

Nuclear Structure Effects in $\text{Cs}^{133}\dagger$

M. K. RAMASWAMY

Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

(Received April 20, 1960)

The 79-keV transition in Cs^{133} , which is known to be l -forbidden ($\Delta l=2$) magnetic dipole ($M1$), has been analyzed for penetration effects suggested by Church and Weneser. A value of 6.5 ± 2.6 is found for λ , the ratio of the matrix element due to penetration of the atomic electron into the nucleus and the matrix element due to $M1$ gamma emission. This value of λ is to be compared with the range 5–10 obtained by Church and Weneser for l -forbidden ($\Delta l=2$) transitions using empirical gamma matrix elements and single-particle wave functions to evaluate the electron matrix element.

CHURCH and Weneser¹ have suggested that the internal conversion process should be sensitive to nuclear structure through a contribution due to the penetration of the atomic electrons into the nucleus. The structure effects should be especially large for the so-called l -forbidden magnetic dipole ($M1$) transitions. Identification of these effects requires precise knowledge of the conversion coefficient.

We have analyzed recent experimental results on the 79-keV transition in Cs^{133} for evidence of a penetration contribution to internal conversion. This transition has been established² to occur between $d_{5/2}$ and $g_{7/2}$ states and hence is an l -forbidden ($\Delta l=2$) magnetic dipole transition. The K -conversion coefficient for this transition has been measured³ rather accurately to be 1.51 ± 0.05 . The $E2/M1$ amplitude mixing ratio, δ , has been found to be -0.16 ± 0.01 from angular correlation studies.⁴ The total K -conversion coefficient for a mixed $M1$ - $E2$ transition can be written in the usual notation as

$$\alpha_K = \frac{1}{1 + \delta^2} [\beta(M1) + \delta^2 \alpha(E2)], \quad (1)$$

from which we obtain

$$\beta(M1) = \alpha_K (1 + \delta^2) - \delta^2 \alpha(E2). \quad (2)$$

Substituting for α_K and δ , and taking the theoretical value⁵ $\alpha(E2) = 2.1$, we get $\beta(M1) = 1.49 \pm 0.05$.

The effects on internal conversion due to penetration

[†] This work was supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy commission.

¹ E. L. Church and J. Weneser, Phys. Rev. **104**, 1382 (1956).

² F. M. Clikeman and M. G. Stewart, Phys. Rev. **117**, 1052 (1960).

³ I. Bergström, Arkiv Fysik **5**, 191 (1952).

⁴ E. Bodenstein, H. J. Körner, and E. Matthias, Nuclear Phys. **11**, 584 (1959).

⁵ M. E. Rose, in *Beta- and Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy* (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1955). We are assuming here that $\alpha(E2)$ is not influenced by nuclear structure.

depend on the details of the nuclear structure. For $M1$ transitions Church and Weneser¹ write

$$\lambda = m_e / m_\gamma, \quad (3)$$

where m_e is that part of the matrix element due to the penetration of the electron into the nucleus and m_γ is the matrix element for $M1$ gamma emission. In terms of λ , the corrected $M1$ conversion coefficient is given approximately by

$$\beta(\lambda) \sim \beta(1) [1 - (\lambda - 1)C(Z, k)]^2, \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda=1$ means that the currents are confined to the nuclear surface (Sliv's assumption). $C(Z, k)$ can be determined from the corrected table of Church and Weneser.⁶

For the 79-keV transition in Cs^{133} the experimental value of $\beta(\lambda)$ is 1.49, the value of $\beta(1)$, according to Sliv,⁷ is 1.66, and for $Z=55$ and $k=0.15$, $C(Z, k) = 7.9 \times 10^{-3}$. Putting these numbers in Eq. (4), we obtain $\lambda = 6.5 \pm 2.6$.

This result shows that the penetration matrix element is about 7 times as large as the gamma matrix element. Church and Weneser¹ evaluated λ for $\Delta l=2$, $M1$ transitions for odd- Z nuclei using empirical gamma matrix elements and using single-particle wave functions to evaluate m_e . They found values of λ falling between 5 and 10, corresponding to a change of $\beta(\lambda)$ [increase or decrease depending on the sign of λ] up to 20% for $Z=55$. The observed value of 8–12% for this reduction in the case of Cs^{133} ($Z=55$) is in this region.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Professor P. S. Jastram for helpful criticism of the manuscript.

⁶ The values of $C(Z, k)$ given in reference 1 are to be multiplied by a factor of $\sqrt{3}$. See footnote 11 of E. L. Church, M. E. Rose, and J. Weneser, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1299 (1958).

⁷ L. A. Sliv, Zhur. Eksp. i Teoret. Fiz. **21**, 770 (1951).