

## Comments and Addenda

*The Comments and Addenda section is for short communications which are not of such urgency as to justify publication in Physical Review Letters and are not appropriate for regular articles. It includes only the following types of communications: (1) comments on papers previously published in The Physical Review or Physical Review Letters; (2) addenda to papers previously published in The Physical Review or Physical Review Letters, in which the additional information can be presented without the need for writing a complete article. Manuscripts intended for this section may be accompanied by a brief abstract for information retrieval purposes. Accepted manuscripts will follow the same publication schedule as articles in this journal, and galley proofs will be sent to authors.*

### Generation-Recombination Noise of a Three-Level Semiconductor

TH. G. M. KLEINPENNING

*Fysisch Laboratorium, Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht, Nederland*

(Received 23 September 1969)

The generation-recombination spectral noise density of a three-level semiconductor in thermodynamic equilibrium is shown to decrease monotonically with increasing frequency, independent of the drift mobilities of the free carriers.

It has been demonstrated by Wessels and Kruizinga<sup>1</sup> that, under special conditions, the generation-recombination noise spectrum of a three-level semiconductor out of thermodynamic equilibrium can show a local maximum. Colligan and Van Vliet<sup>2</sup> state that the spectrum can be shown to exhibit a local maximum, even in thermodynamic equilibrium. In contradiction with the last statement we shall prove that, in thermodynamic equilibrium, the spectral densities of the occupancies and the current noise spectrum never shows a local maximum, with due observance of the following conditions: (a) The semiconductor should be homogeneous, (b) space-charge neutrality will be in force, (c) only one-electron transitions are considered, and (d) transport noise will be neglected.

Consider a semiconductor with three energy levels between which electron transitions take place, while  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ , and  $\mu_3$  are the drift mobilities of free carriers and  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ ,  $n_3$  are the average numbers of free carriers in these levels, respectively. The assumption of space-charge neutrality means that  $\sum_i \Delta(-1)^{k_i} n_i = 0$ , with  $k_i = 0$  or 1 for electron or hole conduction in the  $i$  level, respectively. Since one variable (i.e.,  $n_3$ ) can be eliminated, the current fluctuations of the equivalent Norton generator has a spectral density represented by<sup>2</sup>

$$G_I(\omega) = (I/\sigma)^2 [\mu_1 - (-1)^{k_1+k_3} \mu_3]^2 \times \{G_{11}(\omega) + b[G_{21}(\omega) + G_{12}(\omega)] + b^2 G_{22}(\omega)\}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\sigma = \sum_i n_i \mu_i, \quad b = [\mu_2 - (-1)^{k_2+k_3} \mu_3] / [\mu_1 - (-1)^{k_1+k_3} \mu_3],$$

$G_{11}$  and  $G_{22}$  are the spectral densities of charge carriers

in levels 1 and 2;  $G_{12}$  and  $G_{21}$  are the cross correlation functions. The quantities  $G_{ij}$  are equal to<sup>3</sup>

$$G_{11} = \Phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) [P\tau_1^2(1+\omega^2\tau_1^2)^{-1} + U\tau_2^2(1+\omega^2\tau_2^2)^{-1}], \quad (2a)$$

$$G_{22} = \Phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) [Q\tau_1^2(1+\omega^2\tau_1^2)^{-1} + X\tau_2^2(1+\omega^2\tau_2^2)^{-1}], \quad (2b)$$

$$G_{21} = \Phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) [R\tau_1^2(1+\omega^2\tau_1^2)^{-1} + Y\tau_2^2(1+\omega^2\tau_2^2)^{-1}], \quad (2c)$$

$$G_{12} = \Phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) [T\tau_1^2(1+\omega^2\tau_1^2)^{-1} + Z\tau_2^2(1+\omega^2\tau_2^2)^{-1}], \quad (2d)$$

where

$$P = p_{12}(\tau_1^{-1} - M_{22} - M_{12}) + p_{13}(\tau_1^{-1} - M_{22}),$$

$$U = p_{12}(-\tau_2^{-1} + M_{22} + M_{12}) + p_{13}(-\tau_2^{-1} + M_{22}),$$

$$Q = p_{12}(\tau_1^{-1} - M_{11} - M_{21}) + p_{23}(\tau_1^{-1} - M_{11}),$$

$$X = p_{12}(-\tau_2^{-1} + M_{11} + M_{21}) + p_{23}(-\tau_2^{-1} + M_{11}),$$

$$R = p_{12}(-\tau_1^{-1} + M_{11} + M_{21}) + p_{13}M_{21},$$

$$Y = p_{12}(\tau_2^{-1} - M_{11} - M_{21}) - p_{13}M_{21},$$

$$T = p_{12}(-\tau_1^{-1} + M_{22} + M_{12}) + p_{23}M_{12},$$

$$Z = p_{12}(\tau_2^{-1} - M_{22} - M_{12}) - p_{23}M_{12},$$

$$\Phi = (\tau_1, \tau_2) = 4\tau_1\tau_2(\tau_2 - \tau_1)^{-1}.$$

$p_{ij}$  is equal to the mean transition rate of carriers between level  $i$  and  $j$ .

