S.D. Matta, *Thermochim. Acta*, 47 (1981) 95.
- 14 B.M.L. Bhatia and S.S. Sawhney, *Thermochim. Acta*, 47 (1981) 363.
- 15 S.S. Sawhney, R.M. Sati and A.K. Bansal, *Thermochim. Acta*, 49 (1981) 371.
- 16 S.S. Sawhney, R.M. Sati and S.K. Chandel, *Thermochim. Acta*, 55 (1982) 363.
- 17 E.S. Freeman and B. Carroll, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 62 (1958) 394.
- 18 H.H. Horowitz and G. Metzger, *Anal. Chem.*, 35 (1963) 1464.
- 19 A.W. Coats and J.P. Redfern, *Nature (London)*, 201 (1964) 68.
- 20 R.P. Varma and K. Kumar, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, 55 (1978) 675.