

HOMOGENEOUS CONTINUA IN EUCLIDEAN $(n + 1)$ -SPACE WHICH CONTAIN AN n -CUBE ARE n -MANIFOLDS

JANUSZ R. PRAJS

ABSTRACT. Let X be a homogeneous continuum and let E^n be Euclidean n -space. We prove that if X is properly contained in a connected $(n + 1)$ -manifold, then X contains no n -dimensional umbrella (i.e. a set homeomorphic to the set $\{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in E^{n+1} : x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 \leq 1 \text{ and } x_{n+1} \leq 0 \text{ and either } x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0 \text{ or } x_{n+1} = 0\}$). Combining this fact with an earlier result of the author we conclude that if X lies in E^{n+1} and topologically contains E^n , then X is an n -manifold.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

1. Theorem. *Each homogeneous proper subcontinuum of a connected $(n + 1)$ -manifold contains no n -dimensional umbrella.*

The results of this paper are related to two classical results: the first one of S. Mazurkiewicz [M], and, the second one of R. H. Bing [B]. Namely, with the help of the result of [P], we give a full generalization of the result of [B] to all finite-dimensional cases (Theorem 7 below, and also, the statement formulated in the title). As it was emphasized in [P], the theorem of [B] may be obtained by combining two other theorems: 1° each homogeneous locally connected nondegenerate plane continuum is a simple closed curve (this is the result of [M]), 2° each homogeneous plane continuum that contains an arc is locally connected (this is the step really done in [B]), and thus 3° each homogeneous plane continuum that contains an arc is a simple closed curve. (Bing's proof did not follow this scheme.) One can easily observe that Theorem 1 implies the result of [M] (for $n = 1$). Thus this paper generalizes step 1°. Step 2° has already been extended in [P] to all finite-dimensional cases. Therefore we get Theorem 7 as a generalization of step 3°.

Finally, let us stress the fact that, similarly as in [P], the ε -push property (Theorem 4) plays a crucial role in the argument of the proof of Theorem 1. Probably, this is the real reason that the results of [P] and of this paper have not been earlier found.

Received by the editors June 9, 1987 and, in revised form, June 1, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54F20; Secondary 54C25.

Key words and phrases. Continuum, Euclidean space, homogeneity, n -dimensional umbrella, n -manifold.

The author gratefully acknowledges a great deal of help from Professor J. J. Charatonik during the preparation of this paper.

All spaces considered here will be either Euclidean n -spaces E^n equipped with the usual Euclidean metric d , or (not necessarily compact) n -manifolds with a metric also denoted by d . The open ball of the space with center c and radius ε will be denoted by $B(c, \varepsilon)$. For two subsets A and B of the space we put $d(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$. If M is an n -manifold, the symbol ∂M denotes its combinatorial boundary. An arc with end points a and b will often be denoted by ab . The symbol I means the unit segment $[0, 1]$. Let a set A be homeomorphic to the cube I^n and let ab be an arc. If $A \cap ab = \{a\}$ and $a \notin \partial A$, then the union $A \cup ab$ will be called an n -dimensional umbrella. A set X is said to be homogeneous if for given $x, y \in X$ there is a homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$ with $h(x) = y$. A mapping means a continuous function. A mapping (a homeomorphism) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between subsets X and Y of the same space is called an ε -translation (an ε -homeomorphism) provided $d(x, f(x)) < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in X$. A point x is said to be accessible from a set V if there is an arc xy with $xy \setminus \{x\} \subset V$. A set C separates a set V between two points $p, q \in V$, if p and q lie in distinct components of $V \setminus C$.

We start with two lemmas, which we need to prove Theorem 1.

