GLOBAL SOLVABILITY OF THE DERIVATIVE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

JYH-HAO LEE

ABSTRACT. The derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS)

$$iq_t = q_{xx} \pm (q^* q^2)_x, \qquad q = q(x,t), \; i = \sqrt{-1}, \; q^*(z) = \overline{q(z)},$$

was first derived by plasma physicists [9, 10]. This equation was used to interpret the propagation of circular polarized nonlinear Alfvén waves in plasma. Kaup and Newell obtained the soliton solutions of DNLS in 1978 [5]. The author obtained the local solvability of DNLS in his dissertation [6]. In this paper we obtain global existence (in time t) of Schwartz class solutions of DNLS if the L^2-norm of the generic initial data $q(x,0)$ is bounded.

1. INVERSE SCATTERING FOR A ZAKHAROV-SHABAT SYSTEM

In order to prove the local solvability of the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation, the author [6] considered the following transformation:

$$(1.1) \qquad u_t = iu_{xx} + \varepsilon(u^* u^2)_x, \qquad \varepsilon = \pm 1 \quad \text{(DNLS)}.$$

Let $q = u \exp(\int_{-\infty}^{x} -i\varepsilon uu^*)$. Then q satisfies

$$(1.2) \qquad q_t = (i/2)q_{xx} - (\varepsilon/2)q^2 q_x^* + (i/4)q|q|^4.$$

Equation (1.2) is solvable by the spectral problem $\frac{dm}{dx} = z^2[J,m] + zQm + Pm$, where $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ \varepsilon q^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P = Q(\operatorname{ad} J)^{-1}Q$; here $\operatorname{ad} J(A) \equiv [J,A]$.

At first we consider a more general case. By a potential here we mean a pair of functions (Q,P), $Q,P\colon \mathbf{R} \to M_2(C) = $ set of 2×2 complex matrices, Q is off-diagonal, and the diagonal part of P equals $Q(\operatorname{ad} J)^{-1}Q$, $Q, Q_x, P, P_x \in L^1$. We consider the following spectral problem: given $z \notin \Sigma = \{z\colon \operatorname{Im}(z^2) = 0\}$, find $m(\cdot, z)\colon \mathbf{R} \to M_2(C)$ with

$$(1.3) \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} m(x,z) = z^2[J, m(x,z)] + zQ(x)m(x,z) + P(x)m(x,z),$$

$$(1.4) \qquad m(\cdot, z) \text{ bounded}, \qquad m(x,z) \to I \quad \text{as } x \to -\infty,$$
$$\text{here } J = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}, \; i = \sqrt{-1}.$$

Let $\Omega_+ = \{z: \mathrm{Im}(z^2) > 0\}$ and $\Omega_- = \{z: \mathrm{Im}(z^2) < 0\}$. For a certain set of potentials, called generic, the solution m has the following properties [6]:

For any $z \in \Sigma = \{z: \mathrm{Im}(z^2) = 0\}$, there is a unique matrix $v(z)$ such that for all x

(1.5)
$$m^+(x,z) = m^-(x,z)e^{xz^2J}v(z)e^{-xz^2J},$$

where $m^+(x,z) = $ limit of m on Σ from Ω_+ and $m^-(x,z) = $ limit of m on Σ from Ω_- ;

$m(x,\cdot)$ has a finite number of poles at $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N$ (which do not depend on x), for any z_j there is a matrix $v(z_j)$ such that

(1.6)
$$\mathrm{Res}(m(x,\cdot),z_j) = \lim_{z \to z_j} m(x,z)e^{xz_j^2J}v(z_j)e^{-xz_j^2J};$$

(1.7) The map $(Q,P) \to V = \{v(z): z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N; v(z_1), v(z_2), \ldots, v(z_N)\}$ is injective

We denote this map by sd i.e. $\mathrm{sd}(Q,P) = v$.

