STANDARD ENTHALPIES OF FORMATION OF n-PROPYLCHLOROFORMATE AND BENZYL CHLOROFORMATE VIA SOLUTION CALORIMETRY

ARTHUR FINCH and KARIMA M.M. SALEM *

Department of Chemistry, The Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, University of London, Egham Hill, Egham TW20 0EX (Gt. Britain) (Received 27 October 1986)

ABSTRACT

Enthalpies of hydrolysis in aqueous sodium hydroxide of 1:4 dioxan solutions of n-propylchloroformate and of benzylchloroformate at 298.15 K have been measured using an isoperibol calorimeter. Values of standard enthalpies of formation at 298.15 K of -533.40 ± 0.76 and -375.24 ± 1.09 kJ mol⁻¹ have been calculated for C₃H₇OCOCl(1) and C₆H₅CH₂OCOCl(1), respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Alkyl and aryl chloroformates, ROCOCl, are readily synthesized [1,2] and numerous kinetic studies, in both aqueous and non-aqueous media, have been reported [3,4]. Thermochemical data, however, are sparse [5] and none exist for the title compounds.

EXPERIMENTAL

Reagents

A commercial sample (Koch-Light) of n-propylchloroformate was distilled under dry nitrogen using a 60 cm³ fractionating column. The middle fraction boiled at 114.2°C (literature value [6] is 114.4–114.5°C). Titrimetric estimation of chlorine after aqueous hydrolysis indicated a purity of ca. 99.8%.

^{*} Present address: Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar (Girls) University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt.

Samples of both compounds were stored under nitrogen in desiccators.

Apparatus and method

A commercial calorimeter (LKB-8700 Precision Calorimeter System) with a 100 cm³ calorimeter vessel at 298.15 K was used throughout. The system was periodically and successfully checked using the neutralization of tris-hydroxymethylaminomethane (THAM) in aqueous hydrochloric acid, and the solution of THAM in aqueous sodium hydroxide, as test reactions [8] for, respectively, exothermic and endothermic processes.

Calorimetric reaction

Although chloroformates are quantitatively hydrolysed in aqueous base to chloride, carbonate, and the appropriate alcohol, their limited aqueous miscibility renders the reaction too slow for isoperibol calorimetry. Hence, known masses of chloroformates were pre-dissolved in known masses of rigorously-dried 1:4 dioxan (D) in ampoules. Subsequent reaction, using excess NaOH (1 mol 1^{-1}) as calorimetric liquid, was satisfactorily rapid; typically $t_{1/2} \leq 2$ min. The calorimetric reaction is hence

$$[ROCOCl \cdot xD](l) + [(y+3)NaOH](aq)$$

$$\rightarrow [ROH + NACl + Na_2CO_3 + xD + yNaOH](aq)$$
(1)

RESULTS

If the enthalpy change associated with the calorimetric reaction is Q, then $Q = n'\Delta H_1^{\oplus} + n''\Delta H_2^{\oplus}$, where n' and n'' are the number of moles of chloroformate and D, respectively, and ΔH_1^{\oplus} and ΔH_2^{\oplus} are the molar enthalpy changes corresponding to the hydrolysis of chloroformate and the dissolution of dioxan, respectively, each in aqueous sodium hydroxide. Separate calorimetric measurements were made for the latter and are collated in Table 1. No significant dilution effect is apparent, and we hence report $\Delta H_2^{\oplus} = -9.475 \pm 0.003$ kJ mol⁻¹. Collated results for the calorimetric reaction are given in Table 2, whence we derive, for n-propylchloroformate and benzylchloroformate, respectively, $\Delta H_1^{\oplus} = -228.89 \pm 0.31$ and $\Delta H_1^{\oplus} = -178.79 \pm 0.71$, kJ mol⁻¹. Additional ancillary solubility measurements in 1.0 M NaOH are collated in Table 3 and include: sodium

TABLE 1

Enthalpy of solution of 1:4 dioxan in aqueous NaOH (100 cm³, 1.0 M) at 298.15 K

1:4 dioxan (g)	n ^a	$-\Delta H_2^{\oplus}$ (kJ mol ⁻¹)		
0.72134	679	9.4781		
0.53641	913	9.475		
0.47499	1031	9.473		
0.48350	1013	9.475		

^a Mole ratio, solvent to solute.

TABLE 2

Enthalpies of hydrolysis of benzyl chloroformate (a) and of n-propyl chloroformate (b) in 1:4 dioxan, and solution by excess sodium hydroxide (100 cm³, 1 mol l^{-1}) at 298.15 K (D)

a (g)	D (g)	$-Q_{h}(J)$	$\frac{-\Delta H_1^{\oplus}}{(\text{kJ mol}^{-1})^{\text{a}}}$	b (g)	D (g)	$-Q_{h}(J)$	$\frac{-\Delta H_1^{\oplus}}{(\text{kJ mol}^{-1})^{\text{b}}}$
0.02562	0.58219	89.328	177.902	0.09685	0.61016	108.7	228.62
0.01806	0.53555	76.521	179.126	0.07385	0.40028	137.9	228.88
0.02347	0.63918	93.342	178.910	0.11761	0.34370	64.95	229.10
0.01927	0.52110	76.242	178.909	0.03476	0.57829	156.7	228.96
0.02576	0.66299	98.360	179.125				

^a Mean = $-178.79 \pm 0.71 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.