2. Lemma. *If a point $c \in C \subset E^{n+1}$ has a neighborhood (in a set C) homeomorphic to E^n , then the number of components of $E^{n+1} \setminus C$ containing c in their closures is either one or two. Moreover, c is accessible from each of these components.*

Proof. Let a neighborhood A of c in C be homeomorphic to I^n , and let a ball $B(c, \xi) \subset E^{n+1}$ be such that $C \cap B(c, \xi) = A \cap B(c, \xi)$ and $\partial A \cap B(c, \xi) = \emptyset$. Further, let $\{A_0, A_1, \dots\}$ be the family (finite or infinite) of all components of $A \cap B(c, \xi)$ with $c \in A_0$. By Proposition 3 of [P] the set A_0 separates $B(c, \xi)$ into exactly two components U_1^0 and U_2^0 . By the local connectedness of A there is a ball $B(c, \tau)$ with $A \cap B(c, \tau) \subset A_0$. Since no pair of points of $U_i^0 \cap B(c, \tau)$ is separated by any A_n in $B(c, \xi)$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $B(c, \xi)$ is homeomorphic to E^{n+1} , we see by Proposition 4 of [P] that A also does not separate $B(c, \xi)$ between such points. This implies that $c \in \text{cl } U_i$ for the component U_i of $B(c, \xi) \setminus A$ containing $U_i^0 \cap B(c, \tau)$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and c does not lie in the closure of any other component of $B(c, \xi) \setminus A$. Thus the number of components of $E^{n+1} \setminus C$ containing c in their closures is at most two, and, in fact, not less than one. Moreover, since A_0 is an ANR-set, the point c is accessible from both U_1^0 and U_2^0 (see [Bo, p. 217]). Finally, the desired accessibility of c follows by the previous argument.

3. Lemma. *Let a set $A \subset E^{n+1}$ be homeomorphic to I^n . Given a point $c \in E^{n+1}$ and a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $d(c, A) < \varepsilon < d(c, \partial A)$, let A_1 denote a component of $A \cap B(c, \varepsilon)$. For two given points p and q of distinct components of $B(c, \varepsilon) \setminus A_1$ let pa and aq be arcs in $B(c, \varepsilon)$ such that $(pa \cup aq) \cap A_1 = \{a\}$*

(compare Proposition 3 of [P] and Lemma 2). Then for every $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta < \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \min\{d(pa \cup aq, E^{n+1} \setminus B(c, \varepsilon)), d(\{p, q\}, A_1)\}$$

and for every δ -homeomorphism $h: A_1 \rightarrow h(A_1) \subset E^{n+1}$ the component A_2 of $h(A_1) \cap B(c, \varepsilon - \delta)$ containing the point $a' = h(a)$ separates $B(c, \varepsilon - \delta)$ between p and q .

Moreover, if $\delta < \delta_0/4$, there are arcs pa' and $a'q$ in $B(c, \varepsilon - \delta - \delta_0/4)$ such that $(pa' \cup a'q) \cap A_2 = \{a'\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 5 of [P] the set $h(A_1)$ separates the ball $B(c, \varepsilon - \delta)$ between p and q . Therefore a component A_2 of $h(A_1) \cap B(c, \varepsilon - \delta)$ so does (see Proposition 4 of [P]). Again by Proposition 5 of [P], noting that A_2 is closed in $B(c, \varepsilon - \delta)$, we see that the set $h^{-1}(A_2) \subset A_1$ separates $B(c, \varepsilon - 2\delta)$ between p and q , and thus it intersects the set $pa \cup aq$. By the assumption the only point of this intersection is a , thus $a' = h(a) \in A_2$.

