

**A TRANVERSALITY THEOREM
FOR HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS AND
STABILITY OF EISENMAN-KOBAYASHI MEASURES**

SH. KALIMAN AND M. ZAIDENBERG

ABSTRACT. We show that Thom's Transversality Theorem is valid for holomorphic mappings from Stein manifolds. More precisely, given such a mapping $f : S \rightarrow M$ from a Stein manifold S to a complex manifold M and given an analytic subset A of the jet space $J^k(S, M)$, f can be approximated in neighborhoods of compacts by holomorphic mappings whose k -jet extensions are transversal to A . As an application the stability of Eisenman-Kobayashi intrinsic k -measures with respect to deleting analytic subsets of codimension $> k$ is proven. This is a generalization of the Campbell-Howard-Ochiai-Ogawa theorem on stability of Kobayashi pseudodistances.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let X and M be connected complex manifolds. Denote by $\text{Hol}(X, M)$ the space of holomorphic mappings $X \rightarrow M$ and by $J^k(X, M)$ the space of k -jets of holomorphic mappings $X \rightarrow M$. We say that $A \subset J^k(X, M)$ is a stratified analytic subset if A is a closed analytic subset in $J^k(X, M)$ (regarded as a complex manifold) with a stratification which satisfies Whitney's condition (a). Recall that for every closed analytic subset such stratification always exists [W]. The symbol $j_k(f) \pitchfork A$ where $f \in \text{Hol}(X, M)$ means that the k -jet extension $j_k(f) : X \rightarrow J^k(X, M)$ of f is transversal to each stratum of the given stratification of A .

The main result of this paper is the following analytic version of Thom's Transversality Theorem [T]. (To our surprise we did not find this fact in the literature, and it must be formulated.)

1.2. Transversality Theorem. *Let X and M be as above. Suppose that X is Stein and M is endowed with a Hermitian metric h . Let $A_i \subset J^{k_i}(X, M)$ be a sequence of stratified analytic subsets where k_i is a nonnegative integer and $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $f \in \text{Hol}(X, M)$ and let Y be a closed analytic subset of X such that $j^{k_i}(f)|_Y \pitchfork A_i$ for every i (where the restriction is regarded as a mapping from Y to $J^{k_i}(X, M)$). Then for each compact subset $K \subset X$, every natural k , and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an open neighborhood Ω of K in X and a holomorphic mapping $\tilde{f} = f_{\varepsilon, K, k} : \Omega \rightarrow M$ such that*

$$(1) \quad j^{k_i}(\tilde{f}) \pitchfork A_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots;$$

Received by the editors November 16, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32E10, 32H02, 58C10, 58A35, 58A07.

Supported by General Research Support Award.

- (2) $j^k(\tilde{f})|_{Y \cap \Omega} = j^k(f)|_{Y \cap \Omega}$;
(3) $\text{dist}_h(f|_{\Omega}, \tilde{f}) < \varepsilon$ uniformly in Ω .

In the algebraic setting this theorem can be strengthened due to the Demailly-Lempert-Shiffman Approximation Theorem [DLS].

1.3. Corollary. *Let the assumption of Theorem 1.2 hold. Suppose also that X is an affine algebraic variety, M is a smooth quasiprojective variety, Y is a closed algebraic subvariety of X , and for some $k > 0$ the mapping $j^k(f)|_Y : Y \rightarrow J^k(X, M)$ is a regular mapping. Then for each compact $K \subset X$, every $\varepsilon > 0$, and every natural n there exist a neighborhood Ω of K in X and a Nash algebraic mapping $\tilde{f} : \Omega \rightarrow M$ so that conditions (1) for $i = 1, \dots, n$, (2), (3) from Theorem 1.2 hold.*

Recall that \tilde{f} being Nash algebraic means that the graph of \tilde{f} is contained in an algebraic subvariety $N \subset X \times M$ where $\dim N = \dim X$; the same holds for the image of \tilde{f} .

1.4. Let M be a connected complex manifold of complex dimension $m > 0$. Then for any $k = 1, \dots, m$ the Eisenman k -measure E_M^k is intrinsically defined on the set of decomposable k -wedge vectors in $\Lambda^k TM$ and it has the following basic properties:

- every mapping of the k -wedge vector bundles of complex manifolds generated by a holomorphic mapping does not increase Eisenman measures;
- E_M^k coincides with the Bergman volume in the case when M is the unit ball B^k in \mathbb{C}^k ;

Moreover, the Eisenman k -measure is the largest measure on decomposable k -wedge vectors with the two above properties. Further information on Eisenman measures and their applications may be found in [E], [P], [Ko 1], [Ko 2], [LZ], [PS], [GW], [Ka 2]. Mention also that E_M^1 is nothing but the Kobayashi-Royden infinitesimal pseudometric K_M on TM , and E_M^m is the Eisenman-Kobayashi pseudovolume form on $\Lambda^m TM$.

By Campbell-Howard-Ochiai-Ogawa's theorem [CHO], [CO] the Kobayashi pseudodistance k_M of M does not change under deleting analytic subsets of M of codimension at least 2. From the Transversality Theorem 1.2 we obtain a generalization of this result.

1.5. Corollary. *Let A be a closed analytic subset of M of codimension at least $k+1$. Then $E_{M \setminus A}^k = E_M^k|_{M \setminus A}$. In particular, for $k = 1$ we have $K_{M \setminus A} = K_M|_{M \setminus A}$ if $\text{codim}_M A \geq 2$.*

By Royden's Theorem [Ro] the Kobayashi pseudodistance k_M is the integrated form of K_M . Therefore, $k_{M \setminus A} = k_M|_{M \setminus A}$ if $\text{codim}_M A \geq 2$ which is the Campbell-Howard-Ochiai-Ogawa Stability Theorem.