By a similar argument to [2], we can show the generic Schwartz class potentials form an open and dense set in Schwartz class potentials, i.e. those potentials for which every derivative is of rapid decrease. To make our exposition more clear, from now on we assume (Q,P) is of Schwartz class. If (Q,P) is generic, we call the associated function $v: \Sigma \cup D \to M_n(C)$ the scattering data, where $D = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N\}$. The scattering data satisfies the following constraints:

(1.8)
$$\det \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} = 1, \qquad v_{11} = 1;$$

(1.9)
$$v_{22}(z) \neq 0;$$

(1.10) If z_j is a pole, then $v(z_j) = c_j \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ when $z_j \in \Omega_+$ and $v(z_j) = c_j \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ when $z_j \in \Omega_-$;

(1.11) The winding number of $v_{22}(z)$ equals $\beta^+ - \beta^-$, where β^+ is the number of z_j in Ω_+ and β^- is the number of z_j in Ω_-, i.e. $\beta^+ - \beta^- = \int_\Sigma d[\arg(v_{22})]$ and Σ is oriented such that Ω_+ is in the left side;

(1.12) $v(z) = I$ is of Schwartz class in Σ.

Roughly speaking the smoothness of (Q,P) implies the decay of $v(z)$ and the decay of (Q,P) implies the smoothness of $v(z)$. These are aspects of Fourier-like theory, hence if (Q,P) is a Schwartz class generic potential, then $v(z) - I$ is of Schwartz class.

(1.13) *Remark.* Let (Q, P) be a potential of compact support. Let $m_0(x, z)$ be the solution of the Volterra integral equation:

$$(1.14) \qquad m_0(x, z) = I + \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{(x-y)z^2 J}(zQ(y) + P(y))m_0(y, z)e^{(y-x)z^2 J} \, dy.$$

The dependence on the parameter z is holomorphic, so $m_0(x, \cdot)$ is an entire function, $m_0(\cdot, z)$ is absolutely continuous, $m_0(x, z) = I$ if $x \ll 0$ and $m_0(x, z) = e^{xz^2 J} S(z) e^{-xz^2 J}$ if $x \gg 0$. Let

$$(1.15) \qquad S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11}(z) & S_{12}(z) \\ S_{21}(z) & S_{22}(z) \end{pmatrix};$$

$$(1.16) \qquad \begin{aligned} a(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -S_{12}(z)/S_{11}(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega_+, \\ a(z) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -S_{12}(z)/S_{22}(z) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega_-; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.17) \qquad m(x, z) = m_0(x, z)e^{xz^2 J} a(z) e^{-xz^2 J}.$$

Then $m(x, z)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). The poles of $m(x, \cdot)$ in Ω_+ is the zero set of $S_{11}(z)$ and the poles of $m(x, \cdot)$ in Ω_- is the zero set of $S_{22}(z)$. Since $\lim_{|z| \to \infty} S_{11}(z) = \lim_{|z| \to \infty} S_{22}(z) = 1$, the set $D = \{z : S_{11}(z) = 0 \text{ or } S_{22}(z) = 0\}$ is finite. If $Z \cap \Sigma = \varnothing$ then (Q, P) is generic. For a generic potential of compact support,

$$(1.18) \qquad v(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -S_{12}(z)/S_{11}(z) \\ S_{21}(z)/S_{22}(z) & 1 - S_{21}(z)S_{12}(z)/(S_{11}(z)S_{22}(z)) \end{pmatrix};$$

$$(1.19) \qquad \begin{aligned} v(z_j) &= -S_{12}(z_j)/S_{11}'(z_j) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z_j \in \Omega_+, \\ v(z_j) &= -S_{21}(z_j)/S_{22}'(z_j) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad z_j \in \Omega_-. \end{aligned}$$

See [6].

Given a scattering data v satisfying (1.8)–(1.12), the inverse problem amounts to solving an analytic factorization problem (Riemann-Hilbert problem) with one parameter x, i.e. we want to find $m(x, \cdot)$ that is meromorphic on $\mathbf{C} \backslash \Sigma$ such that

$$(1.20) \qquad \begin{cases} m^+(x, z) = m^-(x, z)e^{xz^2 J} v(z) e^{-xz^2 J}, & z \in \Sigma, \\ \mathrm{Res}(m(x, z), z_j) = \lim_{z \to z_j} m(x, z)e^{xz_j^2 J} v(z_j) e^{-xz_j^2 J}, \\ \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, N; \text{ and } m(x, z) \to I \text{ as } |z| \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

As shown in [6], the inverse problem is solvable if $v(z) - I$ is small (i.e. $\|v - I\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ for some small number ε). If $v(z) - I$ is not small, we choose

a piecewise rational function u with the following properties:

(1.21)

(i) $u_{jj} = 1$, $u(z)$ is upper triangular in Ω_+ and lower triangular in Ω_- respectively;

(ii) $u(z) \to I$ as $|z| \to \infty$;

(iii) $\|u^- v(u^+)^{-1} - I\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ [2, 6].

