

THE PHYSICAL REVIEW

A journal of experimental and theoretical physics established by E. L. Nichols in 1893

SECOND SERIES, VOL. 123, No. 4

AUGUST 15, 1961

Nonlinear Time-Dependent Plasma Oscillations*

DAVID MONTGOMERY

University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin

(Received April 6, 1961)

The Laplace transform technique employed by Landau to solve the problem of the first-order motions in an unbounded, rarified, electron plasma is modified to solve the problem to arbitrarily high order. The transforms of the n th-order contributions are expressible in terms of convolution integrals involving only terms up to order $n-1$. The method is applied to second order for the case of square-integrable disturbances.

THE problem of the first-order motions of an unbounded, rarified, electron plasma was first solved by Landau.¹ It is interesting to observe that the same methods can be adapted to solve the problem to n th order, where n is arbitrarily large.

Consider an electron (charge $-e$, mass m) plasma with distribution $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$, governed by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{e}{m} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} = -4\pi e \left(N_0 - \int f d^3v \right), \quad (2)$$

where N_0 represents a uniform positive background charge, assumed immobile.

Let us write the solution to (1) and (2) formally as

$$\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{(n)}; \quad f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(n)}, \quad (3)$$

where $f^{(0)} = f_0(\mathbf{v})$ and $\int f_0(\mathbf{v}) d^3v = N_0$.

It is no loss of generality to assume that at $t=0$, all the $f^{(n)}$ vanish for $n>1$. The expansion parameter implied in (3) is then $|f^{(1)}/f^{(0)}|_{\max}$.

Making this substitution into (1) and (2), taking Fourier transforms in space (indicated by subscripts \mathbf{k}) and Laplace transforms in time (indicated by subscripts

p), we obtain

$$(p + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}) f_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)} + \frac{ie}{m} \mathbf{k} \phi_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0(\mathbf{v})}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(n)}(0) - \frac{e}{m} S_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)}, \quad (4)$$

$$k^2 \phi_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)} = -4\pi e \int f_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)} d^3v, \quad (5)$$

where

$$S^{(n)} \equiv \frac{\partial \phi^{(n-1)}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} + \dots + \frac{\partial \phi^{(1)}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(n-1)}}{\partial \mathbf{v}}, \quad (6)$$

and contains all the terms whose superscripts add up to n , but each of whose superscripts is less than n . Observe that $S^{(1)} = 0$, and that $f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(n)}(0) = 0$, for $n>1$.

This system was solved by Landau for $n=1$. For $n>1$, it is solvable at once, since $S^{(n)}$ contains only terms of order less than n . There is an obvious iteration procedure for obtaining the $f^{(n)}$ and $\phi^{(n)}$, limited only by one's patience in evaluating the convolution integrals $S_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)}$.

For $n>1$, we have

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)} = \frac{4\pi e^2}{mk^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{D_{\mathbf{k}p}} \int \frac{S_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)} d^3v}{p + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \right\}, \quad (7)$$

$$f_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)} = \frac{-e/m}{p + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}} \left\{ i\mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0(\mathbf{v})}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \phi_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)} + S_{\mathbf{k}p}^{(n)} \right\}, \quad (8)$$

$$D_{\mathbf{k}p} \equiv 1 - \frac{4\pi ie^2}{mk^2} \mathbf{k} \cdot \int \frac{\partial f_0(\mathbf{v})}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \frac{d^3v}{p + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}}, \quad (9)$$

* This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

¹ L. Landau, J. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) **10**, 25 (1946).

the $n=1$ solution being given by Eqs. (8) and (9) of reference 1, with a change in sign of e .

One interesting phenomenon that can be studied with a minimum of effort is the second-order contribution to one-dimensional oscillations in the case where $f_k^{(1)}(0)$ is an entire, square-integrable function of v , and D_{kp} has only simple zeros in the complex p plane. The vector \mathbf{k} can be chosen to lie along the x axis, and the v_y, v_z integrations are inconsequential.

The second-order field is given by ($v_x \rightarrow v$)

$$\phi_k^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} dp \frac{e^{pt}}{D_{kp}} \left(\frac{4\pi e^2}{mk^2} \right) \int \frac{S_{kp}^{(2)} dv}{p+ikv}, \quad (10)$$

where σ is greater than the real part of the rightmost singularity of the integrand.

For $S_{kp}^{(2)}$, we have the following convolution:

$$S_{kp}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-i\infty}^{\eta+i\infty} dp' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk' i(k-k') \times \phi_{k-k', p-p'}^{(1)} \frac{\partial f_{k'p'}^{(1)}}{\partial v}, \quad (11)$$

where η is greater than the real part of the rightmost singularity of $f_{k'p'}^{(1)}$, in the complex p' plane and where $\text{Re}(p-p')$ is greater than the real part of the rightmost singularity of $\phi_{k-k', p-p'}^{(1)}$ in the complex $(p-p')$ plane.

We may interchange the orders of integration, deform the p contour to the left, and evaluate the $t \rightarrow \infty$ behavior of $\phi_k^{(2)}(t)$ in terms of the singularities of the integrand.

These occur as: (a) the zeros of D_{kp} , which we call $p_i(k)$; (b) the zeros of $D_{k-k', p-p'}$, which are at $p=p'+p_i(k-k')$. The pole at $p=-ikv$ does not contribute, since the v contour can be dropped below the real axis to go below the point $v=ip/k$ for $\text{Re}(p) \leq 0$, as done by Landau.

From (a) and (b) we shall get terms which vary (for large t) as $\exp[p_i(k)t]$ and $\exp\{[p_i(k-k')+p']t\}$, respectively. In the second of these, the p' integration is still important, and we determine its effect by now deforming the p' contour to the left, picking up contributions: (c) at $p'+p_i(k-k')=p_j(k)$, or terms which $\rightarrow e^{p_j(k)t}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$; (d) at $p'=p_i(k')$, or terms which $\rightarrow \int \{\exp[p_i(k')+p_j(k-k')]t\} h(k') dk'$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where h is some function of k' ; (e) at $p'=-ik'v$, where the second-order pole that arises from $(\partial/\partial v) \times [1/(p'+ik'v)]$ can no longer be eliminated by deforming the v contour, but can be evaluated by performing the p' integral exactly, closing the contour around the left half-plane. It is easy to show that for all $\text{Re}(p_i) \leq 0$, this last contribution $\rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We are now in a position to make two statements about the $t \rightarrow \infty$ behavior of $\phi_k^{(2)}(t)$. First, if the system is "stable" in first order [i.e., all $\text{Re}(p_i) < 0$], then it is so in second order. Systems which are "unstable" in first order are "unstable" in second order, only more so. The first-order theory is probably an accurate prediction only for "stable" systems.

An n th order solution can be given in terms of normal modes, as done by Case,² for the first order, except that so far it has been impossible to construct a proof of completeness.

² K. M. Case, Ann. Phys. 7, 349 (1959).