

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

[Thermochim](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tca.2011.02.039)ica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tca



Short communication

# Enthalpies of solution of glycyl[glycylglycine](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tca) [in](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tca) [aque](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tca)ous solution of amides at 298.15 K

# Valeriy I. Smirnov∗, Valentin G. Badelin

Laboratory of Thermodynamics of Non-electrolytes Solutions and Biologically Active Substances, Institute of Solution Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 1 Akademicheskaya Street, 153045 Ivanovo, Russia

#### article info

Article history: Received 13 December 2010 Received in revised form 19 February 2011 Accepted 24 February 2011 Available online 6 April 2011

Keywords: Peptides Enthalpies of solution and transfer Mixtures of water with organic solvents

#### **ABSTRACT**

Calorimetric measurements have been carried out at 298.15 K in aqueous solutions of glycylglycylglycine containing formamide, N-methylformamide, N,N-dimethylformamide and N,N-diethylformamide at concentrations of amides as co-solvents up to 0.4 mole fractions. The results obtained have been used to calculate the standard enthalpies of solution (  $\Delta_{\rm sol}$  I $\circ$  ) and transfer (  $\Delta_{\rm tr}$  I $^{\circ}$  ) of the glycylglycylglycine from water into the mixtures as well as enthalpy coefficients of pair-wise interaction  $(h_{xy})$  of the solute with amide in aqueous media. The  $h_{xy}$  values were correlated with the properties of organic solvents using Kamlet–Taft equation. The results of the calorimetric measurements have been discussed with regard to the intermolecular interactions occurring in these systems.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### **1. Introduction**

The present paper is a continuation of our studies of amino acids and peptides in water–organic solutions [1–6]. Organic solvents are known to be very important organic synthesis substances, used in the fields of medicine, pharmacology and biopolymer chemistry. They can affect strongly the solubility and denaturation of proteins, and enzyme activities. These processes depend to a large extent on the interactions [betwee](#page-2-0)n the solution components, and particularly on the solvation of peptide molecules. By this time, many studies have been done with aqueous amino acid and peptide systems, but much less have been done with binary mixtures of organic solvent and water [7–12]. Besides, the range of organic solvent concentration in water was narrow enough (did not exceed 8 mol/kg). The major object of this work is to study the influence of various physico-chemical properties of orga[nic](#page-2-0) [sol](#page-2-0)vents on their interaction with glycylglycylglycine (GlyGlyGly) in water–organic mixtures. T[herefore,](#page-2-0) here we report the enthalpies of GlyGlyGly dissolution,  $\Delta_{\text{so}} H^\circ$  in aqueous solution of formamide (FA), N-methylformamide (MFA), N,N-dimethylformamide, (DMF) and N,N-diethylformamide (DEF) with content of amide in the studied mixtures up to 0.4 mole fractions. Chosen amides differ from each other in the molecular structure and size as well as donor–acceptor ability, polarity, polarizability and the exerted effect on the water structure.

# **2. Experimental**

GlyGlyGly (Aldrich Chemical Co., Ltd., mass fraction: 0.99) was dried under given pressure at room temperature, and it was then kept over  $P_2O_5$  in a desiccator. The molal concentration (*m*) of GlyGlyGly varied in the range  $(5 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$  molkg<sup>-1</sup>) of the mixed solvent. FA and DMF (Fluka) were distilled twice under reduced pressure over NaOH according to [13]. MFA and DEF (Sigma–Aldrich, purum, assay: 99%) were used without further purification. Water content determined by Karl Fisher titration [14] did not exceed 0.03 wt.% for all amides. The water was purified by deionization and double distillation up to a specific conductivity of ca.  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  S m<sup>-1</sup>. [All](#page-2-0) measurements were made at  $(298.15 \pm 0.01)$  K. The solutions before measurement were prepared by weight. The values of  $\Delta_{\rm sol}H^m$  for GlyGlyGly were measured using an "isoperibol" hermetic calorimeter. The calorimeter setup, testing and experimental procedure were described in detail previously [4,5,15]. The relative random error of measurements did not exceed 0.5%.