We let $v^\sharp = u^- v(u^+)^{-1}$ since $v^\sharp - I$ is small, and $v^\sharp$ also satisfies (1.8) and (1.12). Hence we obtain an associated eigenfunction $m^\sharp$; we may look for m in the form $m(x, z) = r(x, z) m^\sharp(x, z) e^{xz^2 J} u(z) e^{-xz^2 J}$. Let

$$r(x, z) = I + \sum_{k=1}^{p} (z - z_k)^{-1} a_k(x).$$

The conditions (1.20) amount to a system of linear equations of the residues $a_k(x)$ of r.

Let SD = set of functions $v = (v, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N; v(z_1), \dots, v(z_N))$ which satisfy the conditions (1.8)–(1.12). The set of $v \in$ SD such that m is solvable is open and dense in SD; such v we call generic. Note that $v \in$ SD is generic if and only if there exists a potential (Q, P) such that $\mathrm{sd}(Q, P) = v$. Let $m \sim I + m_1/z + m_2/z^2 + \cdots$,

(1.22) $Q = -[J, m_1], \qquad P = -Qm_1 - [J, m_2].$

Then $\frac{dm}{dx} = z^2[J, m] + zQm + Pm$, $m(\cdot, z)$ bounded, and $m(x, z) \to I$ as $x \to -\infty$. Symbolically we have

$$v \to m \to (Q, P),$$

(scattering data) → (eigenfunction) → (potential).

If $Q = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & q \\ \varepsilon q^* & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and the off-diagonal part of $P = 0$, then the scattering data v has extra conditions:

(1.23) $(v(\varepsilon \bar{z}))^* = v(z), \quad (v(\varepsilon \bar{z}_j))^* = -v(z_j), \qquad \varepsilon = \pm 1.$

Let σ be an automorphism on $M_2(C)$ defined by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)^\sigma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Since $Q^\sigma = -Q$ and $P^\sigma = P$ we have

(1.24) $v^\sigma(-z) = v(z), \qquad v^\sigma(-z_j) = -v(z_j).$

Conversely if $v(z) \in$ SD and satisfies (1.23) and (1.24), and v is generic, then the associated potential (Q, P) satisfies the following properties: $Q = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & q \\ \varepsilon q^* & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, i.e. $Q^* = \varepsilon Q$, the off-diagonal part of $P = 0$, and the diagonal part of $P = Q(\mathrm{ad}\, J)^{-1} Q$.

If $v(z, t)$ satisfies the evolution equation

(1.25)

$$\begin{cases} dv(z, t)/dt = z^{k-1}(J, v(z, t)), \\ \qquad k \text{ is an odd positive integer}; \\ dv(z_j, t)/dt = z_j^{k-1}(J, v(z_j, t)), \\ \qquad z_j \text{ is fixed for each } j, \end{cases}$$

and if $v(z,0)$ is generic in SD, then $v(z,t)$ is generic for t small (since the set of the generic potentials is open in SD). The corresponding potential $(Q(x,t),P(x,t))$ satisfies the evolution equation

(1.26) $$Q_t = [J,\lambda_k], \qquad P_t = [Q,\lambda_k] + [J,\lambda_{k+1}],$$

where $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(Q,P)$ are computed by the recurrence formula $d\lambda_k/dx - [P,\lambda_k] = [Q,\lambda_{k+1}] + [J,\lambda_{k+2}]$, $\lambda_0 = J$.

If $v(z,0)$ satisfies (1.23) and (1.24) and $k = 5$, then equation (1.26) is reduced to

(1.27) $$q_t = (i/2)q_{xx} - (\varepsilon/2)q^2 q_x^* + q|q|^4.$$

Note that $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ \varepsilon q^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(Q, Q(\operatorname{ad} J)^{-1}Q)$ is the associated potential with respect to the scattering data $v(z,t)$. Let $u = q\exp(\int_{-\infty}^x i\varepsilon q q^*)$. Then u satisfies

(1.28) $$u_t = iu_{xx} + \varepsilon(u^* u^2)_x.$$

This is the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS).