In thermodynamic equilibrium, the terms  $G_{ij}$  are real,  $G_{ij} = G_{ji}$  (thus,  $R = T$  and  $Y = Z$ ),  $p_{ij} = p_{ji}$  and  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  are real.<sup>3</sup>  $M_{ij}$  are the elements of the real two-dimensional relaxation matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  defined by  $d\mathbf{n}/dt + \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ , and  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  are the reciprocal eigenvalues

<sup>1</sup> A. C. E. Wessels and S. Kruizinga, Phys. Letters **20**, 243 (1966).

<sup>2</sup> M. B. Colligan and K. M. Van Vliet, Phys. Rev. **171**, 881 (1968) and (private communication).

<sup>3</sup> K. M. Van Vliet and J. R. Fasset, in *Fluctuation Phenomena in Solids*, edited by R. E. Burgess (Academic Press Inc., New York, 1965) pp. 268-359.

of  $\mathbf{M}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}[S + (S^2 - 4D)^{1/2}], \\ \tau_2^{-1} &= \frac{1}{2}[S - (S^2 - 4D)^{1/2}],\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

with  $S = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{M})$  and  $D = \text{Det}(\mathbf{M})$ , while  $\tau_2 > \tau_1$ .

To prove that  $G_I(\omega)$  shows no local maximum it is sufficient to do this for  $G_{11} + 2bG_{21} + b^2G_{22}$ . Therefore, [see Eq. (2)] if we prove that  $P + 2bR + b^2Q$  and  $U + 2bY + b^2X$  are always non-negative, then  $G_I(\omega)$  will decrease monotonously with increasing frequency or  $G_I(\omega)$  will be equal to zero.

First, it will be proved that  $P$  as well as  $U$  are non-negative. This means that the spectral density of carriers in level 1 can not show a local maximum. To prove this, it is necessary and sufficient to demonstrate that

$$\tau_1^{-1} \geq M_{22} + [p_{12}/(p_{12} + p_{13})]M_{12} \geq \tau_2^{-1} \quad (4)$$

will always hold. With the aid of Eq. (3), this inequality can be written as

$$-(S^2 - 4D)^{1/2} \leq M_{22} - M_{11} + [2p_{12}/(p_{12} + p_{13})]M_{12} \leq (S^2 - 4D)^{1/2}, \quad (5)$$

and so

$$|M_{22} - M_{11} + [2p_{12}/(p_{12} + p_{13})]M_{12}| \leq [(M_{11} - M_{22})^2 + 4M_{12}M_{21}]^{1/2}. \quad (6)$$

Inequality (6) may be squared and after rearranging some terms, this yields

$$p_{12}(M_{22} + M_{12} - M_{11} - M_{21}) \cdot (p_{12} + p_{13})M_{12} - p_{12}p_{13}M_{12}^2 - p_{13}(p_{12} + p_{13})M_{12}M_{21} \leq 0. \quad (7)$$

With the aid of the equality  $G_{12} = G_{21}$ , it follows that [see Eq. (2)]

$$p_{12}(M_{22} + M_{12} - M_{11} - M_{21}) = p_{13}M_{21} - p_{23}M_{12} \quad (8)$$

and adding this to inequality (7) one gets instead

$$-(p_{12}p_{13} + p_{12}p_{23} + p_{13}p_{23})M_{12}^2 \leq 0. \quad (9)$$

Inequality (9) is always true, and it is easy to see that

inequality (4) holds also, therefore  $P$  and  $U$  are always non-negative.

A proof, similar to that of  $P$  and  $U$ , shows that  $Q$  and  $X$  are also non-negative. Indeed, because of permutation considerations, it follows that, if  $G_{11}$  has no local maximum,  $G_{22}$  consequently has no local maximum.

Now it will be proved that for every value of  $b$ , it holds that:

$$f_1(b) \equiv P + 2bR + b^2Q \geq 0$$

and

$$f_2(b) \equiv U + 2bY + b^2X \geq 0.$$

Since  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $U$ , and  $X$  are non-negative,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are parabolic functions of  $b$  (provided that  $Q \neq 0$  and  $X \neq 0$ ), with a minimum at  $b = -R/Q$  and  $b = -Y/X$ , respectively. The minimum value of  $f_1$  is  $(PQ - R^2)/Q$  and that of  $f_2$  is  $(UX - Y^2)/X$ . From the equality  $G_{12} = G_{21}$ , and Eq. (3), and after some calculations, it can be proved that

$$PQ - R^2 = PQ - RT = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad UX - Y^2 = UX - YZ = 0.$$

So  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are non-negative for any  $b$ . If  $Q$  or  $X$  is equal to zero, then  $R$  or  $Y$  is also equal to zero, and as a result,  $f_1 = P$  or  $f_2 = U$ .

In the limiting case, where  $\tau_2 = \tau_1 = \tau$ , one can prove that

$$G_{11} + 2bG_{21} + b^2G_{22} = 4\tau^2[(1-b)^2p_{12} + b^2p_{23} + p_{13}](1 + \omega^2\tau^2)^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

Thus,  $G_I(\omega)$  is either a monotonously decreasing function of frequency or  $G_I(\omega)$  is equal to zero.

This proof is based on the property that the minimum of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  is exactly equal to zero. Approximations of the noise formulas 1 and 2 could erroneously lead to a negative value for  $f_1$  or  $f_2$ . This again would lead to the wrong conclusion that a local maximum can appear in the spectrum at thermodynamic equilibrium.<sup>2</sup>

The author is indebted to Professor C. Th. J. Alkemade and Dr. R. J. J. Zijlstra for advice and discussions. This work was supported by the Foundation for Fundamental Research of Matter (FOM).