

## ON BOUNDED FUNCTIONS SATISFYING AVERAGING CONDITIONS. II

BY

ROTRAUT GOUBAU CAHILL

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $S(f)$  denote the subspace of  $L^\infty(R^n)$  consisting of those real valued functions  $f$  for which

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} f(y) dy = f(x)$$

for all  $x$  in  $R^n$  and let  $L(f)$  be the subspace of  $S(f)$  consisting of the approximately continuous functions. A number of results concerning the existence of functions in  $S(f)$  and  $L(f)$  with special properties are obtained. The extreme points of the unit balls of both spaces are characterized and it is shown that  $L(f)$  is not a dual space. As a preliminary step, it is shown that if  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$  set of measure 0 in  $R^n$ , then the complement of  $E$  can be decomposed into a collection of closed sets in a particularly useful way.

**Introduction.** Let  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  denote the space of all real valued  $L^\infty(R^n)$  functions. If  $f$  is in  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  and if  $E$  is a measurable subset of  $R^n$ , let  $J(f, E)$  denote  $\int_E f$ . For each  $f$  in  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  define:

$$L(f) = \left\{ x \in R^n \mid \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (J(|f - f(x)|, B(x, r)) / |B(x, r)|) = 0 \right\}$$

where  $B(x, r) = \{y \in R^n \mid |y - x| < r\}$ , i.e.  $L(f)$  is the Lebesgue set of  $f$ .

$$S(f) = \left\{ x \in R^n \mid \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (J(f, B(x, r)) / |B(x, r)|) = f(x) \right\}.$$

Let  $S(n, T)$  be the subspace of  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  consisting of those functions  $f$  for which  $S(f) = R^n$ , and let  $L(n, T)$  be the subspace of  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  consisting of those functions for which  $L(f) = R^n$ .

A function  $f$  in  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  is defined to be approximately continuous at  $x$  if  $x$  is a point of density of  $\{y \mid |f(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon\}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . It is easy to see that  $L(n, T)$  consists precisely of those functions in  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  which are approximately continuous at each point of  $R^n$ . An example of a function which is in  $S(n, T)$  but not in  $L(n, T)$  is the function

Received by the editors March 12, 1974.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 41A30, 46A20, 46A90.

*Key words and phrases.* Approximately continuous, extreme points,  $G_\delta$  sets of measure 0 in  $R^n$ .

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin(1/|x|^n), & x \neq 0, \\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

The same example shows that  $S(n, T)$  is not an algebra, whereas it is readily shown that  $L(n, T)$  is an algebra.

In this paper a number of results will be obtained about the existence of functions in  $S(n, T)$  and  $L(n, T)$  which have special properties. The extreme points of the unit balls of these spaces will also be characterized. In the case of  $L(n, T)$  it will be shown that there are only two such extreme points.

The proofs depend primarily on the fact that if  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of measure 0 contained in  $R^n$ , then  $E'$ , the complement of  $E$ , can be decomposed in a special way into a collection of closed sets  $\{\Phi_\lambda\}_{\lambda>1}$  so that the function  $\mu$  defined in  $R^n$  by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in E, \\ 1/\inf_\lambda \{\lambda | x \in \Phi_\lambda\}, & x \notin E, \end{cases}$$

is approximately continuous and has a number of other useful properties. It will first be shown how to obtain such a decomposition of  $E'$ . The procedure used generalizes a method developed by Zygmunt Zahorski for obtaining a decomposition of the complement of a  $G_\delta$  set of measure 0 contained in the open interval  $(0, 1)$  [2].

The work presented here was done as part of a Ph.D. thesis under the guidance of Professor Lee Rubel of the University of Illinois.

#### Inverse Zahorski functions.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two bounded measurable subsets of  $R^n$  with measures  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  respectively. Suppose that  $M_2$  is a closed subset of  $M_1$  consisting only of points of density of  $M_1$ . Then for every positive number  $p$ , there is a closed set  $M_p$  with  $M_2 \subset M_p \subset M_1$  satisfying:*

(1) *Every point of  $M_2$  is a point of density of  $M_p$  and every point of  $M_p$  is a point of density of  $M_1$ .*

(2)  $|M_p| \geq u_2 + (1 - 2^{-1-p})(u_1 - u_2)$ .

