

## Reduction of the Dirac Equation

J. SUCHER\*

Columbia University, New York, New York

(Received March 22, 1956)

A method is given for reducing the Dirac equation for an electron in an external field to small components, leading to reduced equations linear in the time-derivative operator.

THERE exist at present several methods<sup>1-3</sup> for reducing the Dirac equation for an electron in an electromagnetic field ( $\mathbf{A}; V$ ) to "large components." Aside from the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation,<sup>3</sup> which cannot be exhibited in closed form, all of these lead to equations which are nonlinear in  $p_0 = i\partial/\partial t$  and hence to nonlinear eigenvalue problems for stationary states.

We should like to point out a reduction method which is in closed form and yields equations linear in  $p_0$ . Thus, a linear eigenvalue problem results for a stationary state.

Consider

$$p_0\psi = \mathcal{H}\psi = (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} + \beta m + V_0)\psi, \quad (1)$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = \mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A}, \quad V_0 = -eV, \quad (2)$$

and ( $\mathbf{A}; V$ ) independent of  $t$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_+$  and  $\mathcal{L}_-$  be the projection operators on the positive and negative energy parts, respectively, of the spectrum of  $\mathcal{H}$ . In operator form,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm} = (1 \pm \mathcal{H}\mathcal{E}^{-1})/2, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} = |\mathcal{H}| &= +[\mathcal{H}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = m(1+Q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= m(1 + \frac{1}{2}Q - \frac{1}{8}Q^2 + \dots), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$Q = (\mathcal{H}^2 - m^2)/m^2.$$

Using the decomposition

$$\psi = \phi + \chi, \quad \phi = \mathcal{L}_+\psi, \quad \chi = \mathcal{L}_-\psi, \quad (5)$$

we get the following equations, equivalent to (1) and

(5):

$$p_0\phi = \mathcal{H}\phi, \quad \mathcal{L}_+\phi = \phi, \quad (6a,b)$$

$$p_0\chi = \mathcal{H}\chi, \quad \mathcal{L}_-\chi = \chi. \quad (7a,b)$$

Using (6b), and the decomposition

$$\phi = \phi_+ + \phi_-, \quad \phi_{\pm} = [(1 \pm \beta)/2]\phi, \quad (8)$$

we find

$$\phi_- = \mathcal{R}\phi_+, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= [m + (\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}')/2 - V_0]^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - (\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}')/2], \\ \mathcal{E}' &= \beta \mathcal{E} \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Multiplication of (6a) by  $(1+\beta)/2$ , and the use of (9) then gives

$$p_0\phi_+ = \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}\phi_+, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathcal{R} + V_0 + m \quad (12)$$

is an "even" matrix operator since  $\mathcal{R}$  is "odd." Equations (7a,b) can be handled in similar fashion. For a bound state of energy  $E > 0$ , we set  $\chi = 0$  and  $p_0 = E$  in (11), obtaining the eigenvalue problem

$$E\phi_+ = \mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}\phi_+, \quad (13)$$

where we may consider  $\phi_+$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}$  as a Pauli-type spinor and operator, respectively.

For the hydrogen atom  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}$  is Hermitian at least to order  $\alpha^2$  Ry, and expansion of (12) in powers of  $\mathbf{p}/m$  yields the usual fine-structure operators plus  $\alpha^4$  Ry corrections. In general,  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{red}}$  cannot be completely Hermitian, since even if  $\chi = 0$ , only  $(\phi, \phi) = (\phi_+, \phi_+) + (\phi_-, \phi_-)$  is conserved and not  $(\phi_+, \phi_+)$  or  $(\phi_-, \phi_-)$  separately.

The preceding operator formalism, although possibly of no practical advantage for the one-body problem, has been found convenient in the reduction to nonrelativistic form of a Bethe-Salpeter type equation for two electrons in an external field, in a study of the radiative corrections to the helium fine structure.

\* Boese Fellow, Columbia University, New York, New York.

<sup>1</sup> See, e.g., L. I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1949), p. 321 for the standard method.

<sup>2</sup> B. Kurşunoğlu, Phys. Rev. **101**, 1419 (1956).

<sup>3</sup> L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, Phys. Rev. **78**, 29 (1950).