1.6. It is easily seen that the Eisenman-Kobayashi volume of the unit ball does change after deleting the origin (see [Ra] for qualified estimates). Thus in general condition $\text{codim}_M A \geq k+1$ of Corollary 1.5 cannot be made weaker. However, by a result of [Ka 1] if A is a smooth closed submanifold of M of codimension $\ell \geq 2$ then in some cases deleting A affects the Eisenman k -measure E_M^k exactly in the same way as blowing up $\sigma_A : M_A \rightarrow M$ of M with centrum at A . More precisely, if either $\ell \geq \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor + 2$ or the $(\ell - 1)$ -st Chern class of the normal bundle of A is trivial then $\sigma_A^* E_{M_A \setminus A}^k = E_{M_A}^k|_{M_A \setminus E}$ where E is the exceptional divisor of σ_A in M_A . In other words, the Eisenman k -measure does not change under deleting of a

smooth divisor which can be contracted onto a smooth submanifold of codimension $\geq \lceil \frac{k-1}{2} \rceil + 2$. In particular, deleting of the exceptional divisor of a blow-up with centrum at a point does not affect any of Eisenman k -measures. This stability result was a motivation for the present paper.

1.7. Returning to the Transversality Theorem note that in general an approximation of a given holomorphic mapping from a Stein manifold X by transversal ones (which does exist in neighborhoods of compacts, by Theorem 1.2) cannot be found on the whole X due to certain rigidity phenomena. This can be shown by simple examples.

1.8. Examples. a) Consider a smooth complex surface S with a (-1) -curve $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \cong E \hookrightarrow S$ be an embedding. Put $A = f(0) \in S$. Then f cannot be uniformly approximated by holomorphic mappings $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S$ transversal to A , since after blowing E down the image of $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{C})$ would be contained in a ball with center at the image of E , but not coincide with this point. Thus, \tilde{f} itself must be constant which is absurd. Moreover, if S is a blow-up of a hyperbolic surface (for instance, S is the blow-up of the unit ball B^2 in \mathbb{C}^2 at the origin) then the above f cannot be approximated (not necessarily uniformly) on compacts by $\tilde{f} \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}, S)$ transversal to A , by the same reason.

b) Let $\pi : S \rightarrow C$ be a morphism of a smooth projective surface S' onto a curve C whose generic fibers are pairwise non-isomorphic curves of genus $g \geq 2$. Fix a generic fiber $F = \pi^{-1}(c_0)$ over a point $c_0 \in C$ and two distinct points $A, B \in F$. Put $R = F - \{B\}$. Then R is an open Riemann surface, i.e. it is Stein. The identical embedding $f : R \hookrightarrow F \hookrightarrow S$ cannot be approximated uniformly by holomorphic mappings $\tilde{f} : R \rightarrow S$ transversal to A . Indeed, $\pi \circ \tilde{f} \equiv \text{const} = c \neq c_0$ and, therefore, $\tilde{f}(R) \subset F_c = \pi^{-1}(c)$. Since c can be assumed to be sufficiently close to c_0 the fiber F_c is a hyperbolic curve which is not isomorphic to F . But, by the big Picard theorem, the mapping $\tilde{f} : R \rightarrow F_c$ can be extended to an isomorphism $F \rightarrow F_c$ which is impossible.

c) Consider the Stein domain $D = \{z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |x| < 1, |xy| < 1\}$. Clearly, the image of every non-constant holomorphic mapping $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow D$ is contained in the y -axis. Hence the identical embedding of this axis into D cannot be approximated on compacts by holomorphic mappings $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow D$ transversal to the origin.

d) In [BG] a family of surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 was constructed which gives a smooth proper morphism $\pi : M \rightarrow \Delta$ of a threefold M onto the unit disc so that all fibers of π except for the central one $F_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$ are hyperbolic, and F_0 is a Fermat surface of degree 50. It is known [G] that any entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow F_0$, $f \not\equiv \text{const}$, is contained in a finite union of projective lines in F_0 . Thus the same is true for every entire curve in M due to the Liouville theorem and the hyperbolicity of nonzero fibers. Therefore, none of these curves can be pushed away from a point on it by means of small deformations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let, as above, X and M be connected complex manifolds. By $J_x^k(X, M)$ we denote the stalk at x of k -jets of holomorphic mappings $X \rightarrow M$. If V is an open subset in X then the jet space $J^k(V, M)$ can be viewed as a domain in $J^k(X, M)$. For a holomorphic vector bundle $\zeta = (T, \pi, X)$ we treat k -jets of its holomorphic sections as k -jets of holomorphic mappings $X \rightarrow T$.

Let A be a closed analytic subset of a complex manifold N and Σ be an analytic stratification of A which satisfies Whitney's condition (a). By $A_{\Sigma,l}$ we denote the union of all strata of Σ of dimension $\leq l$. It is known that $A_{\Sigma,l}$ is a closed analytic subset of N . By Whitney's condition (a), if $f \in \text{Hol}(X, N)$ is transversal to $A_{\Sigma,l}$ then f is transversal to A in a neighborhood of $A_{\Sigma,l}$ as well as any $\tilde{f} \in \text{Hol}(X, N)$ which is sufficiently uniformly close to f in $C^1(X, N)$ -topology.

3. SOME LEMMAS ON HOLOMORPHIC VECTOR FIELDS AND COLLECTIVE TRANSVERSALITY

As in the smooth case the proof of the Transversality Theorem is based on the Collective Transversality Lemma (see [T], [AVG]) which is the main result of this section. To prove it we need several simple lemmas on holomorphic vector fields. In the first of them we show that fixing the k -jet of a vector field at a point yields fixing the k -jet of the associated phase flow at this point.