(3) *Let  $x \in M_2$ , and let  $\epsilon$  be an arbitrary number in  $(0, 1)$ . If  $r$  is any positive number for which  $(|M_1 \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)|) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ , then*

$$(|M_p \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)|) \geq 1 - \epsilon - 2^{-m-p+c_n}$$

*for every positive integer  $m$  for which  $r \leq 1/m$ , where  $c_n$  is a constant which depends only on the dimension  $n$ .*

PROOF. H. Whitney has shown that since  $M_2$  is closed,  $M'_2$  is a countable union of closed cubes  $Q_k$  with disjoint interiors, where these cubes may be chosen so that the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $\text{diam } Q_k \leq \text{dist}(Q_k, M_2) \leq 4 \text{ diam } Q_k$ .
- (2) If  $Q_k^*$  is the cube with the same center as  $Q_k$  and expanded by a factor  $1 + \epsilon$  ( $0 < \epsilon < 1/4$ ,  $\epsilon$  fixed), then  $Q_k^*$  is contained in the union of all the cubes which touch  $Q_k$ .
- (3) For each cube  $Q_k$  there are at most  $N = (12)^n$  cubes which touch  $Q_k$  [1, pp. 167–169].

A cube  $Q_k$  will be said to be of class  $m$ ,  $m$  a positive integer, if either  $(1/(m + 1)) < \text{diam } Q_k \leq (1/m)$  or  $m < \text{diam } Q_k \leq m + 1$ . If  $Q_k$  is of class  $m$  and if  $|Q_k \cap M_1| > 0$ , let  $F_k$  be a closed subset of  $Q_k \cap M_1$  consisting only of points of density of  $M_1$ , with  $|F_k| \geq |Q_k \cap M_1|(1 - 2^{-m-p})$ . Set  $M_p = M_2 \cup_k F_k$ .

It will be shown that  $M_p$  satisfies all the required conditions. First,  $M_p$  is closed, for if  $\{q_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  is a convergent sequence in  $M_p$ , say  $q_m \rightarrow q$ , and if  $q \notin M_2$ , then  $q$  is in some cube  $Q_s$  and some neighborhood of  $q$  is contained in  $Q_s^*$ . Since  $Q_s^*$  is contained in the union of at most  $N$  cubes  $Q_k$ , this neighborhood is contained in the union of at most  $N$  cubes. Thus for  $m$  sufficiently large, say  $m \geq M$ ,  $\{q_m\}_{m \geq M}$  is contained in at most  $N$  of the sets  $F_k$ . Since this union is closed,  $q$  is in some  $F_k$  and hence  $M_p$  is closed.

By construction, each point of  $M_p$  is a point of density of  $M_1$ . It will now be shown that each point of  $M_2$  is a point of density of  $M_p$ . The proof will be such that (3) will be proved simultaneously.

Let  $x$  be in  $M_2$ . Let  $\epsilon$  be an arbitrary number in  $(0, 1)$  and let  $m$  be an arbitrary positive integer. Since, by assumption,  $x$  is a point of density of  $M_1$ , there is a  $0 < \delta \leq 1/m$  such that for  $r \leq \delta$ ,  $(|B(x, r) \cap M_1|/|B(x, r)|) > 1 - \epsilon$ . Set

$$d(x, r) = (|M_1 \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)|) - (|M_p \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)|).$$

It will be shown that  $d(x, r) \leq 2^{-m-p+cn}$ . From this it follows that  $(|M_p \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)|) > 1 - \epsilon - 2^{-p+cn-m}$ , which verifies (1) since  $\epsilon$  and  $m$  were arbitrary.

The proof that  $d(x, r) \leq 2^{-m-p+cn}$  will depend only on the fact that  $m$  is a positive integer for which  $r < 1/m$ . Thus (3) will also be proved.