^b Mean = -228.89 ± 0.31 kJ mol⁻¹.

carbonate, sodium chloride, ΔH_3^{\oplus} and ΔH_4^{\oplus} , respectively, and n-propanol and benzyl alcohol, ΔH_5^{\oplus} and ΔH_5^{\oplus} , respectively. Solution measurements in 1:4 dioxan for n-propylchloroformate and benzylchloroformate are ΔH_6^{\oplus} and ΔH_6^{\oplus} , respectively.

Ancillary values of standard enthalpies of formation (kJ mol⁻¹, 298.15 K) taken from standard sources [9–11] are: NaCl(c), -411.270 ± 0.109 ; Na₂CO₃(c), -1129.18 ± 0.23 ; NaOH(c), -425.576 ± 0.079 ; H₂O(l), -285.830 ± 0.042 ; C₃H₇OH(l), -302.70 ± 0.60 ; C₆H₅CH₂OH(l), -114.80 ± 0.70 ; ΔH_{sol}^{\oplus} (NaOH, 1 mol 1⁻¹) = -42.480 ± 0.010 .

Values of the standard enthalpies of formation were then calculated as follows: *

$$\Delta H_{f}^{\oplus} (C_{3}H_{7}OCOCl)(l) = \Delta H_{f}^{\oplus} (C_{3}H_{7}OH)(l) + \Delta H_{5}^{\oplus} + \Delta H_{f}^{\oplus} \operatorname{NaCl}(c) + \Delta H_{4}^{\oplus} + \Delta H_{f}^{\oplus} \operatorname{Na}_{2}CO_{3}(c) + \Delta H_{3}^{\oplus} + \Delta H_{f}^{\oplus} \operatorname{H}_{2}O(l) - 3[\Delta H_{f}^{\oplus} \operatorname{NaOH}(c) + \Delta H_{sol}^{\oplus} (\operatorname{NaOH}, c, 1 \operatorname{mol} l^{-1})](aq) - \Delta H_{6}^{\oplus} - \Delta H_{1}^{\oplus} = -533.40 \pm 0.76 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$
(2)

^{*} Confidence values are calculated using Student's t values at the 95% level.

	ΔH_6	(I)
	$-\Delta H_5$	(II)
lean enthalpies of solution at 298.15 K	ΔH_4	()
Mean enthalpics o	$-\Delta H_3$	U U

TABLE 3

	8
$-\Delta H'_{\xi}$ (J)	1.52 ± 0.01 $204 \le n \le 218$ 4
ΔH_{5}^{\prime} (J)	0.49 ± 0.01 $1685 \le n \le 2183$ 4
ΔH_6 (J)	0.90 ± 0.056 $211 \leqslant n \leqslant 723$ 4
$-\Delta H_5$ (J)	8.45 ± 0.13 $1044 \leqslant n \leqslant 7669$ 6
ΔH_4 (J)	2.73 ± 0.02 619 $\leqslant n \leqslant 1186$ 3
$-\Delta H_3$ (J)	27.86 ± 0.31 $2034 \leqslant n \leqslant 4001^{a}$ 4^{b}

^a Mole ratio, solvent to solute. ^b Number of measurements.

$$\Delta H_{f}^{\oplus} (C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2}OCOCl)(l) = \Delta H_{f}^{\oplus} (C_{6}H_{5}OH)(l) + \Delta H_{5}^{\oplus} + \Delta H_{f}^{\oplus} NaCl(c)$$

$$+ \Delta H_{4}^{\oplus} + H_{f}^{0}Na_{2}CO_{3}(c) + \Delta H_{3}^{\oplus} + \Delta H_{f}^{\oplus}H_{2}O(l) - 3[\Delta H_{f}^{\oplus}NaOH(c)$$

$$+ \Delta H_{sol}^{\oplus} (NaOH, 1 \text{ mol } l^{-1})]\Delta H_{6}^{\oplus} - \Delta H_{1}^{\oplus}$$

$$= -376.24 \pm 1.09 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$
(3)

Values of gas-phase enthalpies and bond energy calculations rely on accurate values of enthalpies of vaporization, and will be presented elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge a financial award from the Egyptian Government (K.M.M.S.) and helpful discussion from Mr. H. Davies.

REFERENCES

- 1 F. Strain and W.F. Newton, U.S. Patent 2 (1949) 476.
- 2 V.A. Pattison, J. Org. Chem., 31 (1966) 954.
- 3 E.W. Crunden and R.F. Hudson, J. Chem. Soc., (1961) 3748.
- 4 A. Queen, Can. J. Chem., 45 (1967) 1619.
- 5 R.H. Davies and A. Finch, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 12 (1980) 291.
- 6 C.S. Hamilton and C. Sly, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 47 (1925) 433.
- 7 N.V. Kakika, Chem. Abs., 69 (1968) 83205u.
- 8 E.J. Prosen and M.V. Kilday, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., Sect. A, 578 (1973) 77.
- 9 F.D. Rossini, D.D. Wagman, W.H. Evans, S. Levine and I. Jaffe, Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties, U.S. National Bureau of Standards Circular 500, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1952.
- 10 J.D. Cox and G. Pilcher, Thermochemistry of Organic and Organometallic Compounds, Academic Press, London, 1978.
- 11 CODATA Recommended key values for thermodynamics, 1975, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 603 (1976).