

The standard deviation of the experimental points from either curve is 0.6 micron, which corresponds approximately to an energy of 0.3 Mev. This energy is the maximum deviation from the value of  $Q_m = -6.63$  Mev allowed for the selection of stars for remeasurement in this check of the range-energy relation.

$R_1(\text{Li}^8)$  agrees, within our experimental error, with the points of Barkas,<sup>21</sup> whose criterion for the end of the  $\text{Li}^8$  track was that of method (1). Although Gilbert<sup>25</sup> states that his results agree with those obtained by Barkas, his criterion for the end of the  $\text{Li}^8$  track was that of method (2). In the experiments of Barkas and Gilbert the  $\text{Li}^8$  tracks were surface ones and the range was measured from the point at which the  $\text{Li}^8$  ion entered the emulsion; whereas in the present experiment all the tracks originated and ended in the emulsion.

$R_2(\text{Li}^8)$ , although still somewhat above them, is closer than  $R_1(\text{Li}^8)$  to the low-energy experimental points of Faraggi,<sup>22</sup> Cüer and Lonchamp,<sup>26</sup> and Neuen-dorffer, Inglis, and Hanna.<sup>27</sup> The semitheoretical curve of Wilkins, which was fitted to the two low-energy

points of Faraggi, agrees quite well with the results of method (2), whereas that of Lonchamp<sup>28</sup> is somewhat low.

Equations (1) and (2) apply at 30% relative humidity. Although these results are for boron-loaded emulsion, the correction to normal emulsion is less than 1% at 30% relative humidity.<sup>20</sup> Therefore no correction was made.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We extend our thanks to the members of the large electrostatic accelerator group for their assistance in exposing the emulsions; to the eighteen microscopists of the nuclear plate group who not only analyzed the plates but carried out some of the calculations; and to Mr. Robert Bergstresser for his invaluable aid in the analysis of the calculations. We are also happy to express our appreciation of Dr. Louis Rosen's interest in this work and his many helpful criticisms.

<sup>28</sup> J. P. Lonchamp, *J. phys. radium* **14**, 89 (1953); *Compt. rend.* **239**, 877 (1954).

### Alpha and Spontaneous Fission Half-Lives of Plutonium-242\*†

J. F. MECH, H. DIAMOND, M. H. STUDIER, P. R. FIELDS, A. HIRSCH, C. M. STEVENS,  
R. F. BARNES, D. J. HENDERSON, AND J. R. HUIZENGA  
*Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois*  
(Received April 5, 1956)

Measurements on  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  samples, highly enriched by neutron irradiation, resulted in the alpha half-life value of  $(3.88 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5$  years and in the spontaneous fission half-life value of  $(7.06 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{10}$  years.

#### I. ALPHA AND MASS MEASUREMENTS

THE alpha half-life of  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  has been reported previously as  $5 \times 10^5$  and  $9 \times 10^5$  years.<sup>1,2</sup> We have recently redetermined this value by a combination of alpha energy and mass spectrographic analyses of plutonium samples enhanced in  $\text{Pu}^{242}$ .

This plutonium was principally  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  by mass and was made available through long neutron irradiation of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  in the Materials Testing Reactor (MTR) at Arco, Idaho. More precise measurements of the spontaneous fission decay rate and more accurate evaluation of the alpha half-life were possible, since interfering plutonium activities are largely removed in the irradiation process.

Two plutonium samples were irradiated in the MTR with approximately  $1.0 \times 10^{22}$  and  $1.2 \times 10^{22}$  neutrons/

$\text{cm}^2$ , samples 1 and 2, respectively. After irradiation the plutonium was purified by chemical methods described elsewhere.<sup>3,4</sup> The isotopic compositions of these plutonium samples were determined mass spectrometrically and are compiled in Table I. Alpha pulse analyses of these samples are presented in Table II.

TABLE I. Mass spectrometric analyses of plutonium in mole percent.

Pu isotope	Sample 1	Sample 2
238	$0.216 \pm 0.004$	$0.16 \pm 0.02$
239	$0.087 \pm 0.002$	$0.068 \pm 0.004$
240	$2.02 \pm 0.02$	$0.633 \pm 0.006$
241	$1.31 \pm 0.01$	$0.308 \pm 0.006$
242	$96.33 \pm 0.02$	$98.77 \pm 0.03$
244	$0.037 \pm 0.002$	$0.052 \pm 0.004$

\* The  $\alpha$  half-life was reported previously as Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL-5348.

† Based on work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>1</sup> Thompson, Street, Ghiorso, and Reynolds, *Phys. Rev.* **80**, 1108 (1950).

<sup>2</sup> F. Asaro, University of California Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-2180 (unpublished).

<sup>3</sup> P. R. Fields and C. H. Youngquist, *International Conference on the Peacetime Uses of Atomic Energy, Geneva, Switzerland, August, 1955* (United Nations, New York, 1956), Vol. 2, Paper No. 951.

<sup>4</sup> E. K. Hyde, *The Actinide Elements* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1954), National Nuclear Energy Series, Plutonium Project Record, Vol. 14A, Div. IV, pp. 573-580.

TABLE II. Alpha pulse analyses in percent.