Let  $K$  be the set of all integers for which  $Q_k$  has nonempty intersection with the boundary of  $B(x, r)$  and set

$$A = \bigcup_{k \in K} Q_k; \quad A_1 = A \cap B(x, r); \quad A_2 = A \cap B(x, r)';$$

$$\xi = |M_p \cap A|/|M_1 \cap A| \text{ if } |M_1 \cap A| > 0, \quad \xi = 1 \text{ if } |M_1 \cap A| = 0;$$

$$\xi_1 = |M_p \cap A_1|/|M_1 \cap A_1| \text{ if } |M_1 \cap A_1| > 0, \quad \xi_1 = 1 \text{ if } |M_1 \cap A_1| = 0;$$

$\xi_2 = |M_p \cap A_2|/|M_1 \cap A_2|$  if  $|M_1 \cap A_2| > 0$ ,  $\xi_2 = 1$  if  $|M_1 \cap A_2| = 0$ .

We have

$$d(x, r) = (1/|B(x, r)|) \left\{ \sum_{Q_k \subset B(x, r)} |(Q_k \cap M_1) - F_k| + |(M_1 - M_p) \cap A_1| \right\}.$$

Since  $\text{diam } Q_k < \text{dist}(Q_k, M_2) \leq r < 1/m$  for each cube  $Q_k$  which intersects  $B(x, r)$ ,  $|(Q_k \cap M_1) - F_k| < 2^{-m-p}|Q_k \cap M_1|$ , and thus

$$d(x, r) \leq (1/|B(x, r)|) \left\{ 2^{-m-p} \sum_{Q_k \subset B(x, r)} |Q_k \cap M_1| + |(M_1 - M_p) \cap A_1| \right\}.$$

Thus if  $|M_1 \cap A_1| = 0$ ,  $d(x, r) \leq 2^{-m-p}$ .

Suppose  $|M_1 \cap A_1| > 0$ . Observe that

$$d(x, r) \leq (1/|B(x, r)|) \left\{ 2^{-m-p} \sum_{Q_k \subset B(x, r)} |Q_k \cap M_1| + (1 - \xi_1)|M_1 \cap A_1| \right\}.$$

The object of the calculations which follow is to show that  $1 - \xi_1 \leq 2^{-m-p}\{1 + (|A_2|/|M_1 \cap A_1|)\}$ .

By solving the equation  $\xi|M_1 \cap A| = \xi_1|M_1 \cap A_1| + \xi_2|M_1 \cap A_2|$  for  $\xi_1$  and observing that  $|M_1 \cap A_2| = |M_1 \cap A_1| + |M_1 \cap A_2|$ , we obtain

$$\xi_1 = \xi - (\xi_2|M_1 \cap A_2| - \xi|M_1 \cap A_2|)/|M_1 \cap A_1|.$$

Since  $|F_k| \geq |Q_k \cap M_1|(1 - 2^{-m-p})$  for each  $Q_k$  which intersects  $B(x, r)$ ,  $|M_p \cap A| \geq (1 - 2^{-m-p})|M_1 \cap A|$  and  $\xi > 1 - 2^{-m-p}$ . Thus

$$\xi_1 > 1 - 2^{-m-p} - \{(\xi_2 - (1 - 2^{-m-p}))/|M_1 \cap A_1|\}|M_1 \cap A_2|.$$

Since  $0 < \xi_2 \leq 1$  and  $|M_1 \cap A_2| \leq |A_2|$ ,

$$\xi_1 > 1 - 2^{-m-p} - 2^{-m-p}|A_2|/|M_1 \cap A_1|,$$

and

$$1 - \xi_1 \leq 2^{-m-p}\{1 + (|A_2|/|M_1 \cap A_1|)\}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, r) &\leq 2^{-m-p}\{1 + \{ |M_1 \cap A_1| (1 + (|A_2|/|M_1 \cap A_1|)) \}/|B(x, r)|\} \\ &\leq 2^{-m-p}\{2 + (|A_2|/|B(x, r)|)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{diam } Q_k \leq r$  for each  $Q_k$  which intersects  $B(x, r)$ ,  $A_2 \subset B(x, 2r) - B(x, r)$ . Thus,

$$(|A_2|/|B(x, r)|) \leq (1/|B(x, r)|)(|B(x, 2r)| - |B(x, r)|).$$

The number  $d_n = (1/|B(x, r)|)(|B(x, 2r)| - |B(x, r)|)$  depends only on  $n$  and  $d(x, r) \leq 2^{-m-p}(2 + d_n) \leq 2^{-m-p+c_n}$ , where  $2^{c_n} = 2 + d_n$ . Therefore, (1) and (3) both hold.

