

## Comments on Diffusion of Kr Isotopes in Solid Ar

J. J. Burton

*Henry Krumb School of Mines, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027*

(Received 8 May 1970)

Recently, the isotope effect for Kr diffusion in Ar was found to be  $f\Delta K \sim 0.48$ . The results are consistent with a divacancy diffusion mechanism with  $\Delta K \sim 1$ .

Parker, Smith, and Glyde<sup>1</sup> have recently studied the diffusion of krypton isotopes in solid argon. They found an activation energy of

$$Q = 3500-3900 \text{ cal/mole}.$$

They also measured the isotope effect for Kr<sup>78</sup> and Kr<sup>86</sup> and found

$$f\Delta K = 0.48 \pm 0.25.$$

Parker *et al.* interpreted their results as due to krypton atoms diffusing via a single-vacancy mechanism. This assumption of a single-vacancy mechanism is based on the belief that self-diffusion in argon occurs via a single-vacancy mechanism.<sup>2,3</sup> This view is also supported by the agreement between the experimental  $Q$  and that calculated for Kr diffusion via single vacancies in Ar,<sup>4</sup> assuming that the atomic interactions may be described by an additive pair potential. Assuming a single-vacancy mechanism, Parker *et al.* concluded that  $\Delta K \sim 0.6$  ( $f$  is known to be 0.78 for single-vacancy self-diffusion,<sup>5</sup> and was estimated by Parker *et al.* to be only slightly larger than 0.78 for krypton diffusion via single vacancies). This value of  $\Delta K$  is rather low for single vacancies in fcc solids,<sup>5</sup> for which  $\Delta K$  is often  $\sim 1$ .

This author has pointed out that the agreement between experimental values of  $Q$  for diffusion in solid argon and the values calculated from pair potentials may be accidental.<sup>6</sup> Losee and Simmons<sup>7,8</sup>

measured the vacancy formation energy in solid krypton and concluded that the many-body effects are large. The pair-potential calculations neglect many-body effects and fail to give a vacancy-formation energy in rare-gas solids in agreement with the experimental result.<sup>6</sup> The vacancy-formation energy and the activation energy for self-diffusion in argon have been estimated assuming that the many-body interactions are large, as is indicated by the Losee-Simmons experimental result. Both triple-dipole interactions<sup>9</sup> and Jansen superexchange interactions<sup>10</sup> were included in the calculations. There has been some controversy<sup>11</sup> about the validity of the Jansen interaction. The calculated value of  $Q$  is in good agreement with experiment for a divacancy mechanism; a satisfactory vacancy-formation energy was also obtained. As inclusion of many-body effects gave good values of both the vacancy-formation energy and the diffusion activation energy, the author suggested that self-diffusion in rare-gas solids may occur via a divacancy mechanism.<sup>6</sup> This suggestion is compatible with the recent work of Parker *et al.*<sup>1</sup>  $f\Delta K$  is usually used to distinguish diffusion mechanisms,<sup>12</sup> assuming that  $\Delta K \sim 1$ .  $f$  for divacancy self-diffusion is 0.48.<sup>13</sup> The recent results for the isotope effect in argon are consistent with a divacancy mechanism and  $\Delta K \sim 1$ , provided that  $f$  for the Kr tracer is close to the self-diffusion value, as is indicated by Parker's calculations.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>E. H. C. Parker, B. L. Smith, and H. R. Glyde, *Phys. Rev.* **188**, 1371 (1969).

<sup>2</sup>H. R. Glyde, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **39**, 373 (1967).

<sup>3</sup>H. R. Glyde and J. A. Venables, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **29**, 1093 (1968).

<sup>4</sup>J. J. Burton and G. Jura, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **28**, 705 (1967).

<sup>5</sup>J. R. Manning, *Diffusion Kinetics for Atoms in Crystals* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1968).

<sup>6</sup>J. J. Burton, *Phys. Rev.* **182**, 885 (1969).

<sup>7</sup>D. L. Losee and R. O. Simmons, *Phys. Rev. Letters*

**18**, 451 (1967).

<sup>8</sup>D. L. Losee and R. O. Simmons, *Phys. Rev.* **172**, 954 (1968).

<sup>9</sup>R. J. Bell and A. E. Kingston, *Proc. Phys. Soc. (London)* **88**, 901 (1966).

<sup>10</sup>L. Jansen and E. Lombardi, *Discussions Faraday Soc.* **40**, 78 (1965).

<sup>11</sup>C. W. Swenberg, *Phys. Letters* **24A**, 163 (1967).

<sup>12</sup>N. L. Peterson, *Solid State Phys.* **22**, 409 (1968).

<sup>13</sup>R. E. Howard, *Phys. Rev.* **144**, 650 (1966).