Let $E = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N\}$, $z_i \notin \Sigma$ and $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N$ are distinct points. Denote $\mathrm{SD}(E) = \{v \in \mathrm{SD}: v \text{ is a function on } \Sigma \cup E\}$.

Theorem A. *Suppose (Q_0, P_0) is a generic Schwartz class potential and suppose $t \to v(\cdot,t)$ is a smooth map from $[0,\infty)$ to $\mathrm{SD}(E)$ such that $v(\cdot,0) = \mathrm{sd}(Q_0, P_0)$. Then there is a $T > 0$ and a unique smooth map*

$$t \to (Q(\cdot,t), P(\cdot,t))$$

from $[0,T)$ to Schwartz class potentials such that $\mathrm{sd}(Q(\cdot,t), P(\cdot,t)) = v(\cdot,t)$, $t \in [0,T)$.

Proof. Since the set of generic formal scattering data is open, $v(\cdot,t)$ remains generic over some nonempty interval $[0,T)$. The corresponding potentials $(Q(\cdot,t), P(\cdot,t)) = \mathrm{sd}^{-1}(v(\cdot,t))$ are uniquely determined. $(Q(\cdot,t), P(\cdot,t))$ is smooth by our construction, see [2, 3, 6].

Theorem B. *Suppose $q(x,t)$ satisfies DNLS, i.e. $q_t = iq_{xx} + \varepsilon(|q|^2 q)_x$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, and $t \to q(\cdot,t)$ is smooth from $[0,T)$ to a Schwartz class. Then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q(x,t)|^2\, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q(x,0)|^2\, dx,$$

i.e. the L^2 norm of $q(\cdot,t)$ is invariant under DNLS.

Proof. $|q|_t^2 = (qq^*)_t = q_t q^* + q q_t^* = i(q_x q^* - q_x^* q)_x + 3\varepsilon/2|q|_x^4$. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dx}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q(x,t)|^2\, dx = \left(i(q_x q^* - q_x^* q) + \frac{3\varepsilon}{2}|q|^4 \right)\Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} = 0.$$

Theorem B′. *Suppose $q(x,t)$ satisfies (1.2), i.e. $q_t = (i/2)q_{xx} - (\varepsilon/2)q^2 q_x^* + (1/4)q|q||^4$, and $t \to q(\cdot,t)$ is smooth from $[0,T)$ to a Schwartz class. Then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q(x,t)|^2\, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q(x,0)|^2\, dx.$$

Proof. Let $u = q \exp(\int_{-\infty}^{x} i\varepsilon qq^*)$. Then u satisfies DNLS, hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u(x,t)|^2 \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u(x,0)|^2 \, dx.$$

Note that $uu^* = qq^*$, hence $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q(x,t)|^2 \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q(x,0)|^2 \, dx$.

Theorem C. *Let* $Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_0 \\ \varepsilon q_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. *If* $(Q_0, Q_0(\text{ad } J)^{-1}Q_0)$ *is a generic Schwartz class potential, then there exists unique* $q(x,t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, *such that*

$$\begin{cases} q_t = (i/2)q_{xx} - (\varepsilon/2)q^2 q_x^* + (i/4)q|q|^4, \\ q(x,0) = q_0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem C'. *If* $u_0(x)$ *is of Schwartz class,* $q_0 = u_0 \exp(\int_{-\infty}^{x} -i\varepsilon u_0 u_0^*)$, $Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_0 \\ \varepsilon q_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, *and* $(Q_0, Q_0(\text{ad } J)^{-1}Q_0)$ *is generic (i.e. there exists* v *such that*

$$\text{sd}(Q_0, Q_0(\text{ad } J)^{-1}Q_0) = v),$$

then there exists unique $u(x,t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, *such that*

$$u_t = iu_{xx} + \varepsilon(|u|^2 u)_x, \qquad u(x,0) = u_0(x).$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem C.

2. Derivation of the evolution equation

Now we derive the evolution equation (1.26). We use the $\overline{\partial}$ idea of R. Beals and R. R. Coifman [2, 3]. For fixed x the eigenfunction $m(x, \cdot)$ for a generic potential can be considered as a matrix-valued tempered distribution on $\mathbb{C}$. $\partial m / \partial \overline{z}$ is a tempered distribution supported on $\Sigma \cup \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N\}$.