Pu isotope	Sample 1	Sample 2
238	$81.71 \pm 0.3$	$82.50 \pm 0.24$
239+240	$10.09 \pm 0.24$	$4.89 \pm 0.17$
241+242	$8.20 \pm 0.22$	$12.60 \pm 0.09$

The alpha half-life of  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  was calculated by comparison to the known half-life of  $\text{Pu}^{240}$  (6580 years)<sup>5</sup> by use of the following mathematical relation:

$$T_{\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Pu}^{242}) = T_{\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Pu}^{240}) \times \frac{\text{mole percent Pu}^{242}}{\text{mole percent Pu}^{240}} \times \frac{\text{percent } \alpha \text{ activity Pu}^{240}}{\text{percent } \alpha \text{ activity Pu}^{242}}$$

The alpha particles of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  and  $\text{Pu}^{240}$  have similar energies as do those of  $\text{Pu}^{241}$  and  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  and therefore were not resolved. This required only a small correction since these interfering activities are present in low abundance. In sample 1, the intensity of the  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  alpha is 1.2% of the  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  plus  $\text{Pu}^{240}$  alpha activity. The  $\text{Pu}^{241}$  alpha ( $\alpha$  half-life  $3.5 \times 10^5$  years)<sup>2,6</sup> contributes 1.2% of the  $\text{Pu}^{241}$  plus  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  alpha activity. The data from Tables I and II, when substituted into the above formula with appropriate corrections for the extraneous alpha activity and the decay of  $\text{Pu}^{241}$ , yield the alpha half-life value of  $(3.87 \pm 0.15) \times 10^5$  years for  $\text{Pu}^{242}$ . A similar calculation yields  $86 \pm 3$  years for the half-life of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ . For sample 2, 2.85% of the  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  plus  $\text{Pu}^{240}$  activity was due to  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  while the correction for  $\text{Pu}^{241}$  is almost negligible.  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  and  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  alpha half-lives of  $(3.88 \pm 0.15) \times 10^5$  and  $86 \pm 11$  years were calculated from the data. The average value for  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  is then  $(3.88 \pm 0.10) \times 10^5$  years. The calculated value of  $86 \pm 3$  years for  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  is consistent with earlier measurements.<sup>7</sup>

## II. SPONTANEOUS FISSION MEASUREMENTS

Some of the plutonium of sample 2 was electro-deposited onto a 1.5-inch stainless steel disk from a nitric acid ammonium oxalate solution under the conditions described by Ko.<sup>8</sup> The alpha activity on the

disk was measured at  $(1.644 \pm 0.008) \times 10^7$  disintegrations per minute in a low-geometry counter. The counting geometry was calculated from the dimensions of the counter and the sample, by using the equations described by Jaffey<sup>9</sup> for a spread source. The distance between the sample and the sensitive volume of the counter was large compared to the aperture radius, insuring that any error due to asymmetry in the distribution of the sample on the disk would be small.

Alpha pulse analysis (Table II) indicates that  $12.60 \pm 0.09\%$  of the alpha activity in the sample is contributed by  $\text{Pu}^{241}$  and  $\text{Pu}^{242}$ . The  $\text{Pu}^{241}$  contribution was calculated to be 0.043% of the total alpha activity.

The spontaneous fission activity on the disk was measured in a parallel-plate argon-methane counter similar to that described by Segrè.<sup>10</sup> The spontaneous fission disintegration rate of the  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  was  $11.36 \pm 0.07$  fissions per minute after subtraction of minor activities (less than 1%) due to  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ ,  $\text{Pu}^{240}$ , and  $\text{Pu}^{244}$ . Multiple alpha coincidences were shown to be a negligible source of error by measuring these coincidences as a function of the bias setting of the fission counter. The efficiency of the counter for the sample (sample thickness less than  $0.03 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ ) was 100% within the limit of error quoted for the measurement. This was known by comparison to previous measurements, and by the fact that the slope of the entire bias plateau was less than 0.5%.

The ratio of the alpha activity of  $\text{Pu}^{242}$  to its spontaneous fission activity is  $(1.819 \pm 0.018) \times 10^5$  alphas/fission. The spontaneous fission half-life value, by comparison with the measured alpha half-life, was then calculated to be  $(7.06 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{10}$  years. This value is in agreement with the earlier values of  $(6.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{10}$  years<sup>11</sup> and  $\sim 8 \times 10^{10}$  years.<sup>12</sup>

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank R. Penneman, T. Keenan, and J. Coleman of Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory and P. Sellers, R. Sjolom, and H. Youngquist of Argonne National Laboratory for their assistance in the early stages in the separation of the plutonium.

<sup>5</sup> Inghram, Hess, Fields, and Pyle, Phys. Rev. **83**, 1250 (1951).

<sup>6</sup> Thompson, Street, Ghiorso, and Reynolds, Phys. Rev. **80**, 1108 (1950).

<sup>7</sup> Hollander, Perlman, and Seaborg, Revs. Modern Phys. **25**, 608 (1953).

<sup>8</sup> R. Ko, Hanford Engineering Works Report HW-32673, 1954 (unpublished).

<sup>9</sup> A. H. Jaffey, Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL-4875, 1952 (unpublished), Eq. (37A); similar material in Rev. Sci. Instr. **25**, 349 (1954).

<sup>10</sup> E. Segrè, Phys. Rev. **86**, 21 (1952).

<sup>11</sup> M. H. Studier and A. Hirsch (private communication).

<sup>12</sup> Ghiorso, Higgins, Seaborg, and Thompson (private communication).