# **[3. Result](#page-2-0)s**

The measured molal enthalpies of solution of GlyGlyGly were found to be independent of its content within the investigated range of the GlyGlyGly concentration, hence, the standard enthalpies of solution,  $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^{\circ}(\equiv \Delta_{\text{sol}}H^{\infty})$ at 298.15K were calculated as the mean from  $\Delta_{sol}H^m$  values of all independent calorimetric experiments with the given mixed solvent composition. The obtained values of  $\Delta_{\rm sol}$ H $^{\circ}$  for GlyGlyGly in the investigated

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +7 0932 351859; fax: +7 0932 336237. E-mail addresses: vis@isc-ras.ru, svi5015@ivnet.ru (V.I. Smirnov).

<sup>0040-6031/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2011.02.039

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Table 1** Standard enthalpies (kJ/mol) of dissolution ( $\Delta_{\sf sol}$ H $^{\circ}$ ) of glycylglycylglycine in aqueous solution of amides at 298.15 K.

$m_2$ <sup>a</sup>	FA	$m2$ <sup>a</sup>	<b>MFA</b>	m <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>	DMF	$m2$ <sup>a</sup>	<b>DEF</b>
1.242	$16.98 + 0.01$	0.814	$17.79 + 0.02$	0.717	$17.84 + 0.02$	0.805	$18.56 + 0.01$
2.345	$14.89 + 0.01$	1.646	$17.85 + 0.02$	1.193	$18.18 + 0.02$	1.396	$19.48 + 0.02$
3.748	$13.81 + 0.02$	2.411	$17.93 + 0.02$	2.102	$18.51 + 0.02$	1.772	$19.98 + 0.01$
5.079	$12.85 + 0.01$	3.869	$17.99 + 0.01$	2.598	$18.89 \pm 0.01$	2.468	$20.31 + 0.01$
7.521	$11.72 + 0.02$	4.701	$18.23 + 0.02$	3.528	$19.11 + 0.02$	2.952	$20.81 + 0.02$
8.477	$11.28 + 0.01$	5.829	$18.39 + 0.01$	4.372	$19.48 + 0.01$	3.724	$21.12 + 0.01$
10.867	$10.27 + 0.01$	8.681	$18.45 + 0.01$	5.586	$19.94 + 0.01$	4.464	$21.19 + 0.01$
12.756	$9.55 + 0.01$	9.909	$18.69 + 0.01$	6.503	$20.22 + 0.01$	5.513	$21.22 + 0.01$
17.652	$8.87 + 0.01$	12.404	$18.78 + 0.02$	9.282	$21.23 + 0.02$	6.710	$21.06 + 0.01$
25.445	$8.31 + 0.02$	17.463	$18.82 \pm 0.01$	12.996	$21.79 + 0.02$	8.889	$20.36 + 0.02$
35.557	$7.22 \pm 0.02$	24.523	$18.69 + 0.02$	18.183	$20.42 \pm 0.02$	12.412	$18.87 + 0.02$
		34.557	$16.78 \pm 0.02$	25.926	$11.23 \pm 0.02$	17.797	$17.26 + 0.03$

<sup>a</sup> -The molal concentration of amides, mol/kg.

systems and their standard deviations are given in Table 1. The transfer enthalpy  $\Delta_{\rm tr} H^\circ$  is derived from Eq. (1).

$$
\Delta_{\rm tr} H^{\circ} = \Delta_{\rm sol} H^{\circ}(w + y) - \Delta_{\rm sol} H^{\circ}(w), \tag{1}
$$

where  $\Delta_{\rm sol} H^\circ$  (w+y) is the enthalpy of solution in each of aqueous solution of organic solvent and  $\Delta_{\mathsf{sol}} H^\circ \left( w \right)$  is the enthalpy of GlyGlyGly solution in pure water ( $\Delta_{\text{sol}}H^\circ(w)$ = 17.62  $\pm$  0.05 kJ/mol was taken from paper [16]). The changes in  $\Delta_{\rm tr}$ H $^{\circ}$  for GlyGlyGly against the amide mole-fraction content are shown in Fig. 1.