(2.1) **Lemma.** *If* f *is in* $L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\partial f / \partial \overline{z} = \mu$ *vanishes rapidly at* ∞, *then* f *has an asymptotic expansion*

$$f(z) - f_0 + f_1/z + f_2/z^2 + \cdots, \qquad f_k = \int_{k>1} -z^{k-1} \, d\mu(z),$$

in the sense that

$$\left| f(z) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f_j z^{-j} \right| \le C_N |z|^{-N} \text{dist}(z, \text{supp } \mu)^{-1} \quad [3].$$

In particular if $v(z) - I$ decays rapidly on Σ, the associated eigenfunction m has an asymptotic expansion in z,

(2.2) $m(x,z) \sim m_0 + m_1/z + m_2/z^2 + \cdots, \qquad z \to \infty, \ m_0 = I.$

Since $dm/dx = z^2[J,m] + zQm + pm$, we see that

(2.3) $(d/dx - p)m_k = Qm_{k+1} + [J, m_{k+2}], \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.$

Especially

(2.4) $Q = -[J, m_1], \qquad P = -Qm_1 - [J, m_2].$

Now suppose that the evolution of the scattering data is given by

(2.5)
$$dv(z,t)/dt = z^{k-1}[J,v(z,t)],$$
$$dv(z_j,t)/dt = z_j^{k-1}[J,v(z_j,t)],$$

and z_j is fixed for each j. Then m and Q,P also evolve in t. We denote t-differentiation by a dot. Differentiating (2.4) with respect to the t-variable we obtain

(2.6)
$$\dot{Q} = -[J,\dot{m}_1], \qquad \dot{P} = -\dot{Q}m_1 - Q\dot{m}_1 - [J,\dot{m}_2].$$

Since m has an asymptotic expansion, we have $mJm^{-1} \sim J + \lambda_1/z + \lambda_2/z^2 + \cdots$, where $\lambda_k = \lambda_{k,Q,P}$ and $\dot{m}m^{-1} \sim f_1/z + f_2/z^2 + \cdots$, where $f_k(x,t) = \int z^{k-1}[\partial(\dot{m}m^{-1})/\partial\bar{z}]$. Then $\dot{m} = (\dot{m}m^{-1})m \sim f_1/z + (f_1m_1 + f_2)/z^2 + \cdots$. Hence

(2.7)
$$\dot{m}_1 = f_1, \qquad \dot{m}_2 = f_1m_1 + f_2.$$

Substituting (2.7) into (2.6) we get

(2.8)
$$\dot{Q} = -[J,f_1], \qquad \dot{P} = -[Q,f_1] - [J,f_2].$$

We see that $\partial(\dot{m}m^{-1})/\partial\bar{z}$ and $z^{k-1}\partial(mJm^{-1})/\partial\bar{z}$ are both supported on $\Sigma \cup \{z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_N\}$. By the argument in [3],

(2.9)
$$\partial(\dot{m}m^{-1})/\partial\bar{z} = z^{k-1}\partial(mJm^{-1})/\partial\bar{z}.$$

Hence $f_1 = -\lambda_k$ and $f_2 = -\lambda_{k+1}$. (Q,P) evolves as

(2.10)
$$\dot{Q} = [J,\lambda_k], \qquad \dot{P} = [Q,\lambda_k] + [J,\lambda_{k+1}].$$

3. Estimate of m in L^2-norm of the potential

As we show below, the technique used in [2, 6] to solve the inverse problem reduces solvability at $t = T$ to control $m(x,t,z)$ as $t \to T$. Recall that the equation for m is

(3.1)
$$\frac{dm}{dx} = z^2[J,m] + zQm + Pm,$$

where $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ \varepsilon q \cdot & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P = Q(\operatorname{ad} J)^{-1}Q$. Let $\tilde{m} = \exp(\int_{-\infty}^{x} P)m$. Then $\tilde{m}$ satisfies

(3.2)
$$\frac{d\tilde{m}}{dx} = z^2[J,\tilde{m}] + \tilde{Q}\tilde{m},$$

where

$$\tilde{Q} = \exp\left(-\int_{-\infty}^{x} Q(\operatorname{ad} J)^{-1}Q\right) Q \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} Q(\operatorname{ad} J)^{-1}Q\right).$$