3.1. Lemma. *Let ν be a germ of a holomorphic vector field at the origin $\bar{0}_r \in \mathbb{C}^r$ with the phase flow $\varphi_{\nu,t}$, where t is the complex time in a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C} . If $j^k(\nu)(\bar{0}_r) = \bar{0}$ for some $k \geq 0$ then for any t with $|t| \ll 1$ one has $j^k(\varphi_{\nu,t})(\bar{0}_r) = j^k(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^r})(\bar{0}_r)$.*

Proof. Since the phase flow $\varphi_{\nu,t} : (\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}, \bar{0}_r \times 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^r, \bar{0}_r)$ is holomorphic, it has a convergent power series expansion

$$(1) \quad \varphi_{\nu,t}(\bar{x}) = \bar{x} + t\nu(\bar{x}) + \sum_{i \geq 2} t^i \nu_i(\bar{x})$$

in some neighborhood of the origin $\bar{0}_r \times 0 \in \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}$, where $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{C}^r$ and $\nu_i(\bar{x})$, $i = 2, \dots$, are germs of holomorphic vector fields at $\bar{0}_r \in \mathbb{C}^r$. Therefore,

$$(2) \quad j^k(\varphi_{\nu,t})(\bar{x}') = j^k(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^r})(\bar{x}') + t j^k(\nu)(\bar{x}') + \sum_{i \geq 2} t^i j^k(\nu_i)(\bar{x}').$$

Thus we have to show that the condition $j^k(\nu)(\bar{0}_r) = \bar{0}$ implies that $j^k(\nu_i)(\bar{0}_r) = \bar{0}$ for all $i \geq 2$. Note that $\varphi_{\nu,t+s} = \varphi_{\nu,s} \circ \varphi_{\nu,t}$ when $|s|$ and $|t|$ are small enough. Put $\nu_1(\bar{x}) = \nu(\bar{x})$ and compare the two convergent expansions:

$$(3) \quad \varphi_{\nu,t+s}(\bar{x}) = \bar{x} + \sum_{i \geq 1} (t+s)^i \nu_i(\bar{x})$$

and

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi_{\nu,s} \circ \varphi_{\nu,t}(\bar{x}) \\ &= \bar{x} + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i \nu_i(\bar{x}) + s\nu_1 \left(\bar{x} + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i \nu_i(\bar{x}) \right) + \sum_{i \geq 2} s^i \nu_i \left(\bar{x} + \sum_{n \geq 1} t^n \nu_n(\bar{x}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose by induction that $j_k(\nu_i)(\bar{0}_r) = \bar{0}$ for $i \leq l$ where $l \geq 1$. Assume that $j^k(\nu_{l+1})(\bar{0}_r) \neq \bar{0}$. By (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j^k(\varphi_{\nu,t+s})(\bar{0}_r) &= j^k(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^r})(\bar{0}_r) + (t+s)^{l+1} j^k(\nu_{l+1})(\bar{0}_r) \\ &\quad + (\text{higher order terms in } (t+s)). \end{aligned}$$

At the same time (4) implies

$$j^k(\varphi_{\nu,s} \circ \varphi_{\nu,t})(\bar{0}_r) = j^k(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^r})(\bar{0}_r) + (t^{l+1} + s^{l+1})j^k(\nu_{l+1})(\bar{0}_r) \\ + (\text{higher order terms in } t \text{ and } s).$$

Since $l+1 \geq 2$ this leads to contradiction. \blacksquare

3.2. Definition. Let $T \rightarrow N$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on a complex manifold N , $\mathcal{O}(T)$ be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of T , and p be a point of N . A linear subspace $V \subset \mathcal{O}_p(T)$ will be called *k-sufficient* if the set of k -jets of germs from V coincides with the whole stalk $J_p^k(T)$, i.e. for any germ $\nu \in \mathcal{O}_p(T)$ there exists a germ $\mu \in V$ such that $j^k(\mu)(p) = j^k(\nu)(p)$. A linear subspace $W \subset H^0(N, \mathcal{O}(T))$ will be called *k-sufficient at p* if the subspace V of germs at p of sections from W is k -sufficient.

3.3. Denote by \mathcal{T}_s the $_s\mathcal{O}$ -module of germs of holomorphic vector fields at the origin $\bar{0}_s \in \mathbb{C}^s$. If $V \subset \mathcal{T}_s$ is a finite dimensional subspace then all germs from V can be represented by vector fields holomorphic in a common neighborhood $U \ni \bar{0}_s$ in \mathbb{C}^s . Moreover, one can choose U so that all phase flows $\varphi_{\nu,t}$ are defined correctly on U for every $\nu \in V$ and sufficiently small $|t|$. Furthermore, we may suppose that $\varphi_{\nu,t}$ is defined correctly on U for every $t \in \bar{\Delta} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$ as soon as $\nu \in \omega$, where ω is a neighborhood of the zero germ $\bar{0}_V$ in V .

Consider the holomorphic mapping $\rho_V : \omega \ni \nu \mapsto \varphi_{\nu,1} \in \text{Hol}(U, \mathbb{C}^s)$ and its k -jet extension at the origin $\rho_{V,k} : \omega \ni \nu \mapsto j^k(\varphi_{\nu,1})(\bar{0}_s) \in J_{\bar{0}_s}^k((\mathbb{C}^s, \bar{0}_s), \mathbb{C}^s)$.

3.4. Lemma. *Let a finite dimensional subspace $V \subset \mathcal{T}_s$ be k-sufficient. Then the differential $d\rho_{V,k}$ at the origin $\bar{0}_V \in \omega \subset V$ is surjective.*

Proof. Since $\varphi_{t_1\nu, t_2} = \varphi_{\nu, t_1 t_2}$ we have $\varphi_{t\nu, 1} = \varphi_{\nu, t}$. Applying expansions (1) and (2) one obtains that for $\nu \in V$

$$\rho_{V,k}(t\nu) = j^k(\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^s})(\bar{0}_s) + t j^k(\nu)(\bar{0}_s) + \sum_{i \geq 2} t^i j^k(\nu_i)(\bar{0}_s).$$

Therefore, the derivative $\partial\rho_{V,k}/\partial\nu$ at the direction ν at the origin $\bar{0}_V \in V$ is equal to $j^k(\nu)(\bar{0}_s)$. Since V is k -sufficient the image of the differential $d\rho_{V,k}(\bar{0}_V)$ coincides with the whole stalk $J_{\bar{0}_s}^k(T\mathbb{C}^s) = J_{\bar{0}_s}^k((\mathbb{C}^s, \bar{0}_s), \mathbb{C}^s)$. \blacksquare

3.5. For $r \leq s$ we regard \mathbb{C}^r as a coordinate subspace in $\mathbb{C}^s : \mathbb{C}^r = \{\bar{x}' \in \mathbb{C}^s \mid \bar{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_r, 0, \dots, 0)\}$. The restriction to this subspace defines for any nonnegative integer k the projection $\pi_{s,r}^k : J^k((\mathbb{C}^s, \bar{0}_s), \mathbb{C}^s) \rightarrow J^k((\mathbb{C}^r, \bar{0}_r), \mathbb{C}^s)$.