Let $\delta < \delta_0/4$. Put $\delta_1 = \varepsilon - \delta - \delta_0/4$. Suppose there is no arc pa' in $B(c, \delta_1)$ with $pa' \cap A_2 = \{a'\}$. Let A_3 be the component of $B(c, \delta_1) \cap A_2$ containing a' . Thus there is an arc $Z \subset B(c, \delta_1)$ with end points p and a' such that $Z \cap A_3 = \{a'\}$ (see Proposition 3 of [P] and Lemma 2). Let a point $z \in Z \setminus \{a'\}$ be such that the arc $za' \subset Z$ intersects A_2 in the single point a' . Thus, by the above assumption, A_2 separates the ball $B(c, \delta_1)$ between p and z . Therefore some component A_4 of $B(c, \delta_1) \cap A_2$ separates $B(c, \delta_1)$ between p and z (see Proposition 4 of [P]) and we have $A_4 \neq A_3$. Hence A_4 separates $B(c, \delta_1)$ between p and a' . By the proved part of the conclusion of this lemma the set A_3 separates the ball $B(c, \delta_1)$ between p and q (for h is also a $(\delta + \delta_0/4)$ -homeomorphism). Therefore the set A_4 separates $B(c, \delta_1)$ between p and q . Thus, by Proposition 5 of [P], the set $h^{-1}(A_4)$ separates $B(c, \delta_1 - \delta)$ between p and q . By the assumption on δ we have $pa \cup aq \subset B(c, \varepsilon - \delta_0) \subset B(c, \delta_1 - \delta)$, therefore the set $h^{-1}(A_4) \subset A_1$ intersects the arc $pa \cup aq$ in a point distinct from a (for $a' = h(a) \notin A_4$), a contradiction. The argument for the existence of an arc $a'q$ runs similarly.

Now recall the theorem (the so-called ε -push property) which is a corollary to the well-known Effros theorem.

4. Theorem (Lemma 4 of [H, p. 37]). *Let X be a homogeneous metric continuum. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ (the so-called Effros number for the number ε) such that for two given points $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$ there is an ε -homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$ sending x to y .*

Proof of Theorem 1. Let P be a homogeneous proper subcontinuum of a connected $(n + 1)$ -manifold M . Suppose, on the contrary, P contains an n -dimensional umbrella. By the intrinsic invariance of open sets in the Euclidean spaces, since ∂M is either an n -manifold or the empty set, P cannot be contained in ∂M . Because P is a boundary set in M , there is a point $a \in P \setminus \partial M$

accessible from $M \setminus P$. Let d be a metric on M such that the ball $B(a, 1) \subset M$ is isometric to the appropriate ball of Euclidean $(n + 1)$ -space. By the homogeneity of P there is an n -dimensional umbrella $T \subset B(a, 1) \cap P$ such that $T = A \cup ab$, where the set A is homeomorphic to I^n , ab is an arc with ends a and b , and $A \cap ab = \{a\} \subset A \setminus \partial A$. Let a number $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\partial A \cap B(a, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $ab \subset B(a, \varepsilon)$. Let A_1 be the component of $A \cap B(a, \varepsilon)$ containing a . Then A_1 separates the ball $B(a, \varepsilon)$ into exactly two components (see Proposition 3 of [P]): V_b with $ab \setminus \{a\} \subset V_b$, and V_c with some point $c \in V_c$. By the accessibility of a there is an arc $ap \subset B(a, \varepsilon)$ with $ap \cap P = \{a\}$. By Lemma 2 there is an arc $ac \subset B(a, \varepsilon)$ with $ac \setminus \{a\} \subset V_c$.

(1) For any arc ax with $ax \setminus \{a\} \subset V_c$ we have $P \cap (ax \setminus \{a\}) \neq \emptyset$.

In fact, let $cx \subset V_c$ be an arc (may be degenerate if $c = x$), and $\{z_m\}$ be a sequence of points of $ab \setminus \{a\}$ converging to a . By the ε -push property (Theorem 4) there are ξ_m -homeomorphisms $g_m: P \rightarrow P$ with $g_m(z_m) = a$ and $\lim \xi_m = 0$. By Proposition 5 of [P] the sets $g_m(A_1)$ separate the balls $B(a, \varepsilon - \xi_m)$ between c and b , and, do not intersect cx and some fixed ball $B(b, \xi) \subset V_b$ for sufficiently great m . Since $g_m(b) \in B(b, \xi)$, the sets $B(b, \xi) \cup g_m(bz_m) \cup ax \cup cx$ are connected for almost all m . Noting $g_m(bz_m) \cap g_m(A_1) = \emptyset$, where $bz_m \subset ab$, we see that the set $g_m(A_1) \subset P$ intersects $ax \setminus \{a\}$ for large m .