## **4. Discussion**

From [Fig](#page-2-0). 1 it is possible to notice that values of transfer enthalpies for GlyGlyGly are exothermic in all studied concentration range of FA for  $H_2O$  + FA mixture. In the case of  $H_2O$  + MFA,  $H<sub>2</sub>O + DMF$  and  $H<sub>2</sub>O + DEF$  mixtures the transfer enthalpies for GlyGlyGly are endothermic for concentration of substituted amide  $x$  < 0.25 and exothermic with an increase of concentration  $x$  > 0.25. Herewith endothermic  $\Delta_{\rm tr} H^\circ$  values of GlyGlyGly are increasing monotonically with the increase of N-substituted amide content up to  $x_2 \approx 0.1$ –0.15. The slopes of the  $\Delta_{\rm tr} H^\circ$  = f(x<sub>2</sub>) dependences in this range increase in the series: MFA < DMF < DEF. Here the substances are well hydrated and the endothermic enthalpy contributions from the dehydration of GlyGlyGly and amide molecules probably prevail over exothermic ones from the peptide–amide interactions. In the case of (H2O+FA) mixture the  $\Delta_{\rm tr}$ H $^{\circ}$  value for GlyGlyGly is negative by sign and smoothly decreases (in magnitude) as the content of FA increases. The exothermicity of a transfer process testifies the preferential role of a direct intermolecular interaction between FA and GlyGlyGly to the processes of associated molecule dissociation of FA and the processes of dehydration of peptide and FA



**Fig. 1.** Enthalpies of transfer  $\Delta_{\rm tr}H^\circ$  of GlyGlyGly from water into the H2O+FA (1),  $H<sub>2</sub>O + MFA$  (2),  $H<sub>2</sub>O + DMF$  (3) and  $H<sub>2</sub>O + DEF$  (4) mixed solvent as functions of the amide mole fraction  $(x_2)$  at 298.15 K.

molecules. The process of  $\Delta_{\rm tr}H^\circ$  change with the increase in concentration of FA above 0.15 mole fractions in the aqueous solution weakly strengthens GlyGlyGly solvation because the endothermic processes of continued decomposition of self-associates  $H_2O$  and FA balance the energy of newly formed heterocomponent H-bonds. In the case of  $(H_2O+MFA H_2O+DMF$  and  $H_2O+DEF$ ) mixtures in the range of  $0.15 < x_2 < 0.2$ , the endothermic effects caused by the solvent, structural reorganization and dehydrating GlyGlyGly and co-solvent molecules are compensated by the exothermic effects of direct interactions between polar groups of the interacting components. Here, the endothermic maxima on the curves of  $\Delta_{\rm tr}H^\circ$ against  $x_2$  are observed for many peptides in the similar mixtures [5,17,18]. The maximum height depends on the structure and the physico-chemical properties of co-solvent. The increase in a molecular size of peptide as well as in the co-solvent hydrophobicity shifts this extremum in the water-rich region. At  $x_2 > 0.2$ , the exothermic contribution of GlyGlyGly–co-solvent interactions [to](#page-2-0) [th](#page-2-0)e total enthalpy interaction effect becomes predominant and consequently, the  $\Delta_{\rm tr} H^\circ$  vs.  $x_2$  curve becomes opposite in the direction.

The interparticle interactions in the ternary aqueous system with small concentration of co-solvent can be characterized in terms of McMillan–Mayer theory [19] adapted by Kauzmann, Friedman and Desnoyers [20–22] for calculating the enthalpy coefficients of pair-wise interactions  $h_{\mathsf{xy}}.$  For this purpose, the  $\Delta_{\mathsf{sol}} H^\circ$ versus  $m<sub>2</sub>$  function was approximated by the third-order polynomial equation (2).

$$
\Delta_{sol}H^{\circ} = a_0 + a_1m_2 + a_2m_2^2 + a_3m_2^3 \tag{2}
$$

where  $m_2$  is the molal concentration of amide, and  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  are coefficients calculated by a least-squares method. The correlation coefficient, R, and the Student criterion value,  $t_\alpha$ , ranged from 0.991 to 0.998 and from 0.047 to 0.336, respectively. The calculated values of  $h_{xy}$  for all systems in question are presented in Table 2. The earlier obtained data concerning the interactions of GlyGlyGly with EtOH,

**Table 2**

Enthalpic coefficients of pair-wise interactions, (hxy), between glycylglycylglycine and organic solvents in aqueous solutions at 298.15 K and parameters of organic solvents [23,24].