Let V and ω be as in 3.3. Consider the holomorphic mapping

$$\Phi = \Phi_{V,r,k} : \omega \times (\mathbb{C}^r, \bar{0}_r) \rightarrow J^k((\mathbb{C}^r, \bar{0}_r), \mathbb{C}^s)$$

given by the formula

$$\Phi(\nu, \bar{x}') = \pi_{s,r}^k \circ j^k(\varphi_{\nu,1})(\bar{x}').$$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega \times (\mathbb{C}, \bar{0}_r) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & J^k((\mathbb{C}^r, \bar{0}_r), \mathbb{C}^s) \\
\searrow pr_2 & & \swarrow pr \\
& & (\mathbb{C}^r, \bar{0}_r)
\end{array}$$

where pr_2 and pr are the canonical projections. Since the restriction

$$\Phi|_{(pr_2)^{-1}(\bar{0}_r)} : \omega \rightarrow J_{\bar{0}_r}^k((\mathbb{C}^r, \bar{0}_r), \mathbb{C}^s) = pr^{-1}(\bar{0}_r)$$

coincides with the composition $\pi_{s,r}^k \circ \rho_{V,k}$, the above diagram and Lemma 3.4 imply the following fact.

3.6. Corollary. *Let a finite dimensional subspace $V \subset \mathcal{T}_s$ be k -sufficient. Then the differential $d\Phi$ at the origin $\bar{0}_V \times \bar{0}_r \in V \times \mathbb{C}^r$ is surjective.*

3.7. Lemma. *Let Y be a closed analytic subset of a Stein manifold X and let $x_0 \in X \setminus Y$. Suppose that T is a holomorphic vector bundle on X . Then for every nonnegative integer k there exists a holomorphic section σ of T with a given k -jet ν at x_0 and such that $j^k(\sigma)|_Y \equiv \bar{0}$.*

Proof. Let I_Y (resp. I_{x_0}) be the ideal sheaf of Y (resp. x_0) in \mathcal{O}_X . Put

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_X(T), \quad \mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (I_Y)^k, \quad \mathcal{T}'' = \mathcal{T}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (I_{x_0})^{k+1}.$$

Note that \mathcal{T}' (resp. \mathcal{T}'') can be regarded as a subset of \mathcal{T} (resp. \mathcal{T}'). Put $J = \mathcal{T}'/\mathcal{T}''$. Then $J|_{X \setminus \{x_0\}}$ is trivial. It is also clear that $J|_{\{x_0\}}$ coincides with the stalk $J_{x_0}^k(T)$ of k -jets of germs at x_0 of holomorphic sections of T . Thus every k -jet ν at x_0 may be viewed as a global holomorphic section σ_0 of J . By the Cartan Theorem B applied to the coherent sheaf \mathcal{T}'' , we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}'') = 0$. Hence the exact cohomology sequence implies that the section σ_0 is the image of a holomorphic section $\sigma \in H^0(X, \mathcal{T}')$. Clearly, σ is a section of T with the desired properties. ■

3.8. Corollary. *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.7 there exists a finite dimensional subspace $V \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(T))$ such that $j^k(\sigma)|_Y \equiv \bar{0}$ for all $\sigma \in V$ and V is k -sufficient at x_0 , i.e. $J_{x_0}^k(T) = J_{x_0}^k(V)$.*

Proof. Choose a basis v_1, \dots, v_N of $J_{x_0}^k(T)$. By Lemma 3.7, for each $i = 1, \dots, N$ there exists $\nu_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(T))$ such that $j^k(\nu_i)|_Y \equiv 0$ and $j^k(\nu_i)(x_0) = v_i$. Put $V = \text{span}(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$. ■

Denote by $\mathcal{T}_M = H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(TM))$ the space of global holomorphic vector fields on a complex manifold M .

3.9. Lemma. *Let X be a closed submanifold of a Stein manifold M , Y be a closed analytic subset of X , and $K \subset X$, $K' \subset K \setminus Y$ be compact subsets. Then there exist a neighborhood U of K in X (resp. U' of K' in $X \setminus Y$), a finite dimensional subspace $V \subset \mathcal{T}_M$, and a neighborhood ω of the origin $\bar{0}_V \in V$ such that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $j^k(v)|_Y \equiv \bar{0}$ for each $v \in V$;

- (ii) for every $\nu \in \omega$ the associated phase flow $\varphi_{\nu,t}$ is defined on $U \times \bar{\Delta}$;
 (iii) if Φ is the holomorphic mapping $\Phi : \omega \times U \ni (\nu, x) \mapsto j^k(\varphi_{\nu,1})(x) \in J^k(U, M)$ then the differential $d\Phi$ is surjective at each point $(\nu, x') \in \omega \times U'$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.8, for each point $x' \in K'$ there exists a finite dimensional subspace $V_{x'} \subset \mathcal{J}_M$ which is k -sufficient at x' and satisfies the above condition (i). Of course, $V_{x'}$ is k -sufficient at each point of some small neighborhood $U'_{x'}$ of x' in

X . Let $\{U'_{x'_i}\}_{i=1}^{N'}$ be a finite covering of K' by such neighborhoods. Put $U' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N'} U'_{x'_i}$

and $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N'} V_{x'_i}$. Then V is a finite dimensional subspace of \mathcal{T}_M which satisfies (i) and is k -sufficient at each point $x' \in U'$.

Furthermore, for each point $x \in K$ there exist a neighborhood U_x in X and a neighborhood $\omega_x \ni \bar{0}_V$ in V such that for every $\nu \in \omega_x$ the associated phase flow $\varphi_{\nu,t}$ is holomorphic in $U_x \times \bar{\Delta}$. Choose a finite covering $\{U_{x_j}\}_{j=1}^N$ of the compact K in X and put $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^N U_{x_j}$, $\omega = \bigcap_{j=1}^N \omega_{x_j}$. Then U and ω satisfy condition (ii).

By Corollary 3.6, condition (iii) also holds. \blacksquare

Next we give an analytic version of the Collective Transversality Lemma [AVG].