By (1) we see that there is a sequence $\{a_m\}$ converging to a with $a_m \in P \cap ac \setminus \{a\}$, and also

(2) $ap \setminus \{a\} \subset V_b$.

Let $pb \subset V_b$ be an arc, and let τ be a number such that

$$0 < \tau < \frac{1}{2} \min\{d(ap \cup pb \cup ab \cup ac, M \setminus B(a, \varepsilon)), d(pb \cup \{c\}, A_1)\}.$$

Further, let $\psi > 0$ be an Effros number for the number $\tau/4$ (see Theorem 4), and let $\varphi > 0$ be an Effros number for the number ψ . Now, find a_k with $d(a, a_k) < \varphi$, and let $f: P \rightarrow P$ be a ψ -homeomorphism such that $f(a) = a_k$. Let A_2 denote the component of $B(a, \varepsilon - \tau/4) \cap f(A_1)$ containing $f(a)$. By Lemma 3 this component separates the ball $B(a, \varepsilon - \tau/4)$ between c and b , and also between c and p (for obviously we have $\psi \leq \tau/4$). Moreover, the same lemma guarantees the existence of an arc $ca_k \subset B(a, \varepsilon - \tau/4 - \tau/2) = B(a, \varepsilon - 3\tau/4)$ with $ca_k \cap A_2 = \{a_k\}$. Let r be the first point of the arc $f(ab)$ (in the ordering from $f(a)$ to $f(b)$) intersecting A_1 . Since $d(a, a_k) < \psi$, we may find a point $q \in a_k r \subset f(ab)$ with $a_k \neq q \neq r$ and $d(a, q) < \psi$.

Now consider the component U of $B(a, \varepsilon - \tau/4) \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)$ containing q . Observe that this component contains no point of the set $pb \cup \{c\}$. The point a_k lies in the closures of U and of the component U_c of $B(a, \varepsilon - \tau/4) \setminus (A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U)$ containing c , for there exist the arcs ca_k and $a_k q \subset f(ab)$. Since a_k has a neighborhood in $A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U$ homeomorphic to E^n , there is, by Lemma 2, no

other component of $B(a, \varepsilon - \tau/4) \setminus (A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U)$ containing a_k in its closure, in particular, a_k does not lie in the closure of the component U_b containing b . Let B be a connected open neighborhood of a_k in A_2 with a positive distance from $A_1 \cup \text{Bd } U_b$. Then

- (3) the set $(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus B$ separates the ball $B(a, \varepsilon - \tau/4)$ between c and b .

For every $x \in qb' \cap \text{Bd } U \subset A_1 \setminus A_2$, where $b' = f(b)$ and $qb' \subset f(ab)$, find an open connected neighborhood B_x of x in $A_1 \setminus A_2$ such that

$$\text{cl}(\bigcup \{B_x : x \in qb' \cap \text{Bd } U\}) \cap \text{cl } A_2 = \emptyset.$$

Put $B_1 = \bigcup \{B_x : x \in qb' \cap \text{Bd } U\}$. Since $A_2 \subset (A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus B_1$, we get

- (4) the set $(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus B_1$ separates the ball $B(a, \varepsilon - \tau/4)$ between c and b .

We also have

- (5) the set $(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus (B \cup B_1)$ does not separate the ball $B(a, \varepsilon - \tau/4)$ between c and b .

For, the segment between b and $f(b)$ does not intersect $A_1 \cup A_2$, and, the arc $ca_k \cup f(ab)$ does not intersect $(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus (B \cup B_1)$.

Now, find a $(\tau/4)$ -homeomorphism $h: P \rightarrow P$ such that $h(q) = a$. Then we obtain

- (6) the set $h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B \cup B_1)$ does not separate the ball $B(a, \varepsilon - 2\tau/4)$ between c and b .

