THERMAL STUDIES ON SODIUM SILICATE HYDRATES. I. TRISODIUM HYDROGENSILICATE PENTAHYDRATE, Na,HSiO, l 5 **H,O; THERMAL STABILITY AND THERMAL DECOMPOSITION REACTIONS**

R.L. SCHMID and J. FELSCHE

Universitiit Konstanz, Fakultiit fiir Chemie, D - *7750 Konstanz, Postfach 5560 (F. R. G.)* (Received 19 July, 1983)

ABSTRACT

Presented in this paper is a thermoanalytical study on $Na₃HSiO₄$. S H₂O under a dry, inert nitrogen atmosphere, demonstrating the following thermal decomposition reactions and thermodynamically stable hydrate phases

$$
Na_3HSiO_4 \cdot 5 H_2O \xrightarrow[313 K]{-3 H_2O} Na_3HSiO_4 \cdot 2 H_2O \xrightarrow[353-263 K]{-H_2O} Na_3HSiO_4 \cdot H_2O \xrightarrow[413 K]{-H_2O} Na_3HSiO_4
$$

2 Na₃HSiO₄ $\xrightarrow[513 K]{-H_2O} Na_6Si_2O_7$

This reaction behaviour was reproducibly observed by means of simultaneous thermal analysis (TG, DTG, DTA), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and X-ray heating experiments. Annealing of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ at the above stability ranges yielded the subsequent hydrate phases as well as the dry silicate. The decomposition products were characterized by X-ray diffraction methods.

INTRODUCTION

Thermal analysis, thermogravimetry (TG), differential thermogravimetry (DTG), differential thermal analysis (DTA), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and X-ray diffraction heating experiments are important methods in solid state chemistry for revealing the reaction paths of crystalline inorganic compounds, especially those of hydrate phases and their decomposition reactions. Above all, it is the X-ray heating powder diffraction technique in correlation with TG, DTG and DTA experiments which provide strong and important clues pertaining to peritectic thermal decomposition reactions, in this case demonstrated using $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$, the phase which will be discussed in this paper.

The system $Na₂O-SiO₂-H₂O$ has been revised repeatedly. However, data

on the existence of hydrate phases in this system are insufficient and partly contradictory, especially for the phases of the $3:2$ series (Na₂O: SiO₂ ratio), Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot n H₂O (n = 5, 2, 1 or 0) [1,2]. No thermoanalytical data pertaining to this series have been published so far, whereas structural information has been given by Smolin et al. [3] for $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ and by Schmid et al. [4,5] for α - and β -Na₃HSiO₄ · 2 H₂O.

Nevertheless, the first and so far the only thermoanalytical data on the system $Na₂O-SiO₂-H₂O$ are those given by Gould et al. [6] upon the melting behaviour and thermodynamics of the 1 : 1 hydrate series $(Na₂O: SiO₂ ratio), Na₂H₂SiO₄ · n H₂O (n = 8, 7, 5 or 4).$ In that paper the authors reported on DSC experiments carried out on these phases.

It is an astonishing fact that contrary to the scarcity of thermal data on the system $Na₂O-SiO₂-H₂O$, there exists a great deal of structural information not only upon the hydrates of the 3 : 2 series but also upon 1 : 1 series $[7-10]$, Na₂H₂SiO₄ · n H₂O (n = 8,7,5 or 4).

So, to overcome this serious lack of information about the thermal and chemical properties of this interesting and technically important system, $Na₂O-SiO₂-H₂O$, and to correlate the data obtained with structural facts, especially hydrogen bonding, we carried out further investigations on this system. Beginning with $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$, the highest hydrate member of the 3 : 2 series, which should also give an insight into an understanding of the whole series, we will, in a future series of papers, describe and discuss the thermal and structural properties of all hydrate phases observed in the system $Na₂O-SiO₂-H₂O$.

EXPERIMENTAL

 $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ was prepared by isothermal crystal growth at 298 K from aqueous solutions containing 13.3% Na₂O, 4.4% SiO₂ and 82.3% H₂O (wt.%). Starting materials were NaOH, H_2O and Na₂SiO₃. As the compound is very hygroscopic and sensitive to $CO₂$, all our research and preparative work had to be carried out under exclusion of $H₂O$ and $CO₂$ in a dry and inert atmosphere. The inert gas used was Ar in a specially designed Braun MB 80 glove-box.