Finally,

$$|M_p| = |M_2| + \sum_k |F_k| \geq u_2 + \sum_k |Q_k \cap M_1|(1 - 2^{-m-p})$$

$$> u_2 + (1 - 2^{-1-p}) \sum_k |Q_k \cap M_1| = u_2 + (1 - 2^{-1-p})(u_1 - u_2),$$

so that (2) also holds. Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY 1.** *For each  $G_\delta$  set  $E$ , of measure 0 in  $R^n$ , there is an increasing sequence of compact sets  $\{F_k\}_{k>1}$  with  $|\Phi_k| > k$  such that  $E' = \bigcup_k \Phi_k$  and  $|B(x, r) \cap \Phi_{k+1}|/|B(x, r)| > 1 - 2^{-m-k+c_n}$  whenever  $x \in \Phi_k$  and  $r \leq 1/m$ ,  $m$  a positive integer.*

**PROOF.** Since  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$  of measure 0, there exists an increasing sequence of closed sets  $\{F_k\}_{k>1}$  with  $E' = \bigcup_{k>1} F_k$ . Let  $\{a_k\}_{k>1}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers for which  $|B(0, a_k)| > (1/(1 - 2^{-k})) \cdot (k - 2^{-k})$  and for which  $a_{k+1} - a_k$  is greater than 1 for all  $k$ . Let  $P_1$  be any closed subset of  $E' \cap B(0, a_1)$  for which  $|P_1| > 1$  and set  $\Phi_1 = P_1 \cup (F_1 \cap B(0, a_1))$ .

Since  $\Phi_1 \subset E' \cap B(0, a_2)$  and  $|\Phi_1| + (1 - 2^{-2})(|B(0, a_2)| - |\Phi_1|) > 2$ , the preceding lemma implies that there is a closed set  $P_2$  of measure greater than 2 with  $\Phi_1 \subset P_2 \subset E' \cap B(0, a_2)$  which satisfies conditions (1), (2) and (3) of the lemma, with  $M_2 = \Phi_1, M_1 = E' \cap B(0, a_2)$  and  $p = 1$ .

For each  $x$  in  $\Phi_1$  and  $r < 1, B(x, r) \subset B(0, a_2)$  since  $a_2 - a_1 > 1$ . Thus  $|E' \cap B(0, a_2) \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)| = 1$  and by (3) of Lemma 4.1,

$$|P_2 \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)| \geq 1 - 2^{-m-1+c_n}$$

for every positive integer  $m$  such that  $r \leq 1/m$ . Set  $\Phi_2 = P_2 \cup (F_2 \cap B(0, a_2))$ .

Continue inductively. Having defined  $\Phi_k$  for  $k \leq s$  so that  $\Phi_k \subset F_k \cap B(0, a_k), |\Phi_k| > k$  and  $|\Phi_k \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)| > 1 - 2^{-m-(k-1)+c_n}$  for  $x \in \Phi_{k-1}$  and  $r \leq 1/m$ , let  $P_{s+1}$  be a closed set of measure greater than  $s + 1$  for which  $\Phi_s \subset P_{s+1} \subset E' \cap B(0, a_{s+1})$  and for which (1), (2) and (3) of Lemma 4.1 hold with  $M_2 = \Phi_s, p = s$  and  $M_1 = E' \cap B(0, a_{s+1})$ . Since  $|E' \cap B(0, a_{s+1}) \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)|$  equals 1 for each  $x$  in  $\Phi_s$  and  $r < 1$ , (3) of the lemma implies that  $|P_{s+1} \cap B(x, r)|/|B(x, r)| > 1 - 2^{-s-m+c_n}$  for each positive integer  $m$  for which  $r < 1/m$ . Set  $\Phi_{s+1} = P_{s+1} \cup (F_{s+1} \cap B(0, a_{s+1}))$ .

