

Errata

Application of ξ -Limiting Process to Intermediate Bosons, T. D. LEE [Phys. Rev. **128**, 899 (1962)].

(1) In Eq. (1)

$$Q = \dots [\kappa - (4\pi)^{-1} \dots]$$

should read

$$Q = \dots [\kappa + (16\pi)^{-1} \dots].$$

(2) In Eq. (33)

$$Q = -(e\kappa/m_W^2)[1 + \dots]$$

should read

$$Q = -(e/m_W^2)[\kappa + \dots].$$

(3) In Eq. (38)

$$a_0 = -(2\pi)^{-1} \dots$$

should read

$$a_0 = +(8\pi)^{-1} \dots.$$

(4) In Eq. (46)

$$\dots \ln \xi [g_0 \psi_\nu^\dagger \gamma_4 \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) \psi_\mu] [k^2 (1 + \frac{5}{6}\kappa) + \dots] + \dots$$

should read

$$\dots \ln \xi [g_0 \psi_\nu^\dagger \gamma_4 \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) \psi_\mu] \times [(k^2 + m_W^2)(1 + \frac{5}{6}\kappa) + \dots] + \dots.$$

I wish to thank K. Y. Ng and D. Bailin for pointing out these mistakes.

Reggeization of External Particles, M. L. THIEBAUX [Phys. Rev. **170**, 1244 (1968)]. Two conclusions stated in Section 3F are wrong because of an incorrect formulation of two-pion unitarity. It is not true that the existence of a stable recurrence necessarily violates two-pion unitarity, nor that the dynamical recipe is consistently defined only for $0 < M \leq 1$. The first two sentences of the first paragraph of this section should be modified by replacing $\beta_\lambda(s; J, M)$, wherever it appears, with $\beta_\lambda(s; J, M)/\rho_\lambda(s; J, M)$, where λ has the values 01 or 1, as explained in the following paragraphs. The remaining four sentences of the paragraph should be deleted.