Solvent	$h_{xy}$ ([kg/mol <sup>2</sup> )	$(\delta^2/1000)_1(V_2/100)$ (I/mol)	$\pi^*$	$\alpha$	β				
FA	$-561 + 16$	0.912	0.97	0.71	0.55				
AN	$-212$ [2]	1.203	0.75	0.19	0.31				
<b>DMSO</b>	220 [1]	1.627	1.00	0.00	0.76				
<b>MFA</b>	$47 + 6$	1.340	0.90	0.62	0.80				
<b>DMF</b>	$183 + 34$	1.775	0.88	0.00	0.69				
EtOH	260[4]	1.339	0.54	0.83	0.77				
$n-ProH$	503 [4]	1.714	0.52	0.78	0.82				
<i>i</i> -PrOH	760 [4]	1.756	0.48	0.76	0.95				
H <sub>2</sub> O			1.09	1.17	0.18				

<span id="page-2-0"></span>PrOH, i-PrOH [4], DMSO [1] and AN [2] are presented in the same place. The values of  $h_{xy}$  are a measure of interactions proceeding between the hydrated molecules of GlyGlyGly and hydrated amide molecules. The total effect is a sum of superimposing endothermic processes of partial dehydration of the hydration shields of reacting substances and exothermic interactions between GlyGlyGly and amide molecules. The positive sign of  $h_{xy}$  values for the aqueous solutions of (MFA, DMF and DEF) shows that the solutes are strongly hydrated by  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  molecules, while the interaction between hydrated GlyGlyGly and co-solvent molecules is weak. On the contrary, a negative value of  $h_{xy}$  for (H<sub>2</sub>O + FA, H<sub>2</sub>O + AN) suggests that the interactions between the co-solvent and the GlyGlyGly molecules prevail over the effects of their dehydration. We have shown before [3,17,18] that the enthalpy coefficients of pair-wise intermolecular interaction of amino acids or peptides with organic co-solvents depend on the properties of these solvents and can be quantitatively expressed in the form of a multi-parameter equation (a modified Kamlet–Taft equation [23,24]). Therefore, to analyse the data of enthalpy coefficients of pair-wise interactions of GlyGlyGly with molecules of different organic solvents in water–organic mixtures, we used the same Eq. (3) which was previously applied to the analysis of analogous data in the similar mixtures for the glycine and glycylglycine [3,6].

$$
h_{xy} = A_0 + A_1 \left(\frac{\delta^2}{1000}\right)_1 \left(\frac{V_2}{100}\right) + A_2(\pi_1^* \pi_2^*) + A_3(\alpha_1 \beta_2) + A_4(\beta_1 \alpha_2)
$$
\n(3)

where  ${\pi_1}^*$  and  ${\pi_2}^*$  are indexes of solvents dipolarity/polarizability of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  and organic co-solvent, respectively (it is the ability of the solvent to stabilize a charge or a dipole by virtue of its dielectric effect);  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are parameters which reflect the solvents hydrogenbond donor acidity (HBD); and  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  are parameters which scale the solvents hydrogen-bond acceptor basicity (HBA), providing a measure of the solvent ability to solubilize the solute by accepting a proton through a hydrogen bond;  $\delta^2/1000$  and  $V_2/100$  are the structural contributions both of water and the co-solvent to the formation of cavities (these parameters are decreased respectively by factors of 1000 and 100 to simplify the estimation of the relative contributions of various parameters to  $h_{xy}$ );  $\delta^2$  is the solubility parameter according to Hildebrandt conception ( $\delta^2$  is proportional to the cohesion energy density) and  $V_2$  is the molar volume of the organic solvent being the ratio between its molecular weight and density (it reflects possible effect of structural factors). The solvent parameters were taken from [23–27], and the calculations were performed according to the IUPAC recommendations in the field of correlation analysis in chemistry. As a result of the calculation, we obtained the Eq. (4).