The sequence  $\{\Phi_k\}_{k>1}$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Q.E.D.

We observe that by suitable choice of  $a_1$  and  $P_1, \Phi_1$  can be made to contain a specified compact subset of  $E'$ .

If  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$  set of measure 0 in  $R^n$ , an increasing sequence of compact subsets of  $R^n$  satisfying the conditions of Corollary 1 will be called a Zahorski sequence for  $E$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $E$  be a  $G_\delta$  set of measure 0 in  $R^n$ . There exists a real valued, measurable function  $u$  defined on  $R^n$  having the following properties:*

- (1)  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ .
- (2)  $u$  is 0 precisely on  $E$ .
- (3)  $u$  is continuous at each point of  $E$ .
- (4) For every  $x_0$  in  $R^n$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $r > 0$  such that  $u(x) \leq (1/(1 - \epsilon))u(x_0)$  whenever  $x$  is in  $B(x_0, r)$ .
- (5) Every  $x$  in  $R^n$  is a Lebesgue point of  $u$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\{\Phi_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be a Zahorski sequence for  $E$ . A closed set  $\Phi_r$  will now be defined for each number  $r$  of the form  $m/2^s$ , where  $m$  and  $s$  are positive integers and  $m > 2^s$ . These closed sets will satisfy these two conditions:

- (a)  $\Phi_{s'} \subset \Phi_s$  if  $s > s'$ ,
- (b)  $\Phi_{s'}$  consists only of points of density of  $\Phi_s$  if  $s > s'$ .

For each odd integer  $k > 2$ ,  $k = 2m + 1$ , let  $\Phi_{k/2}$  be a closed set with  $\Phi_m \subset \Phi_{k/2} \subset \Phi_{m+1}$  and  $|\Phi_{k/2}| > \frac{1}{2}(|\Phi_{m+1}| + |\Phi_m|)$ , for which every point of  $\Phi_m$  is a point of density of  $\Phi_{k/2}$  and every point of  $\Phi_{k/2}$  is a point of density of  $\Phi_{m+1}$ . Such a set exists by Lemma 1. Having defined  $\Phi_{r/2^k}$  for all  $r > 2^k$  and all  $k \leq s$ , let  $\Phi_{r/2^{s+1}}$ ,  $r > 2^{s+1}$ ,  $r = 2t + 1$ , be a closed set with

$$\Phi_{t/2^s} \subset \Phi_{r/2^{s+1}} \subset \Phi_{(t+1)/2^s} \quad \text{and} \quad |\Phi_{r/2^{s+1}}| > \frac{1}{2}(|\Phi_{(t+1)/2^s}|),$$

for which each point of  $\Phi_{t/2^s}$  is a point of density of  $\Phi_{r/2^{s+1}}$  and each point of  $\Phi_{r/2^{s+1}}$  is a point of density of  $\Phi_{(t+1)/2^s}$ .

Now let  $\lambda$  be any real number greater than or equal to 1 and define  $\Phi_\lambda = \bigcap_{m > \lambda 2^k} \Phi_{m/2^k}$ . The collection of closed sets  $\{\Phi_\lambda\}_{\lambda > 1}$  also satisfies (a) and (b).

Define the function  $u$  on  $R^n$  by

$$u(p) = \begin{cases} 1/\inf\{\lambda | p \in \Phi_\lambda\} & \text{if } p \notin E, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \in E. \end{cases}$$

Properties (1) and (2) from the statement of the theorem follow immediately from the definition of  $u$ . (3)–(5) will now be verified.

Let  $p$  be in  $E$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. If  $r$  is less than  $\text{dist}(p, \Phi_{1/\epsilon})$ , then  $B(p, r) \cap \Phi_{1/\epsilon}$  is empty and  $u(x)$  is less than  $\epsilon$  for  $x$  in  $B(p, r)$ . Thus  $u$  is continuous on  $E$ .

Let  $x_0$  be in  $E'$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. If  $r$  is less than  $\text{dist}(x_0, \Phi_{(1-\epsilon)/u(x_0)})$ , then  $u(x) \leq u(x_0)/(1 - \epsilon)$  for all  $x$  in  $B(x_0, r)$ . Thus (4) holds. This property ensures that  $u$  is measurable.