Thermoanalytical experiments were carried out on a Netzsch STA 429 thermoanalyzer (TG, DTA, DTG) and on a Perkin-Elmer DSC-2 calorimeter (DSC). For the accompanying X-ray experiments a Guinier heating camera suitable for measurements in the temperature range 298-1098 K $(\lambda = Cu K_{\alpha 1})$ and a Guinier camera (System 621, Huber, Rimsting, $\lambda =$ $Cu K_{ol}$) were used. Na₃HSiO₄ · 5 H₂O was not ground for the thermoanalytical studies referred to in this paper as it is partly affected by tribochemical decomposition. For this reason it was analyzed in single crystalline form.

The results of the simultaneous TG, DTG and DTA thermal decomposition reactions of $Na₃HSiO₄$. 5 H₂O, as well as the corresponding X-ray heating experiments, are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. Both figures indicate the same reaction scheme for $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ down to $Na₆Si₂O₇$.

$$
Na3HSiO4 \cdot 5 H2O \xrightarrow[313 K]{-3H2O} Na3HSiO4 \cdot 2 H2O \xrightarrow[353-263 K]{-H2O} Na3HSiO4 \cdot H2O
$$

\n
$$
\xrightarrow[413 K]{-H2O} Na3HSiO4 2 Na3HSiO4 \xrightarrow[513 K]{-H2O} Na6Si2O7
$$

All of these decomposition products could be subsequently synthesized and characterized by X-ray and TG, DTG and DTA experiments.

As can be seen in Fig. 1, $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$ does not show up clearly in the course of the decomposition reaction, as $Na₃HSiO₄·H₂O$ forms nearly simultaneously on the thermogram on Fig. 1, whereas on the X-ray heating photograph in Fig. 2, the dihydrate shows up more clearly. This depends on

Fig. 1. Thermogram of the thermal decomposition reaction of **Na,HSiO,** .5 H,O under non-isothermal conditions. Decomposition is of single crystals to avoid tribochemical destruction of $Na₃HSiO₄$.5 H₂O. The figure shows the step-wise dehydratation scheme to three subsequent and thermodynamically stable hydrate phases and one dry silicate, $Na₄Si₃O₇$, and their ranges of stability. Due to diffusion kinetics and the dimorphism of $Na₃HSiO₄·2$ H,O, this phase does not show up fully but is clearly indicated by the DTA and DTG curves and partially by the TG curve. The dotted fine represents the T curve.

Fig. 2. Step-wise X-ray heating photograph of the thermal decomposition reaction of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ between 293 and 873 K, showing the diffraction patterns of the educt, $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$, and those of four subsequent dehydration products, their regions of stability and of transition. Due to a serious reduction of crystallite size in the course of the decomposition down to the dry silicate, the X-ray diffraction patterns of $Na₃HSiO₄$ and $Na₆Si₂O₇$ are diffuse and do not show up clearly on the photograph.

the particle size, since the $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$ step shows up at low heating rates and when $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ is thoroughly ground, and also on the dimorphism of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$. So, in Fig. 1, only a small alteration in the TG curve, as well as faint DTG and DTA peaks, indicate the existence of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$ and its decomposition down to $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot H₂O$, which has a very large range of stability. However, in the course of the X-ray heating experiment the strongest reflection of both dimorphic forms of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$ is observed. $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$ can be easily held in the high temperature form, α -Na₃HSiO₄ · 2 H₂O, which is stable up to 383 K, by annealing the pentahydrate at 313 K for 24 h. This particular behaviour of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$ will be published separately.

Unfortunately, there is no clear evidence, either from the X-ray structural data for $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ given by Smolin et al. [3] or from the thermoanalytical data discussed in this paper, for any possibility of correlation between the thermal behaviour of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ and its crystal structure. Taking into account the structural data, there exist no striking peculiarities in the hydrogen bonding and H,O coordination, i.e., bond lengths, angles and unusual coordination polyhedra, which could give rise to the special decom-

position behaviour with a loss of three molecules of H,O, yielding $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$. However, these structural data are taken from X-ray data which contain naturally incorrect H-atom positions. A fresh determination of the crystal structure of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ by means of neutron diffraction might solve this problem.