3.10. Lemma. *Under the assumption of Lemma 3.9 for every stratified analytic subset $A \subset J^k(X, M)$ there exists a dense subset ω_0 of ω such that for each $\nu \in \omega_0$ the mapping*

$$j^k(\varphi_{\nu,1})|_{U'} : U' \rightarrow J^k(U', M) \subset J^k(X, M)$$

is transversal to A .

Proof. Denote by $(A_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ the collection of strata of the given stratification Σ of A which satisfies Whitney's condition (a). Put $\tilde{A} = \Phi^{-1}(A) \subset \omega \times U'$. Since $d\Phi$ is surjective at each point $(\nu, x') \in \omega \times U'$ it is clear that $\{\tilde{A}_\sigma = \Phi^{-1}(A_\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ is an analytic stratification of \tilde{A} which also satisfies Whitney's condition (a). Furthermore, the mapping $j^k(\varphi_{\nu,1})|_{U'} : U' \rightarrow J^k(X, M)$ is transversal to A iff the identical embedding $U'_\nu := \{\nu\} \times U' \hookrightarrow \omega \times U'$ is transversal to \tilde{A} . The latter means that for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the differential of the canonical projection $pr_1 : \omega \times U' \rightarrow \omega$ restricted to \tilde{A} is surjective at each of the sets $U'_\nu \cap \tilde{A}_\sigma$. Put $\pi_\sigma = pr_1|_{\tilde{A}_\sigma} : \tilde{A}_\sigma \rightarrow \omega$ and $S_\sigma = \{x \in \tilde{A}_\sigma \mid \text{rank } d\pi_\sigma(x) < \dim \omega\}$. By Proposition I.3.8 in [Ch], the image $\pi_\sigma(S_\sigma) \subset \omega$ is contained in at most countable union of proper analytic subsets of ω (not necessarily closed). The same is valid for the "discriminant" set $D = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \pi_\sigma(S_\sigma) \subset \omega$. Therefore, the desired transversality condition holds for any ν in the dense subset $\omega_0 = \omega \setminus D$ of ω . \blacksquare

4. PROOF OF THE TRANSVERSALITY THEOREM

4.1. Lemma. *Let X be a closed submanifold of a Stein manifold M , Y be a closed analytic subset of X , and K be a compact in X . Suppose that A is a stratified analytic subset of $J^k(X, M)$. Let f be the identical embedding and let $j^k(f)|_Y \pitchfork A$. Then in a neighborhood Ω of K in X the mapping f can be uniformly approximated*

(with respect to a given Hermitian metric h on M) by holomorphic mappings $\tilde{f} : \Omega \rightarrow M$ such that $j^k(\tilde{f}) \pitchfork A$ and $j^k(\tilde{f})|_{Y \cap \Omega} = j^k(f)|_{Y \cap \Omega}$.

Proof. Since the given stratification Σ of A satisfies Whitney's condition (a) and $j^k(f)|_Y \pitchfork A$, there exists a neighborhood W of Y in X for which $j^k(f)|_W \pitchfork A$. Put $K' = K \setminus W'$, where $W' \subset W$ is a smaller neighborhood of Y such that $\overline{W'} \subset W$. Let $U \supset K$, $U' \supset K'$, $V \subset \mathcal{T}_M$, and $\omega \subset V$ be the same as in Lemma 3.9. Let Ω be a neighborhood of K so that $\Omega \subset \subset (U' \cup W') \cap U$. Put $f_\nu = \varphi_{\nu,1}|_\Omega$ for $\nu \in \omega$. Since (A, Σ) satisfies Whitney's condition (a) and $j^k(f_\nu) \rightarrow j^k(f)|_\Omega$ as $\nu \rightarrow \overline{0}_V \in V$ there exists a smaller neighborhood $\omega' \subset \omega$ of the origin $\overline{0}_V \in V$ for which $j^k(f_\nu)|_{W' \cap \Omega} \pitchfork A$ for all $\nu \in \omega'$. By Lemma 3.10, we also have $j^k(f_\nu)|_{U' \cap \Omega} \pitchfork A$ for every ν in a dense subset ω'_0 of ω' . So, $j^k(f_\nu)|_\Omega \pitchfork A$ for every $\nu \in \omega'_0$. Condition $j^k(\nu)|_Y \equiv \overline{0}$ and Lemma 3.1 imply that $j^k(f_\nu)|_{Y \cap \Omega} = j^k(\varphi_{\nu,1})|_{Y \cap \Omega} = j^k(\text{id}_X)|_{Y \cap \Omega} = j^k(f)|_{Y \cap \Omega}$. We conclude the proof by noting that $\tilde{f} = f_\nu$ uniformly converges to $f|_\Omega = \text{id}$ on Ω when $\nu \in \omega'_0$ approaches $\overline{0}_V$. ■

The rest of this section is a reduction of Theorem 1.2 to Lemma 4.1. The first step is to replace $f = \text{id}_X$ in Lemma 4.1 by an arbitrary holomorphic mapping $f : X \rightarrow M$.

4.2. Lemma. *Let X be a Stein manifold, M be a complex manifold endowed by a Hermitian metric h , $f : X \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic mapping. Let Y, K, A be the same as in Lemma 4.1, and as before $j^k(f) \pitchfork A$. Then the conclusion of Lemma 4.1 holds for such f .*