Since  $u$  is continuous on  $E$ , (5) holds for every  $x$  in  $E$ . Let  $x_0$  be in  $E'$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Since  $x_0$  is in  $\Phi_{(1+\epsilon/2)/u(x_0)}$ ,  $x_0$  is a point of density of  $\Phi_{(1+\epsilon)/u(x_0)}$  and thus of  $\{y | u(y) \geq u(x_0)/(1+\epsilon)\}$ . This, together with (4) and the boundedness of  $u$ , yields (5).

Thus  $u$  satisfies all the required conditions. Q.E.D.

If  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$  set of measure 0 in  $R^n$ , a collection of closed sets  $\{\Phi_\lambda\}_{\lambda>1}$ , constructed in the manner of the first part of the proof of this last theorem, will be called a Zahorski collection for  $E$ . The function

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} 1/\inf_\lambda \{\lambda | x \in \Phi_\lambda\}, & x \notin E, \\ 0, & x \in E, \end{cases}$$

will be called the corresponding inverse Zahorski function.

**Applications to  $S(n, T)$  and  $L(n, T)$ .** An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$  set of measure 0 in  $R^n$ , then there is a function in  $L(n, T)$  of norm 1 which vanishes precisely on  $E$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $u$  be an inverse Zahorski function for  $E$ .  $u$  has norm 1 and vanishes precisely on  $E$ . Since, in addition, every point of  $R^n$  is a Lebesgue point of  $u$ ,  $u$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Q.E.D.

If  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$  of measure 0 contained in  $R^n$  and if  $F$  is a compact subset of  $E'$ , then it is possible to find a Zahorski collection  $\{\Phi_\lambda\}_{\lambda>1}$  for  $E$  for which  $F$  is a subset of  $\Phi_1$ . The corresponding inverse Zahorski function has norm 1, is 0 on  $E$  and identically 1 on  $F$ . Since every point of  $R^n$  is a Lebesgue point of  $u$ ,  $u$  is in  $L(n, T)$ . We therefore also have

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $E$  is a  $G_\delta$  of measure 0 in  $R^n$  and if  $F$  is a compact subset of  $R^n$ , disjoint from  $E$ , then there is a function of norm 1 in  $L(n, T)$  which is 0 at each point of  $E$  and 1 at each point of  $F$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $\{w_k\}_{k>1}$  is an arbitrary sequence of distinct points in  $R^n$  and if  $\{a_k\}_{k>1}$  is an absolutely summable sequence of real numbers, then there is a function  $g$  in  $L(n, T)$  for which  $g(w_k) = a_k$  for all  $k$ .*

**PROOF.** For each  $i$ , let  $S_i$  be a  $G_\delta$  of measure 0 containing  $\{w_k\}_{k>1} - \{w_i\}$  and not containing  $w_i$ . Let  $u_i$  be an inverse Zahorski function for  $S_i$  for which  $u_i(w_i) = 1$ .

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k| < \infty$  and  $\|u_i\|_\infty = 1$  for all  $i$ , every point of  $R^n$  is a Lebesgue point of the function  $g = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k u_k$ . Thus  $g$  is in  $L(n, T)$ . Since  $u_i(w_k) = \delta_{ik}$ ,  $g(w_k) = a_k$  for every  $k$ . Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $\{w_k\}_{k>1}$  is a convergent sequence of distinct points of*

$R^n$  with limit  $w \neq w_k$  any  $k$  and if  $\{a_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  is an arbitrary sequence of 0's and 1's, then there is a function  $g$  in  $L(n, T)$ , with  $\|g\|_\infty = 1$ , for which  $g(w_k) = a_k$  for all  $k$ .

The proof is similar to that of Corollary 2.

LEMMA 2. Let  $f$  be in  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  and let  $E$  be a  $G_\delta$  of measure 0 containing  $\{x \mid x \notin L(f)\}$ . If  $u$  is an inverse Zahorski function for  $E$ , then  $uf$  is in  $L(n, T)$ .