Since $Q(\operatorname{ad} J)^{-1}Q$ is purely imaginary,

$$|m_{ij}(x,z)| = |\tilde{m}_{ij}(x,z)|; \qquad \|Q\|_2 = \|\tilde{Q}\|_2;$$

$$\left|\lim_{x\to\infty} m_{ij}(x,z)\right| = \left|\lim_{x\to\infty} \tilde{m}_{ij}(x,z)\right|.$$

Writing m instead of $\tilde{m}$, we may consider the following problem:

(3.3) $$\frac{d}{dx}m = z^2[J,m] + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ r & 0 \end{pmatrix} m,$$

(3.4) $m(\cdot,z)$ bounded, $\quad m(x,z) \to I \quad$ as $x \to I$;

here $J = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Taking the first column of m, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx}\begin{pmatrix} m_{11} \\ m_{21} \end{pmatrix} = z^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (2i)m_{12} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ r & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} m_{11} \\ m_{21} \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e.

(3.5)
$$\frac{dm_{11}}{dx} = qm_{12}, \qquad m_{11}(-\infty) = 1,\ m_{12}(-\infty) = 0,$$
$$\frac{dm_{12}}{dx} = z^2(2i)m_{12} + rm_{11}.$$

We are led to consider the following basic system of O.D.E.:

(3.6) $$\frac{du}{dx} = qv,\quad \frac{dv}{dx} + cv = ru, \qquad u(-\infty) = \alpha,\ v(-\infty) = 0,\ c > 0.$$

(3.7) **Basic Lemma.** *Given* $q,r \in L^2(-\infty,\infty)$, *there are unique absolutely continuous bounded functions* u,v *satisfying* (3.6) *and* $\|u\|_\infty + \|v\|_\infty \le F = F(\|q\|_2, \|r\|_2, |\alpha|)$.

Proof. First we convert the equation (3.6) into an integral equation:

(3.8) $$u(x) = \alpha + \int_{-\infty}^x qv,\quad v(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x e^{c(y-x)}ru, \qquad c > 0.$$

At first we look for the solution u,v, where $u \in L^2$ and $v \in L^\infty$. Consider the following recurrence formula:

(3.9) $$u_n = \alpha + \int_{-\infty}^x qv_n,\quad v_{n+1} = \int_{-\infty}^x e^{c(y-x)}ru_n, \qquad v_0 \in L^2 \text{ arbitrary}.$$

We have

$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_\infty \le (\|q\|_2\|r\|_2/c)\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_\infty;$$
$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \le (\|q\|_2\|r\|_2/c)\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_2.$$

Consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $\|q\|_2\|r\|_2/c < 1$. Then $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^2 and $\{v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^2; there exist $u \in L^\infty$ and $v \in L^2$ such that $u_n \to u$ in L^∞, $v_n \to v$ in L^2 and

$$\|u - u_0\|_\infty \le (1/(1 - \|q\|_2\|r\|_2/c))\|u - u_0\|_\infty,$$
$$\|v - v_0\|_2 \le (1/(1 - \|q\|_2\|r\|_2/c))\|v_1 - u_0\|_2.$$

Taking the limits of u_n and v_n in (3.9) we have

$$(3.10) \qquad u = \alpha + \int_{-\infty}^{x} qv\, dy, \qquad v = \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{c(y-x)} ru\, dy.$$

Obviously u, v are absolutely continuous and u, v satisfy the O.D.E. (3.6). Note that

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} e^{cx}, & x < 0, \\ 0, & x \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

is also in L^2, hence $\|v\|_\infty \leq (1/\sqrt{2c})\|r\|_2 \|u\|_\infty$.