In fact, if not, then the set

$$h^{-1}(h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B \cup B_1)) = (A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus (B \cup B_1)$$

would separate $B(a, \varepsilon - 3\tau/4)$ between c and b (see Proposition 5 of [P]), an impossibility, for the segment between b and $f(b)$, as well as the arc $ca_k \cup f(ab)$, lie in $B(a, \varepsilon - 3\tau/4) \setminus ((A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus (B \cup B_1))$.

By Proposition 5 of [P] and by (3) and (4) we have

- (7) each of sets $h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B)$ and $h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B_1)$ separates the ball $B(a, \varepsilon - 2\tau/4)$ between c and b .

The following statement contradicts the previous one, so it completes the proof of Theorem 1.

- (8) One of the sets $h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B)$ and $h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B_1)$ fails to separate the ball $B(a, \varepsilon - 2\tau/4)$ between c and b .

Indeed, by (6) there is an arc cb in $B(a, \varepsilon - 2\tau/4) \setminus (h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B \cup B_1))$. By (7) this arc intersects the set $h(B \cup B_1)$. Since $d(B, B_1) > 0$, we have

$d(h(B), h(B_1)) > 0$. This implies that going from c to b along the arc cb , we may find a point $y \in cb$ such that either $y \in h(B)$ and $cy \cap h(B_1) = \emptyset$ or $y \in h(B_1)$ and $cy \cap h(B) = \emptyset$, where $cy \subset cb$. If $y \in h(B)$, then let $ya' \subset h(B)$ be an arc (where $a' = h(a_k) = hf(a)$), and put $J(y, a) = ya' \cup h(a_k q)$, where $a_k q \subset f(ab)$. If $y \in h(B_1)$, then $y \in h(B_x)$ for some $x \in qb' \cap \text{Bd } U$, where $b' = f(b)$ and $qb' \subset f(ab)$. Let $yx' \subset h(B_x)$ be an arc, where $x' = h(x)$, and put $x'a = h(xq)$, where $xq \subset f(ab)$. Then put $J(y, a) = yx' \cup x'a$. Thus in the former case we get $J(y, a) \cap (h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B)) = \emptyset$, and, in the latter case we have $J(y, a) \cap (h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B_1)) = \emptyset$. But since both considered homeomorphisms are $(\tau/4)$ -homeomorphisms, the set $h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U)$ does not intersect the arc pb . By the assumption on pa the set $h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \subset P$ does not intersect the arc pa . Therefore the connected set $cy \cup J(y, a) \cup pa \cup pb \subset B(a, \varepsilon - 2\tau/4)$ does not intersect either $h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B)$ or $h(A_2 \cup \text{Bd } U) \setminus h(B_1)$. Thus we have (8).

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

A simple proof of the next fact is left to the reader.

5. Fact. *A homogeneous locally connected continuum that topologically contains the cube I^n and contains no n -dimensional umbrella, is an n -manifold.*

Further, we get the following immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

6. Corollary. *A proper homogeneous locally connected subcontinuum of a connected $(n+1)$ -manifold, that topologically contains the cube I^n , is an n -manifold.*

It was proved in [P] that each homogeneous subcontinuum of E^{n+1} , which topologically contains I^n is locally connected. Thus we have the conclusion that forms the title of the paper.

7. Theorem. *Each homogeneous continuum that lies in the Euclidean space E^{n+1} and topologically contains the cube I^n is an n -manifold.*

REFERENCES

- [B] R. H. Bing, *A simple closed curve is the only homogeneous bounded plane continuum that contains an arc*, *Canad. J. Math.* **12** (1960), 209–230.
- [Bo] K. Borsuk, *Theorem of retracts*, PWN, Warsaw, 1967.
- [H] C. L. Hagopian, *Homogeneous plane continua*, *Houston J. Math.* **1** (1975), 35–41.
- [M] S. Mazurkiewicz, *Sur les continus homogènes*, *Fund. Math.* **5** (1924), 137–146.
- [P] J. R. Prajs, *Homogeneous continua in Euclidean $(n+1)$ -space which contain an n -cube are locally connected*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **307** (1988), 383–394.