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that  $L(uf) = R^n$ . If  $x$  is in  $E$ ,  $u(x) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} J(|uf - u(x)f(x)|, B(x, r))/|B(x, r)| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} J(|u|, B(x, r))/|B(x, r)| = 0.$$

If  $x \notin E$ , then  $x$  is a Lebesgue point of both  $u$  and  $f$  and so also for the product. Q.E.D.

Thus every function in  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  can be multiplied by a suitable inverse Zahorski function so that the product is in  $L(n, T)$ .

THEOREM 4. If  $f$  is in  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  and if  $F$  is a compact subset of the Lebesgue points of  $f$ , then there is a function in  $L(n, T)$  whose restriction to  $F$  is  $f$ .

PROOF. Let  $E$  be a  $G_\delta$  of measure 0 disjoint from  $F$ , which contains  $\{x \in R^n \mid x \notin L(f)\}$ . Let  $\{\Phi_\lambda\}_{\lambda > 1}$  be a Zahorski collection for  $E$  with  $F \subset \Phi_1$  and let  $u$  be the corresponding inverse Zahorski function.  $uf$  is the required function. Q.E.D.

Consequently  $L(n, T)$  is locally dense in measure in  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$ , i.e. if  $F$  is a compact subset of  $R^n$ , then there is a sequence of functions in  $L(n, T)$  which converges in measure to  $f$  on  $F$ .

Lemma 2 may be applied to characterize the extreme points of the unit ball of  $S(n, T)$ .

THEOREM 5.  $F$  is an extreme point of the unit ball of  $S(n, T)$  if and only if  $|F| = 1$  a.e.

PROOF. If  $|F| = 1$  a.e., then  $F$  is an extreme point of the unit ball of  $L_R^\infty(R^n)$  and hence also of  $S(n, T)$ . Conversely, suppose  $F$  fails to have modulus 1 at each point of some subset of  $R^n$  of positive measure. Let  $E$  be a  $G_\delta$  of measure 0 in  $R^n$  containing  $\{x \in R^n \mid x \notin L(1 - |F|)\}$ . Let  $u$  be an inverse Zahorski function for  $E$ . By Lemma 5.1,  $u(1 - |F|)$  is in  $L(n, T)$  and so in  $S(n, T)$ . Since  $u(1 - |F|) \leq 1 - |F|$ ,  $\|u(1 - |F|) - F\|_\infty \leq 1$  and  $\|u(1 - |F|) + F\|_\infty \leq 1$  so that  $F$  is not extreme. Q.E.D.

It is easy to see that the same result holds for the unit ball of  $L(n, T)$ , i.e.  $F$  is an extreme point of the unit ball of  $L(n, T)$  if and only if  $|F| = 1$  a.e. If



$|F| = 1$  a.e., then  $F$  is an extreme point of  $S(n, T)$  and so also of  $L(n, T)$ . If  $F$  is in  $L(n, T)$ , then it follows from the inequality

$$J(|F| - |F(x)|, B(x, r)) \leq J(|F - F(x)|, B(x, r))$$

that  $1 - |F|$  is also in  $L(n, T)$ . Thus if  $|F|$  is less than 1 on a set of positive measure, then  $G = 1 - |F|$  is a function in  $L(n, T)$  which satisfies  $\|F - G\|_\infty < 1$  and  $\|F + G\|_\infty < 1$  so that  $F$  is not extreme.

**THEOREM 6.**  $L(n, T)$  is not the dual of a Banach space.

**PROOF.** It is sufficient to show that the only extreme points of the unit ball of  $L(n, T)$  are the constant functions 1 and  $-1$ . That this is so is a consequence of the following lemma:

**LEMMA 3.** If  $f$  is a function in  $L(n, T)$  which assumes the value 0 or 1 a.e., then  $f$  is constant.

**PROOF.** Let  $g(x) = f(x)(1 - f(x))$ . Since

$$g(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} J(g, B(x, r)) / |B(x, r)| = 0$$

for each  $x$  in  $R^n$ ,  $f$  actually assumes the values 0 or 1 everywhere.

Let  $K = \{x \in R^n \mid f \text{ is discontinuous at } x\}$ . It is sufficient to show that  $K$  is empty.

Suppose  $K$  is not empty.