Proof. Let $F = (\text{id}_X, f)$ be the embedding of $X \hookrightarrow X \times M$ onto the graph of f . In sequel we identify X with its image under F and consider F as the identical embedding. Denote by $pr_* : j^k(X, X \times M) = J^k(X, X) \times J^k(X, M) \rightarrow J^k(X, M)$ the forgetting projection. It is easily seen that the analytic subset $\tilde{A} = (pr_*)^{-1}(A) \subset J^k(X, X \times M)$ with the induced stratification is a stratified analytic subset, i.e. the Whitney condition (a) holds. Furthermore, the assumption $j^k(f)|_Y \pitchfork A$ yields $j^k(F)|_Y \pitchfork \tilde{A}$. Let g be any Hermitian metric on X and let \tilde{h} be the Hermitian metric on $X \times M$ that is the Euclidian sum of g and h . By Siu's theorem [S], the Stein submanifold $X = F(X) \hookrightarrow X \times M$ admits a Stein neighborhood M' in $X \times M$. By Lemma 4.1 applied to the identical embedding F of $X = F(X)$ into the Stein manifold M' , in a neighborhood Ω of a compact K the mapping F can be uniformly approximated (with respect to the metric \tilde{h}) by holomorphic mappings $\tilde{F} : \Omega \rightarrow M' \hookrightarrow X \times M$ such that $j^k(\tilde{F})|_{Y \cap \Omega} = j^k(F)|_{Y \cap \Omega}$ and $j^k(\tilde{F}) \pitchfork \tilde{A}$. Put $\tilde{f} = pr_M \circ \tilde{F}$ where $pr_M : X \times M \rightarrow M$ is the canonical projection. Clearly, \tilde{f} uniformly approximates $f|_\Omega$ with respect to the metric h on M , $j^k(\tilde{f})|_{Y \cap \Omega} = j^k(f)|_{Y \cap \Omega}$, and $j^k(\tilde{f}) \pitchfork A$. Therefore, all conclusions of Lemma 4.1 hold. ■

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. For any holomorphic submersion $\varphi : E \rightarrow B$ of complex manifolds which is a smooth fiber bundle and for every Hermitian metric h on B , using smooth partition of unity, one can construct a Hermitian metric \tilde{h} on E so that $\varphi^*h \leq \tilde{h}$. By this simple remark, we may fix a sequence of Hermitian metrics

h_l on $J^l(X, M)$ such that all natural projections $\pi_{m,n} : J^m(X, M) \rightarrow J^n(X, M)$ (where $n < m$) and $\pi_l : J^l(X, M) \rightarrow M$ (M being endowed with a Hermitian metric h) are contractions with respect to these metrics. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset and $g : U \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic mapping. If $A \subset J^n(X, M)$ is a stratified analytic subset and for $m > n$ the preimage $\pi_{m,n}^{-1}(A)$ is endowed with the induced

stratification, then it is easily seen that conditions $j^n(g) \not\lrcorner A$ and $j^m(g) \not\lrcorner \pi_{m,n}^{-1}(A)$ are equivalent. Hence, passing to appropriate preimages of the given stratified analytic subsets $A_i \subset J^{k_i}(X, M)$, $i = 1, \dots$, we may suppose that $k \leq k_i < k_j$ for all $i < j$, $i, j = 1, \dots$. Next we fix a relatively compact neighborhood Ω of K in X which is a Runge domain in X . To see that Ω exists it is enough to take the intersection of the Stein manifold X embedded in \mathbb{C}^N with a large ball B_R^N containing the image of K . Fix also a bigger Runge neighborhood Ω_0 (for instance, put $\Omega_0 = X \cap B_{R+1}^N$). Replacing K by the compact $L = \overline{\Omega_0}$, we will construct by induction a decreasing sequence $\dots \subset \subset \Omega_{l+1} \subset \subset \Omega_l \subset \subset \dots \subset \subset \Omega_1$ of neighborhoods of L in X and a sequence of holomorphic mappings $f_l : \Omega_l \rightarrow M$ which satisfy

- (1 $_l$) $j^{k_l}(f_l) \not\lrcorner A_l$,
- (2 $_l$) $j^k(f_l)|_{Y \cap \Omega_l} = j^k(f)|_{Y \cap \Omega_l}$,
- (3 $_l$) $\text{dist}_{h_{k_l}}(j^{k_l}(f_l), j^{k_l}(f_{l-1})) < \delta_l \leq 2^{-l}\varepsilon$ uniformly on Ω_l ,

where $\delta_l > 0$ will be defined later on, and where for $l = 1$ in (3 $_l$) $f_0 := f$. By our choice of Hermitian metrics h_i , given such a sequence $\{f_l\}$, we have

- (3') $\text{dist}_h(f_l, f_{l-1}) < 2^{-l}\varepsilon$

for all l uniformly in Ω_0 . Therefore, $f_l \xrightarrow{l} \tilde{f}$ uniformly in Ω_0 where $\tilde{f} \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_0, M)$ satisfies condition (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.2. To ensure condition (1) we need to choose $\{\delta_l\}$ in an appropriate way.

By the Cauchy integral formula, given an open subset $U \subset X$ and a sequence $g_i \in \text{Hol}(U, M)$ convergent to $g \in \text{Hol}(U, M)$ uniformly on a compact subset $R \subset U$, we have a uniform convergence on R of the l -jet extensions $j^l(g_i)$ to $j^l(g)$ for every natural l . Thus, by Lemma 4.2, there exists a neighborhood Ω_1 of L in X

and a holomorphic mapping $f_1 : \Omega_1 \rightarrow M$ such that $j^{k_1}(f_1) \not\lrcorner A_1$, $j^{k_1}(f_1)|_{Y \cap \Omega_1} = j^{k_1}(f)|_{Y \cap \Omega_1}$, and $\text{dist}_{h_{k_1}}(j^{k_1}(f_1), j^{k_1}(f)) < \varepsilon/2$. Hence f_1 satisfies (1 $_1$) – (3 $_1$) with $\delta_1 = \varepsilon/2$. Passing to a smaller neighborhood of L we may suppose that Ω_1 is Stein. Let δ_i , $i = 1, \dots, l$, be already constructed so that f_i satisfies (1 $_i$) – (3 $_i$) for $i \leq l$. By Lemma 4.2 and the note above applied to the holomorphic mapping $f_l : \Omega_l \rightarrow M$, for every δ_{l+1} such that $0 < \delta_{l+1} < 2^{-(l+1)}\varepsilon$ there exist a smaller Stein neighborhood $\Omega_{l+1} \subset \subset \Omega_l$ of the compact L in X and a holomorphic mapping $f_{l+1} : \Omega_{l+1} \rightarrow M$ which satisfy (1 $_{l+1}$) – (3 $_{l+1}$). Now for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ we have

$$\text{dist}_{h_{k_{i+1}}}(j^{k_{i+1}}(f_i)|_{\Omega_{i+1}}, j^{k_{i+1}}(f_{i+1})) < \sum_{p=i+1}^{l+1} \delta_p. \text{ Since } k_i < k_{i+1} \text{ and, by condition}$$