$$
h_{xy} = 246.87 + 129.98 \left(\frac{\delta^2}{1000}\right)_1 \left(\frac{V_2}{100}\right) - 1195.29(\pi_1^* \pi_2^*)
$$
  
-2943.27( $\alpha_1 \beta_2$ ) + 1155.56( $\beta_1 \alpha_2$ ), (4)

 $R = 0.985, n = 8, t_{\alpha} = 75.87$ 

It can be seen that the increase in polarity, polarizability and HBD of the co-solvent enhances their interaction with the GlyGlyGly molecules. On the other hand, an increase in the molar volume and HBA of the organic co-solvent weakens the pair-wise interactions. Comparing parameters of Eq. (4) with similar parameters in the equations received for glycine [3] and glycylglycine [6], it is possible to note the following: All the variables in these equations coincide by sign. It means that the interparticle interactions of glycine and its oligomers with the same co-solvents have a similar nature. Thus, the basic contribution to the strengthening of the interparticle interaction of glycine and its oligomers with molecules of organic solvents, contributes a polarity/polarizability and HBD ability of co-solvent. However, the values of coefficients of the variables in Eq. (2) are increased in magnitude in the series Gly < GlyGly < GlyGlyGly. It is connected with rising specific solvation of a peptide group and with increasing the molar volume of a peptide molecule as well as with strengthening its donor–acceptor properties.

The rec[eived](#page-1-0) Eq. (3), fairly correlates the enthalpy coefficients of pair-wise interactions of GlyGlyGly with physico-chemical properties of solvents and allows one to forecast the value of  $h_{xy}$  for the GlyGlyGly with solvents for which experimental data are lacking.