Contrary to $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$, which shows very diffuse formation and decomposition behaviour between 353 and 363 K in Fig. 1, the subsequently formed $\text{Na}_3\text{HSiO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ shows a wide range of stability and a narrow decomposition range of about 413 K. Considering that the monohydrate is stable up to about 413 K, a very strong and rigid hydrogen bonding scheme can be expected. Unfortunately, structural data for $Na₃HSiO₄·H₂O$ are missing, as well as suitable crystal X-ray structure analysis. At about 413 K, $Na₃HSiO₄·H₂O$ decomposes, yielding $Na₃HSiO₄$, which is stable up to 513 K and whose crystal structure is also unknown. As Figs. 1 and 2 indicate, the final decomposition step is the condensation reaction of two $[HSiO₄]$ ³⁻ anions, of which all $3:2$ hydrates are constructed, to form the so-called pyrosilicate anion, $\left[Si_2O_7\right]^6$. This is expected for most hydroxylic decompositions, because breaking a chemical bond, in this case a Si-OH bond, affords more energy than breaking a hydrogen bond and removing a water molecule from the solid phase into the atmosphere by means of a diffusion process. Furthermore, the decomposition of $Na₃HSiO₄$ is endothermic (see the DTA curve in Fig. l), so that the gain in energy by the formation of a Si-0 bond in the course of the condensation reaction, yielding an oxygenbridged $[Si₂O₇]⁶⁻$ anion, must be lower than the energy which is needed to destroy the hydrogensilicate anion. In other words, the so formed pyrosilicate anion is very unstable, as it decomposes at about 1300 K to give the SiO_3^{2-} endless chain of sodium metasilicate, Na₂SiO₃, a fact that has already been pointed out by Schmid [ll]. Unfortunately, the endothermic nature of the $[HSiO₄]³⁻$ condensation peak shows up only very faintly on the DTA curve in Fig. 1, being affected by the low heating rate of 0.5 K min⁻¹, but is clearly visible at higher rates, which would make $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$ nearly undetectable by thermogravimetry and under the experimental conditions.

As can be seen in Fig. 1, the decomposition reaction of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot H₂O$ down to $Na₃HSiO₄$ shows an additional step and additional DTA and DTG peaks. This feature could not be reproduced by test runs. The reason for taking this diagram, as represented in Fig. 1, was the clarity with which $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2 H₂O$ showed up.

As pointed out in the preceding paragraphs, no values pertaining to hydrogen bonding and water geometry in the crystal structure of $Na₃HSiO₄$ -5 H₂O could explain a loss of three molecules of H₂O yielding Na₃HSiO₄ -2 H₂O. Particle size and the dimorphism of Na₃HSiO₄ · 2 H₂O may explain its diffuse appearance in Figs. 1 and 2. However, the best model, which explains the competing formation of both α - and β -Na₃HSiO₄ · 2 H₂O as well as that of Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot H₂O at slightly elevated temperatures, is the complete break-

down of the crystal structure of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ which takes place in the solid state. Na₃HSiO₄ · 5 H₂O is built up of dimeric units of $[HSiO₄]³$ anions which are hydrogen bonded, whereas both forms of $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 2$ H₂O are built up of linear, endless chains of hydrogen bonded $[HSiO₄]³$ tetrahedra. This linear arrangement of the $[HSiO₄]$ ³⁻ anions is also expected for $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot H₂O$ and $Na₃HSiO₄$ which was shown by Cooksley and Taylor [12] for $CaNaHSiO₄$. Nevertheless, all these arguments discussed are only models which might explain some details. However, without more accurate structural information pertaining to hydrogen bonding in $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot 5 H₂O$ as well as structural information on $Na₃HSiO₄ \cdot H₂O$ and $Na₃HSiO₄$, these models cannot be confirmed.

REFERENCES

- 1 H. Stackelberg and H. Lange, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 256 (1948) 273.
- 2 C.L. Baker, L.R. Jue and J.H. Wills, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 72 (1950) 5369.
- 3 Y.I. Smolin, Yu.F. Shepelev and K. Butikova, Sov. Phys.-Crystallogr., 18 (1973) 173.
- 4 R.L. Schmid, G. Huttner and J. Felsche, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 35 (1979) 3024.
- 5 R.L. Schmid, G. Huttner, L. Szolnay and J. Felsche, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 37 (1981) 789.
- 6 R.O. Gould, B.M. Lowe and N.A. McGilp, Thermochim. Acta, 14 (1976) 299.
- 7 P.B. Jamieson and L.S. Dent Glasser, Acta Crystallogr., 20 (1966) 688.
- 8 P.P. Williams and L.S. Dent Glasser, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 27 (1971) 2269.
- 9 L.S. Dent Glasser and P.B. Jamieson, Acta Crystallogr., Sect., B, 32 (1976) 705.
- 10 K.H. Jost and W. Hilmer, Acta Crystallogr., 21 (1967) 794.
- 11 R.L. Schmid, Dissertation, Konstanz, 1981.
- 12 B.G. Cooksley and H.F.W. Taylor, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 30 (1974) 864.