- (1), $j^{k_i}(f_i) \not\lrcorner A_i$ there exists $\mu_i > 0$ such that for any $g \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_0, M)$ the condition
- (5) $\text{dist}_{h_{k_{i+1}}}(j^{k_{i+1}}(g), j^{k_{i+1}}(f_i)) < \mu_i$

in Ω_0 yields $j^{k_i}(g)|_{\Omega} \not\lrcorner A_i$. So, we will choose $\{\delta_i\}$ in such a way that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{p=i+1}^{\infty} \delta_p < \mu_i$$

for every $i = 1, \dots$. By induction, we may suppose that the condition

$$\rho_i := \sum_{p=i+1}^l \delta_p < \mu_i/2, \quad i = 1, \dots, l-1,$$

is already true. Choose δ_{l+1} , $0 < \delta_{l+1} < 2^{-(l+1)}\varepsilon$ so that $\delta_{l+1} < \min_{1 \leq i \leq l-1} (\mu_i/2 - \rho_i)$ and $\delta_{l+1} < \mu_l/2$. This implies (6) for every $i = 1, \dots$. Therefore, the limit mapping $g := \tilde{f} = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} f_l \in \text{Hol}(\Omega_0, M)$ satisfies (5). Hence $j^{k_i}(\tilde{f})|_{\Omega} \not\lrcorner A_i$ which completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5. STABILITY OF EISENMAN INTRINSIC k -MEASURES

5.1. *Proof of Corollary 1.5.* Denote by \bar{e}_k the k -vector

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \in \Lambda^k T_{\bar{0}_k}^* B^k$$

at the origin $\bar{0}_k \in B^k \subset \mathbb{C}^k$. Recall [E], [P], [Ko 1], [GW] that for a complex manifold M and a decomposable vector $\bar{v} = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \in \Lambda^k T_p M$, where $p \in M$ and $1 \leq k \leq m = \dim M$, the Eisenman k -measure of \bar{v} is defined by the formula

$$E_M^k(p, \bar{v}) = \inf\{\lambda^2 \mid \lambda > 0 \text{ is such that there exists } f \in \text{Hol}(B^k, M) \\ \text{with } f(\bar{0}_k) = p \text{ and } df(\lambda \bar{e}_k) = \bar{v}\}.$$

If A is a closed analytic subset of M of codimension at least $k+1$ and $p \in M \setminus A$ then, by Transversality Theorem 1.2, every holomorphic mapping $f : B^k \rightarrow M$ with $f(\bar{0}_k) = p$ and $df(\lambda \bar{e}_k) = \bar{v}$ can be approximated by holomorphic mappings of smaller balls $f_\varepsilon : B_{1-\varepsilon}^k \rightarrow M \setminus A$ with $f_\varepsilon(\bar{0}_k) = p$ and $df_\varepsilon(\lambda \bar{e}_k) = \bar{v}$. This observation implies Corollary 1.5 in the Introduction.

Indeed, consider the mapping $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(z) = f_\varepsilon((1-\varepsilon)z)$, $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon \in \text{Hol}(B^k, M \setminus A)$, $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(\bar{0}_k) = p$, $d\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(\bar{e}_k) = (1-\varepsilon)\lambda \bar{v}$. By the above definition, we obtain

$$E_{M \setminus A}^k(p, \bar{v}) \leq E_M^k(p, \bar{v}).$$

The opposite inequality holds since embeddings do not increase Eisenman measures.

In [PS] it was mentioned that the Campbell-Howard-Ochiai-Ogawa Stability Theorem is still true for any subset of M of Hausdorff $(2m-2)$ -measure zero. Here we present the similar generalization of Corollary 1.5.

5.2. Proposition. *Let M be a connected complex manifold of complex dimension m and let A be its subset of Hausdorff $(2m-2k)$ -measure 0 for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Then $E_{M \setminus A}^k = E_M^k|_{M \setminus A}$.*

The proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 1.5, but instead of Theorem 4.3 we have to use the following modification of it.

5.3. Theorem. *Let M be a connected complex manifold of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = m$ with a Hermitian metric h , and let A be its closed subset of Hausdorff $(2m-2k)$ -measure zero for some $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let X be a Stein manifold of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = k$, Y be a closed analytic subset of X , and $f : X \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic mapping such that $f(Y) \subset M \setminus A$. Then for any compact $K \subset X$ the mapping f can be uniformly (with respect to h) approximated in a neighborhood Ω of K by holomorphic mappings $\tilde{f} : \Omega \rightarrow M \setminus A$ such that $j^1(f)|_{Y \cap \Omega} = j^1(\tilde{f})|_{Y \cap \Omega}$.*

Proof. We follow the lines of the proof of Theorem 1.2. As in Lemma 4.2, replacing f by the embedding $F = (\text{id}_X, f)$ onto the graph of f and replacing M by a Stein neighborhood of the graph in $X \times M$, we may suppose that M is Stein, X is a closed submanifold of M , and f is the identical embedding $X \hookrightarrow M$. Note that under this replacement the codimension of X in M is still equal to the dimension of vanishing Hausdorff measure of A (A being replaced by $pr_2^{-1}(A) \subset X \times M$). Since $f(Y) \cap A = \emptyset$ there exists a neighborhood W of $Y \cap K$ in X such that $f(W) \cap A = W \cap A = \emptyset$. Fix a smaller relatively compact neighborhood $W' \subset \subset W$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each $\tilde{f} \in \text{Hol}(W', M)$ with $\text{dist}_h(\tilde{f}, f|_{W'}) < \varepsilon$ uniformly in W' one has $\tilde{f}(W') \cap A = \emptyset$. Put $K' = K - W'$. By Lemma 3.9, there exist neighborhoods U of K in X , U' of K' in X , a finite dimensional subspace $V \subset \mathcal{T}_M$, and a neighborhood ω' of the origin $\bar{0}_V \in V$ such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) $j^1(\nu)|_Y \equiv \bar{0}$ for every $\nu \in V$;
- (ii) $\Phi \in \text{Hol}(\omega' \times U, M)$ where $\Phi(\nu, z) = \varphi_{\nu,1}(z)$;
- (iii) $\text{dist}_h(\varphi_{\nu,1}|_U, f|_U) < \varepsilon$ uniformly in U ;
- (iv) the rank of $d\Phi$ is $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ at each point $z \in U'$.