Case 2. $\|q\|_2 \|r\|_\infty / c < \infty$. Let N be a positive integer satisfying

$$\|q\|_2 \|r\|_2 / (Nc) < 1.$$

There exist a finite number of points $0 = x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N = \infty$ such that $(\int_{x_j}^{x_{j+1}} |q|^2)^{1/2} \leq \|q\|_2 / N$. By Case 1, the solutions u, v exist up to the point x_1. Then consider the following equation with initial values at x_1:

$$(3.11) \qquad \begin{aligned} u &= u(x_1) + \int_{x_1}^{x} qv\, dy, \\ v &= e^{c(-x+x_1)} v(x_1) + \int_{x_1}^{x} e^{c(y-x)} ru\, dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $u(x_1)$ and $v(x_1)$ are constants which depend only on α, $\|q\|_2$ and $\|r\|_2$. Since

$$\left(\int_{x_1}^{x_2} |q|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|r\|_2 / c \leq \|q\|_2 \|r\|_2 / (Nc) < 1,$$

again by Case 1, we may extend the solution u, v to the point x_2. If we continue, we obtain u, v, defined on the whole line and satisfying equation (3.6), $\|u\|_\infty + \|v\|_\infty \leq F = F(\|q\|_2, \|r\|_2, |\alpha|) < \infty$. We are done.

(3.12) **Theorem.** *Assume* $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ *is of Schwartz class. If* z *is large enough and* $1/2 \leq |\operatorname{Re}(z)|/|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq 2$, *then there is a unique* $m(x, z)$ *which satisfies* (3.3), (3.4), *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} m &= z^2 [J, m] + Qm, \\ m(\cdot, z) \text{ bounded}, & \qquad m(x, z) \to I \quad \text{as } x \to -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\|m_{ij}(\cdot, z)\|_\infty \leq F = F(\|q\|_2, \|r\|_2, |d(z)|, |a(z)|),$$

where $\lim_{x \to +\infty} m_{22}(x, z) = d(z)$ *and* $\lim_{x \to +\infty} m_{11}(x, z) = a(z)$.

Proof. The existence of $m(x, z)$ was proved in [6]. It suffices to estimate m by the L^2-norm of q, r. We may assume $\operatorname{Im}(z^2) > 0$. The first column of m

satisfies

(3.13)
$$m_{11}(x,z) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} zq(y)m_{21}(y,z)\,dy + 1,$$
$$m_{21}(x,z) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{(y-x)(-2iz^2)} zr(y)m_{11}(y,z)\,dy.$$

Multiplying by z on both sides of the second equation of (3.13), we have

(3.14)
$$m_{11}(x,z) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} q(zm_{21}(y,z))\,dy + 1,$$
$$zm_{21}(x,z) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{(y-x)(-2iz^2)} z^2 r(y)m_{11}(y,z)\,dy.$$

Let $m_{11} = u$ and $zm_{21} = v$. If $1/2 \le |\operatorname{Re}(z)|/|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \le 2$, we have the same estimate for m_{11} and zm_{21}; then

$$\|m_{11}(\cdot,z)\|_\infty + \|zm_{21}(\cdot,z)\|_\infty \le F(\|q\|_2, \|r\|_2).$$

Hence $\|m_{11}(\cdot,z)\|_\infty + \|m_{21}(\cdot,z)\|_\infty \le F(\|q\|_2, \|r\|_2)$ if $|z| > 1$. For the second column of m we write the integral equation normalized at ∞. Note that $\lim_{x\to\infty} m_{22}(x,z) = d(z)$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} m_{12}(x,z) = 0$ (see [6, p. 33]),

(3.15)
$$m_{22}(x,z) = d(z) - \int_{x}^{\infty} q(y)(zm_{12}(y,z))\,dy,$$
$$zm_{12}(x,z) = -\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{(y-x)(-2iz^2)} z^2 r(y)m_{22}(y,z)\,dy.$$

Then by a similar argument we have the estimate

$$\|m_{12}(\cdot,z)\|_\infty + \|m_{22}(\cdot,z)\|_\infty \le F(\|q\|_2, \|r\|_2, |d(z)|).$$

Note that $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} d(z) = 1$ and $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} a(z) = 1$.

(3.16) *Remarks.* The point of Theorem (3.12) is not the existence of m, which was proved in [6]. As we show in [6],

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} m(x,z) = \delta(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & 0 \\ 0 & d(z) \end{pmatrix};$$
$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} a(z) = 1, \qquad \lim_{|z|\to\infty} d(z) = 1,$$

$m(\cdot,z)$ is bounded for $|z| > N$, where N depends only on $d(z)$. The crucial fact here is that in the case $r = \varepsilon q^*$, $\|q\|_2$ and $d(z)$ are invariant under the DNLS evolution.