**CLAIM.** If  $x_0 \in K$ , then every neighborhood of  $x_0$  contains some  $x$  in  $K$  for which  $f(x) \neq f(x_0)$ .

**PROOF OF CLAIM.** Let  $x_0$  be in  $K$  and suppose, without loss of generality, that  $f(x_0) = 1$ . Let  $B(x_0, r)$  be an arbitrary ball in  $R^n$  with center at  $x_0$  and having radius  $r$ . Let  $s$  be any number in  $(0, r/2)$ . Since  $f$  is discontinuous at  $x_0$ , there is some  $a$  in  $B(x_0, s)$  for which  $f(a) = 0$ . If  $a$  is in  $K$ , we are done. If  $a$  is not in  $K$ ,  $f$  is continuous at  $a$  and so vanishes in a neighborhood of  $a$ . Set  $t_a = \sup\{t > 0 \mid f \text{ is identically 0 in } B(a, t)\}$ .  $B(a, t_a)$  is a subset of  $B(x_0, r)$  and is not tangent to  $B(x_0, r)$  at any point. (Otherwise we would have  $x_0$  in  $B(a, t_a)$  but  $f(x_0) = 1$ .) Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point on the boundary of  $B(a, t_a)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} J(f, B(x, r)) / |B(x, r)| \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} J(f, B(x, r) \cap B(a, t_a)') / |B(x, r)| \\ &\leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} |B(x, r) \cap B(a, t_a)'| / |B(x, r)| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f$  vanishes on the boundary of  $B(a, t_a)$ . By choice of  $t_a$  and

compactness of the boundary,  $f$  must have at least one discontinuity  $x'$  on the boundary of  $B(a, t_a)$ . Since  $x'$  is in  $K \cap B(x_0, r)$  and  $f(x') \neq f(x_0)$ , the proof of the claim is complete.

Now let  $x_1$  be in  $K$  with  $f(x_1) = 1$  and let  $0 < r_1 < \frac{1}{2}$  be such that for  $0 < r < r_1$ ,  $J(f, B(x_1, r))/|B(x_1, r)| > 1 - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $x_2$  be any point in  $K \cap B(x_1, r_1)$  for which  $f(x_2) = 0$ , and let  $0 < r_2 < \frac{1}{2}^2$  be such that for  $0 < r < r_2$ ,  $J(f, B(x_2, r))/|B(x_2, r)| < \frac{1}{2}^2$  and  $\bar{B}(x_2, r_2) \subset B(x_1, r_1)$ .

Continue defining  $x_k$  and  $r_k$  inductively as follows: If  $k$  is odd, let  $x_k$  be any point in  $K \cap B(x_{k-1}, r_{k-1})$  for which  $f(x_k) = 1$  and let  $0 < r_k < \frac{1}{2}^k$  be such that for  $0 < r < r_k$ ,  $\bar{B}(x_k, r) \subset B(x_{k-1}, r_{k-1})$  and  $J(f, B(x_k, r))/|B(x_k, r)| > 1 - \frac{1}{2}^k$ . If  $k$  is even choose  $x_k$  and  $r_k$  in a similar way except that  $f(x_k) = 0$  and  $J(f, B(x_k, r))/|B(x_k, r)| < \frac{1}{2}^k$  for  $0 < r < r_k$ . Let  $x$  be in the intersection of the  $\bar{B}(x_k, r_k)$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |J(f - f(x), B(x_k, r_k))/|B(x_k, r_k)|$$

$$\leq \{ |B(x, 2r_k)|/|B(x_k, r_k)| \}$$

$$\times \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(|f - f(x)|, B(x, 2r_k))/|B(x, 2r_k)| = 0.$$

But this implies that  $f(x)$  must be both 0 and 1 which is impossible. Q.E.D.

The example

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases}$$

shows that there are nonconstant extreme points of the unit ball of  $S(n, T)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970. MR 44 #7280.
2. Z. Zahorski, *Über die Menge der Punkte in welchen die Ableitung unendlich ist*, Tôhoku Math. J. 48 (1941), 321-330. MR 10, 359.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, WASHINGTON COUNTY CENTER, WEST BEND, WISCONSIN 53095