# **References**

- [1] V.I. Smirnov, V.G. Badelin, Thermochemistry of dissolution of glycine, glycylglycine and diglycylglycine in a mixed solvent water-dimethyl sulfoxide at 298.15 K, Russ. Biophys. 49 (2004) 375–380.
- [2] V.I. Smirnov, V.G. Badelin, Solvation of glycine and its oligomers in a wateracetonitrile binary solvent at 298.15 K, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 79 (2005) 583–586.
- [3] V.I. Smirnov, V.G. Badelin, The enthalpies of solution of  $DL-\alpha$ -alanine in water–organic solvent mixtures at 298.15 K, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 80 (2006) 672–677.
- [4] V.I. Smirnov, V.G. Badelin, The enthalpies of solution of glycylglycine and diglycylglycine in aqueous alcohols at 298.15 K, Thermochim. Acta 471 (2008) 97–99.
- [5] V.I. Smirnov, V.G. Badelin, Enthalpies of solution of  $DL-\alpha$ -alanyl- $DL-\alpha$ -valine in aqueous solution of amides at 298.15 K, Thermochim. Acta 485 (2009) 72–75.
- [6] V.I. Smirnov, V.G. Badelin, Enthalpies of solution of glycylglycine in water–organic solvent media at 298.15 K, Thermochim. Acta 501 (2010) 55–58.
- [7] B. Palecz, Enthalpies of interaction of glycine with some amides in aqeous solutions at 298.15 K, J. Therm. Anal. 45 (1995) 805–809.
- [8] H. Piekarski, B. Nowicka, Calorimetric studies of interactions of some peptides with electrolytes, urea and ethanol in water at 298.15 K, J. Therm. Anal. 102 (2010) 31–36.
- [9] T.S. Banipal, G. Singh, Densities and partial molar volumes of some amino acids and diglycine in aqueous n-propanol solutions at 25 ◦C, J. Solution Chem. 32 (2003) 997–1015.
- [10] J.F. Reading, G.R. Hedvig, Thermodynamic properties of peptide solutions 4. Partial molar volumes and heat capacities of aqueous solutions of some glycyldipeptides, J. Solution Chem. 18 (1989) 159–172.
- [11] T.S. Banipal, D. Kaur, P. Lai, P.K. Banipul, Densities and viscosities of glycine, DL-a-Alanine, DL-a-Amino-n-butyric acid, and L-leucine in aqueous 1,2propanediol solutions at 298.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 47 (2002) 1391–1395.
- [12] Y. Li, L. Rui-Sen, H. Xin-Gen, X. Gui-Ying, Enthalpic interaction of amino acids with ethanol in aqueous solutions at 25 ◦C, J. Solution Chem. 32 (2003) 273–281.
- [13] A.J. Gordon, R.A. Ford, The Chemist's Companion: A Handbook of Practical Data, Techniques and References, Wiley, New York, 1972.
- [14] V.A. Klimova, Main methods for analysis of organic compounds, Khimiya, Moscow (1967) (in Russian).
- [15] E.V. Ivanov, V.K. Abrosimov, V.I. Smirnov, Enthalpies of solution of 1,1,3,3tetramethylurea in normal and branched alkanols  $(C_2-C_4)$  at 298. 15 K, J. Chem. Thermodyn. 39 (2007) 1614–1619.
- [16] V.G. Badelin, V.I. Smirnov, I.N. Mezhevoi, Dependence of enthalpies of hydration of amino acids and oligopeptides from their molecular structure, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 76 (2002) 1305–1308.
- [17] V.I. Smirnov, V.G. Badelin, The enthalpies of solution of L-a-alanyl-L-a-alanine in water–organic solvent mixtures at 298.15 K, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 82 (2008) 1555–1559.
- [18] V.I. Smirnov, I.N. Mezhevoi, V.G. Badelin, The thermochemical characteristics of  $\mathop{\rm solution}$  of  $\mathop{\rm D}\mathop{\rm L}\nolimits$ - $\alpha$ -alanylglycine and  $\mathop{\rm D}\mathop{\rm L}\nolimits$ - $\alpha$ -alanine in water-organic solvent mixtures at 298.15 K, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. 81 (2007) 1245–1249.
- [19] W.G. McMillan, J.E. Mayer, The statistical thermodynamics of multicomponent systems, J. Chem. Phys. 13 (1945) 276–305.
- [20] J.J. Kozak, W.S. Knight, W. Kauzmann, Solute–solute interactions in aqueous solutions, J. Chem. Phys. 48 (1968) 675–690.
- [21] C.V. Krishnan, H.L. Friedman, Studies of hydrogen bonding in aqueous alcohols: enthalpy measurements and model calculations, J. Solution Chem. 2 (1973) 119–138.
- [22] J.E. Desnoyers, G. Perron, L. Avedikian, J.P. Morel, Enthalpies of the urea-tet/fbutanol-water system at 25 ◦C, J. Solution Chem. 5 (1976) 631–644.
- [23] M.J. Kamlet, J.L.M. Abbout, M.H. Abraham, R.W. Taft, Linear solvation energy relationships. 23. A comprehensive collection of the solvalochromic paramenters, pi.alfa, and bets, and some methods for simplifying the generalized solvalochromic equation, J. Org. Chem. 48 (1983) 2877–2887.
- [24] M.J. Kamlet, R.M. Doherty, M.H. Abraham, et al., Linear solvation energy relationships. 41. Important differences between aqueous solubility relationships for aliphatic and aromatic solutes, J. Phys. Chem. 91 (1987) 1996–2004.
- [25] M.J. Kamlet, R.W. Taft, The solvatochromic comparison method. I. The,. beta. -scale of solvent hydrogen-bond acceptor (HBA) basicities, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98 (1976) 377–383.
- [26] M.J. Kamlet, J.L.M. Abboud, R.W. Taft, The solvatochromic comparison method.
- 6. The. pi.\* scale of solvent polarities, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99 (1977) 6027–6038. [27] R.W. Taft, J.L.M. Abboud, M.J. Kamlet, M.H. Abraham, Linear solvation energy relations, J. Solution Chem. 14 (1985) 153–156.