Proof of Theorem C. By local solvability, the solution $q(t,x)$ exists for $0 \le t < T$, $T > 0$. Let $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ \varepsilon q^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\operatorname{sd}(Q, Q(\operatorname{ad} J)^{-1}Q) = v(\cdot,t)$. According to Theorem (3.12), there is an open set $\Omega = \{z: 1/2 < |\operatorname{Im}(z)|/\operatorname{Re}(z)| < 2, |z| > C_1\}$ such that

(3.17) $\|m(x,z,t_\nu)\| \le C_2$ for all $x \in R$, $z \in \Omega$,

and for $\{t_\nu\}$ some sequence converging to T. Since $\{v(\cdot,t): 0 \le t \le T\}$ is bounded, we can choose the piecewise rational function $u(\cdot,t)$ of (1.21) to

depend smoothly on t and to have simple poles in a fixed finite set E' independent of t. Recall $v^\sharp = u^- v (u^+)^{-1}$ and $m^\sharp$ is the eigenfunction associated to $v^\sharp$:

$$(3.18) \qquad \|m^\sharp(x,t,\cdot)\| \leq C_3 \quad \text{for } |z| \geq C_4.$$

We look for $m(x,t,\cdot)$ of the form

$$(3.19) \qquad m(x,t,\cdot) = r(x,t,\cdot) m^\sharp(x,t,\cdot) e^{xz^2 J} u(x,t,\cdot) e^{-xz^2 J},$$

where $r(x,t,\cdot)$ is rational with simple poles on $E \cup E'$ and approaches I at $z = \infty$.

$$r(x,t,z) = I + \sum_{k=1}^{p} (z - z_k)^{-1} a_k(x,t),$$

where $a_k(x,t)$ satisfies some system of linear equations and depends smoothly on x and t. Moreover, for each x and t these equations have at most one solution, while the existence of a solution for all x is the necessary and sufficient condition that $v(\cdot,t)$ be generic. Now $\det m^\sharp = 1$ and $\det(e^{xz^2 J} u(z) e^{-xz^2 J}) = 1$, so (3.17), (3.18), (3.19) give

$$\|r(x,t_\nu,z)\| \leq C_5 \quad \text{for } x \in R, \ z \in \Omega, \ |z| \geq C_4.$$

Passing to a subsequence, we deduce that $r(x,t_\nu,\cdot) \to r(x,T,\cdot)$, where the residues of $r(x,T,\cdot)$ solve the requisite linear equation at $t = T$. Therefore $v(\cdot,T)$ is generic. Since the set of generic data is open in SD, the solution $q(x,t)$ of the equation (1.20) exists for $0 \leq t < T + \varepsilon$. Obviously this implies the global solvability of the equation (1.27).

References

1. R. Beals and R. R. Coifman, *Scattering transformation spectrales, et equations d'evolution non linearies*, Seminaire Goulaouic-Meyer-Schwartz 1980–1981, exp. 22, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, 1981.

2. _____, *Scattering and inverse scattering for first order system*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **37** (1984), 39–90.

3. _____, *Inverse scattering and evolution equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 29–42.

4. R. K. Bullough and P. J. Caudrey (eds.), *Solitons*, Topics in Current Physics, No. 17, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

5. D. J. Kaup and A. C. Newell, *An exact solution for a derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, J. Math. Phys. **19** (1978), 789–801.

6. J. H. Lee, *Analytic properties of Zakharov-Shabat inverse scattering problem with polynomial spectral dependence of degree* 1 *in the potential*, Ph. D. Dissertation, Yale University, 1983.

7. ____, *A Zakharov-Shabat inverse scattering problem and the associated evolution equations*, Chinese J. Math. **12** (1984), 223–233.

8. ____, *Hamiltonian structure of soliton equations*, Proc. CCNAA-AIT Seminar on Differential Equations (Taiwan, June 1985), pp. 205–214.

9. W. Mio et al., *Modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation for Alfven waves propagating along the magnetic field in cold plasmas*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **41** (1976), 265–271.

10. E. Mjølhus, *On the modulational instability of hydromagnetic waves parallel to the magnetic field*, J. Plasma Phys. **16** (1976), 321–334.

11. V. E. Zakharov and A. B. Shabat, *A refined theory of two-dimensional self-focussing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in non-linear media*, Soviet Phys. JETP **34** (1972), 62–69.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI, TAIWAN, REPUBLIC OF CHINA