By Lemma 3.1, (i) and (ii) imply that $\varphi_{\nu,1}|_{U \cap Y} = f|_{U \cap Y} = \text{id}|_{U \cap Y}$, and, by (iii), $\varphi_{\nu,1}(U \cap W') \subset M \setminus A$ for each $\nu \in \omega'$. By virtue of Theorem 2 from [Ch, Appendix, 2] condition (iv) implies that the mapping $\Phi|_{\omega' \times U'}$ may be locally treated as a projection. From Proposition 7 in [Ch, Appendix, 6] it easily follows that the preimage $A' = (\Phi|_{\omega' \times U'})^{-1}(A) \subset \omega' \times U'$ has Hausdorff $2l$ -measure zero where $l = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$. Therefore, by Property 4 of Hausdorff measures as it was listed in [Ch, Appendix, 6], we have that the image A'' of A' under the projection $\omega' \times U' \rightarrow \omega'$ also has Hausdorff $2l$ -measure zero. It follows that $\omega' \setminus A''$ is a dense subset of ω' . For each $\nu \in \omega' \setminus A''$ the image $\varphi_{\nu,1}(U') = \Phi\{\{\nu\} \times U'\} \subset M$ does not meet A . Thus for this ν we have $\varphi_{\nu,1}(U' \cup W') \cap A = \emptyset$. Take a neighborhood Ω of K in X such that $\Omega \subset (U' \cup W') \cap U$. Then $\tilde{f} := \varphi_{\nu,1}|_{\Omega} \in \text{Hol}(\Omega, M)$, where $\nu \in \omega' \setminus A''$ and $\nu \rightarrow \bar{0}_V$, gives the desired approximation of f . ■

REFERENCES

- [AVG] V.I. Arnold, A.N. Varchenko, S.M. Gusein-Zade, *Singularities of differentiable maps*, Boston, Birkhäuser, 1985. MR **86f**:58018
- [BG] R. Brody, M. Green, *On a family of hyperbolic surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3* , Duke Math. J., **44**(1977), no.4, 873-874. MR **56**:12331
- [CHO] L. Campbell, A. Howard, T. Ochiai, *Moving discs off analytic subsets*, Proc. AMS, **60**(1976), no.1, 106-107. MR **54**:13143
- [CO] L. Campbell, R. Ogawa, *On preserving the Kobayashi pseudometric*, Nagoya Math. J., **57**(1975), no.1, 37-47. MR **51**:8474
- [Ch] E.M. Chirka, *Complex Analytic Sets*, Kluwer Academic Publish., Dordrecht, 1989. MR **92b**:32016
- [DLS] J.-P. Demailly, L. Lempert, B. Shiffman, *Algebraic approximation of holomorphic maps from Stein domains to projective manifolds*, preprint, 1993.
- [E] D.A. Eisenman, *Intrinsic measures on complex manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Mem. AMS, no.96, AMS, Providence, R.I., 1970. MR **41**:3807
- [G] M.L. Green, *Some Picard theorems for holomorphic maps to algebraic varieties*, Amer. J. Math., **97**(1975), 43-75. MR **51**:3544
- [GW] I. Graham, H. Wu, *Some remarks on the intrinsic measures of Eisenman*, Trans. A.M.S., **288**(1985), 625-660. MR **86e**:32031
- [Ka 1] Sh. Kaliman, *Some facts about Eisenman intrinsic measures*, Complex Variables, (to appear).
- [Ka 2] Sh. Kaliman, *Exotic analytic structures and Eisenman intrinsic measures*, Israel J. Math., **88** (1994), 411-423. CMP95:04.

- [Ko 1] Sh. Kobayashi, *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Pure and Appl. Math., 2, Dekker, New York, 1970. MR **43**:3503
- [Ko 2] Sh. Kobayashi, *Intrinsic distances, measures and geometric function theory*, Bull. AMS, **82**(1976), 357-416. MR **54**:3032
- [LZ] V. Ya. Lin, M.G. Zaidenberg, *Finiteness theorems for holomorphic mappings*, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sci., **9**(1986), 127-194 (in Russian). English transl. in Encyclopaedia of Math. Sci., Vol.9. Several Complex Variables III. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer Verlag, 1989, 113-172. CMP 19:02
- [P] D.A. Pelles (= D.A. Eisenman), *Holomorphic maps which preserve intrinsic measure*, Amer. J. Math., **97** (1975), 1-15. MR **51**:3542
- [PS] E.A. Poletsky, B.V. Shabat, *Invariant metrics*, Encyclopaedia of Math. Sci., **9**(1986), 73-125 (in Russian). English transl. in Encyclopaedia of Math. Sci., Vol.9. Several Complex Variables III. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer Verlag, 1989, 63-111. CMP 19:02
- [Ra] V.V. Rabotin, *A counterexample to two problems of Kobayashi*, Multidimensional Complex Analysis (Russian), 256-257, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Sibirsk. Otdel., Inst. Fiz., Krasnoyarsk, 1985. MR **88i**:32034
- [Ro] H.L. Royden, *Remarks on the Kobayashi metric*. In Several Complex Variables, II (Maryland, 1970), Lect. Notes Math., **185**(1971), 125-137. MR **46**:3826
- [S] Y.-T. Siu, *Every Stein subvariety admits a Stein neighborhood*, Invent. Math., **38**(1976), 89-100. MR **55**:8407
- [T] R. Thom, *Un lemme sur les applications différentiables*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2), **1**(1956), 59-71. MR **21**:910
- [W] H. Whitney, *Complex Analytic Varieties*, Addison-Wesley, 1972. MR **52**:8473

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA 33124

E-mail address: kaliman@paris-gw.cs.miami.edu

UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE I, INSTITUT FOURIER DES MATHÉMATIQUES, B.P. 74, 38402 SAINT MARTIN D'HÈRES-CÉDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: zaidenbe@fourier.grenet.fr